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ABSTRACT

This paper is an account of the conference titled Kanade, di goldene medine? Perspectives on Canadian-Jewish Literature and Culture / Perspectives sur la littérature et la culture juives canadiennes, which took place in Łódź in April, 2014 as a result of collaboration between the University of Łódź and Concordia University (Montreal). As a venue for discussing Canadian Jewish identity and its links with Poland, the conference supported a dialogue between Canadians, Polish Canadianists, and European scholars from further afield. Established and young scholars attended from Germany, the United Kingdom, France, Belgium, the Czech Republic, and Canada, in addition to many Polish participants. The presence of scholars such as Goldie Morgentaler or Sherry Simon as well as curator Barbara Kirshenblatt-Gimblett contributed to an examination of both past and present Canadian and Polish Jewish life and led to an examination of Polish and Canadian literature and history from a highly personal perspective. Conference-goers took advantage of the opportunity to get to know Łódź, via walking tours and a visit to the Łódź Jewish community’s Lauder-funded centre on Narutowicza. The paper aims, as well, to investigate how the history of Jewish Łódź is conveyed in the novels of Joseph Roth and Chava Rosenfarb.
In the English translation of Joseph Roth’s *Hotel Savoy*, the novel’s actual location is not named. “This is the town where my relatives live,” Roth writes, at the outset of his narrative, “my parents were Russian Jews” (3). The novel’s setting is a town of music hall performers, industrialists, demobbed army men, striking workers, as well as a Jewish quarter of questionable character:

We arrive at a small lane. There are Jews standing around here and walking about in the middle of the street with ridiculously rolled umbrellas with crooked handles. They are either standing still with pensive expressions or endlessly walking up and down. Here one of them disappears, there another one comes out of a doorway. . . . The people pass each other like silent shadows. This is a collection of phantoms; this is where people long dead walk about; for thousands of years this tribe have frequented these narrow lanes. (30)

The final line in this passage is indicative of Roth’s way with his setting; a mysterious and seemingly misleading reference to Jews—at least to the kind of Jews inhabiting the town’s shadowy black market economy—who have lived in this borderland “for thousands of years” (30). But elsewhere, Roth’s portrait is accurate and detailed in its depiction of interwar Łódź, where the author himself stayed after his release from army service and time spent, as he told it, as a prisoner of war. Upon arrival at the Hotel Savoy, Roth’s narrator luxuriates in the fact that he is “standing once again at the gates of Europe.” The town of his relatives offers an escape from the war-torn east, and an entranceway to the cosmopolitan west: “It promises water, soap, English-style toilet, a lift, maids in white caps, chamber pots . . . and real beds with eiderdown quilts billowing . . .” (3).

During his stay at the Hotel Savoy, Roth’s alter ego meets up with a cast of locals, hotel guests and staff. Among them is the lift-boy Ignatz, whose domain is one of the hotel’s most pleasing accoutrements:

I am taken up by a lift, mirrors adorning every side of it; the lift-boy, a man already advanced in years, lets the rope slip through his hands, the compartment rises, and I sway with it—I think to myself, I could so easily fly aloft like this for a good long time. I love this swaying, and reckon how many wearying steps I’d have to clamber up if I weren’t able to sit in this splendid lift; and I hurl back down all my bitterness, and my hardship and wandering and homelessness, my beggar’s life now in the past . . . (4–5)

Today, if you stride down Łódź’s main drag, Piotrkowska, turn onto Traugutta, and enter the Hotel Savoy, you will discover that a “lift-boy” remains
on staff, even very late at night, to spare guests the “wearying steps” to the sixth floor, the height from which Roth’s narrator gazed out at the view of his unnamed town. Like Ignatz, this contemporary master of the elevator is no boy, but, rather, a lean, grey-haired man of courtly manner. The lift is not original. But the winding stairs that circle its cavernous drop no doubt are, and if there were occasions when Roth avoided Ignatz in favour of six floors of exercise, there is a chance that a contemporary visitor to the Hotel Savoy can set her foot just as the author did, nearly slipping over the worn rounded edge of marble stairway.

Łódź is terra incognita to North Americans. Even for North American Jews, including those who have visited Poland, or, at least, studied up on it to recover a sense of their ancestry, the city remains off the radar. They have likely seen iconic photographs of the Warsaw Ghetto, but Łódź’s own German-made catastrophe is mostly a mystery to them. This reflects an overall ignorance about Poland—even in light of great interest in the Holocaust—among those who feel they have, via their ancestral connections, some stake in the place. This phenomenon represents, from the perspective of North American Jewish culture and identity, a massive problem, which underwrites ongoing efforts by descendants of Polish Jews to understand a Polish past.

By way of certain coincidences, friendships, as well as overlapping interests shared by Polish and Canadian academics, the goals of a recent Łódź-based conference called Kanade, di goldene medine? Perspectives on Canadian-Jewish Literature and Culture/Perspectives sur la littérature et la culture juives canadiennes included an exploration of Canadian Jewish identity and its Polish links (the conference took place at the University of Łódź, Apr. 2–5, 2014). Some of the conference’s presenters and its organizers found themselves aloft in the lift at the Hotel Savoy late one night, as part of their cultural work of discovery in Poland. Among these were Sherry Simon, who had come from Montreal to talk about the unique cultural outpost that existed in Czernowitz between the world wars; Evelyn Tauben, a Toronto-based independent curator and cultural program manager; Justyna Fruzińska, a newly minted Łódź-based Ph.D. whose research examines recent Walt Disney films; John Crust, a Canadian who lived, until recently, in Łódź; Krzysztof Majer, a Canadianist and professor at the University of Łódź; and myself, a writer and descendant of Polish Jews whose borderland town was overrun by the Germans at the outset of World War Two. On the night we took our elevator ride and then strode down the stairway in Roth’s footsteps, our organizational efforts had born fruit, in particular, through the gathering of an unusual group of papers focused on Canadian literature, as well as on Canadian Jewish
life more broadly. One goal of such a set of conference papers—echoing a longstanding Canadian Studies project in Poland—was to maintain a dialogue that reflected the state of the art of Canadianist literary and cultural scholarship in eastern Europe.

Canadian studies centres have a long and varied history in Poland, and exist in such varied places as Warsaw, Kraków, Toruń and Sosnowiec. These bear a close relationship with the Polish Association for Canadian Studies, whose roots are traced to Warsaw and the early efforts of the American-born academic and cultural impresario Nancy Burke. Kanade, di goldene medine? profited from the established dedication of Polish scholars to the field. Panels included young faculty and graduate students, who represent a new generation of specialists interested in such figures as Mordecai Richler, Régine Robin, Tecia Werbowski, or in representations of Jewishness in the works of non-Jewish authors such as Ann-Marie MacDonald and Thomas King. Holocaust history and Yiddish cultural heritage were addressed in talks by Dagmara Drewniak and Karolina Krasuska. Sephardic and Chasidic identities in Montreal were examined by Annie Ousset-Krief, Renata Jarzębowska-Sadkowska and Jessica Roda. The Polish home institutions of the speakers—Konin, Toruń, Szczecin, among others—made the panels, with their engaged audiences, a true dialogue between Poles and Canadians, with Europeans from further afield providing a third constituency. The latter group included Canadianists Alexander Ramon and Dominic Williams from the United Kingdom; Yvonne Völkl, of Graz; Kathleen Gyssels, of Antwerp; and Petr Kyloušek and Eva Voldřichová Beranková of the Czech Republic. Among the conference’s eight panels, three were conducted in French, thanks to organizer Krzysztof Mąj’s collaborative work with Warsaw-based panellist Józef Kwaterko. Parts of the conference were attended by representatives of the Canadian embassy in Warsaw, which supported conference events and travel, and the conference’s bilingual presentations (with discussion in other languages, including Yiddish) reflected the best-case scenario of multicultural developments in Canada. Europeans, so commonly comfortable in two or more languages, found their way to the Canadian language that best suited their scholarly aims. An important part of the audience was a group of Canadian non-academics—mostly Torontonians—who travelled with their academic partners and contributed to the conference from start to finish.

A subset of the conference’s talks focused on the work of Régine Robin, a French-born, Quebec-based writer of fiction and critical works who was among the conference’s four keynote lecturers. The other three keynote lectures were given by Sherry Simon from Concordia University, myself, and Goldie Morgentaler of the University of Lethbridge. To these we added
Warsaw-based, Toronto-born curator Barbara Kirshenblatt-Gimblett as a “Special Guest Speaker.”

If the panellists’ Polish and western European frame of reference conveyed a particular view and set of concerns regarding Canadian literature and culture, the keynote talks presented a group of Canadian voices in response. Among these, the voice and subject matter presented by Goldie Morgentaler and Barbara Kirshenblatt-Gimblett offered intimate examinations of questions of Jewish-Polish heritage as it has been worked out by Canadian artists and writers. Morgentaler’s talk, entitled “Chava Rosenfarb’s *The Tree of Life*: Recreating Jewish Poland on Canadian Soil,” added to her longstanding translational, editorial, and critical work dedicated to her mother’s Yiddish-language œuvre. *The Tree of Life*, first published in Yiddish in 1972, appearing in an English translation by Goldie and her mother in 1985, is arguably Rosenfarb’s major work. The novel’s introductory chapters offer a detailed portrait of pre-war Łódź—both its down-at-the-heels Jewish quarter and its top-of-the-heap manufacturing class. Rosenfarb’s Baluty is not a zone of covert commerce, but it is, like Roth’s zone of Jewish covert economy, a puzzle of decrepit alleyways:

The majority of the houses on Hockel Street were old wooden cottages, as were most of the houses in Baluty. Here and there stood a fading stucco building with several storeys, constructed in the style of the city. These houses had deep arched entrances leading into dingy backyards walled in by three or four-storeyed apartment boxes. Each of the latter had its own murky entrance with a crooked staircase leading to the upper floors. Each floor had its own dark corridor, and each corridor was filled with strong smells which escaped from beneath the countless doors. (33–34)

The home of Samuel Zuckerman, one of Rosenfarb’s Jewish manufacturers, is on Narutowicz Street, which is how the novel’s English version spells this address. Zuckerman enters his magnificent home to find that a ball was in full swing. All the lights were on in the salon and the lustrous glitter of the crystal chandeliers and candelabras made everything sparkle with a glow of its own. The new mahogany buffet tables, a creation of Woodke, a German and the best carpenter in town, were crowded with vases out of which luscious flowers poked their heads through thin green ferns, so delicate that they looked like the filigree work of a meticulous artist. (14)
Here, Rosenfarb’s fiction provides an insider’s view of the kind of scenario that Roth offers only glancingly in *Hotel Savoy*, when his narrator visits a relative who has grown fat on his business successes and welcomes his visitor “before a gleaming copper samovar eating scrambled egg and ham and drinking tea with milk” (13).

The experience of listening to Goldie Morgentaler discuss her mother’s creative and personal connection with Łódź in *Łódź* offered an unusually rich sense of how readerly possibilities can overlap with actual experience; the still extant neighbourhood of Bałuty, where the Germans created a Jewish ghetto, is a manageable walk from the university buildings that provided our conference venue. The Poznański Palace, repurposed as a museum; the elaborate redbrick factory complex transformed as Manufaktura; the still dilapidated workers’ houses across from the slick new andel’s Hotel, beckoned too. In the early chapters of Rosenfarb’s novel, the decades of Łódź’s economic boom are gracefully, lovingly recreated. If Roth’s book offers a veiled portrait of these developments, Rosenfarb sketches them in truer and fuller shape. Walks around Łódź today present the possibility of a third layer of recognition, a kind of return, to the sights and scenes presented in these novels.

Our conference group took a number of walks together, in one case to see the impressive old Jewish cemetery, and then the Radegast Station monument, which takes its name from the occupation period when the Germans renamed the platform for its use as the transport point from which many thousands were sent from the ghetto to Chelmno and Auschwitz. This tour was led by the Łódź-based guide Milena Wicepolska, who, among other pursuits associated with the city’s Jewish history, organizes volunteers to clear the cemetery’s overgrown grounds. Our most substantial city walk as a group led us along Narutowicza to the Ronald S. Lauder Foundation for a Sabbath service and dinner—a remarkable addition to the conference’s other forms of boundary crossing, immersion in the local scene and, as it might have been for some, anthropological study of local texture and Jewish reality. Lauder’s early investments in post-communist Jewish life are well known, and the philanthropic goals of his organization model themselves on North American ideas and institutional goals, which include a place to pray. At Lauder we did something I have not done on my many visits to Poland: in a room divided by sheer cloth, we were led in prayer by a good old-fashioned-sounding *ba’al tefillah*, who, I was later told, is an evangelical Christian convert to Judaism (the man’s background made my corny effort at night’s end to address him in Yiddish a kind of Marx Brothers moment, for it would be the last language he was likely to understand, as negligible as my own Polish). The evening at the Lauder
Foundation offered many pleasant surprises: members of our group sang in Yiddish, and these female voices offered a Canadian rejoinder to the Polish males who led after-dinner songs around a maze of tables. But I wonder if others, besides myself, felt that the night’s undertakings had unlocked the possibility, sometimes suggested in books, of conjuring real and semi-real places of great majesty. Bruno Schulz points toward this possibility in his *Sanatorium Under the Sign of the Hourglass*, when a childhood encounter with a marvellous book conjures faraway places: “Canada, Honduras, Nicaragua, Abracadabra, Hipporabundia. . . .” (34). I floated, I felt, on the fringes of a land called Rosenfarbia, or, a little less fully formed, the terrain of Rothania, where the city’s memories heaved themselves up before us, momentarily physically present, along with the racket of trams that passed outside the open windows on Narutowicza.

To be true to Rosenfarb’s creative motives, one needed to hear some of her work read in Yiddish. And this was provided by Goldie Morgentaler at an evening event that included readings of Rosenfarb’s poems, as well as my own fiction and the creative work of Régine Robin. Yiddish language and literature arose as a compelling motive for discussion at other stages of the conference, including Vivian Felsen’s consideration of Chaim Leib Fuks’s efforts to create an encyclopaedic history of Canadian Yiddish writers, and in Isa Milman’s presentation of her poetry related to Jewish immigrant and farming life on the Canadian prairie.

Readers of Rosenfarb’s *Tree of Life* recognize that her writerly project was a form of historical recovery mixed with testimony, asserting the need to reproduce a world that was entirely destroyed. Barbara Kirshenblatt-Gimblett’s presentation at the conference centred on the screening of a film devoted to her father’s slow but ultimately substantial voyage of return to the Polish city of Apt, or Opatów, where he was a boy before the war. At his daughter’s urging, relying on his perfect recall of the pre-war years, Kirshenblatt began to paint the Polish places of his youth. Interest in his paintings led to his return to Opatów on the occasion of an exhibition, overseen by local officials, attended by old-timers and youthful citizens alike. Mayer Kirshenblatt’s accomplishments mirror his daughter’s, as she completes the curatorial work on exhibits for the newly opened Warsaw Museum of the History of Polish Jews. At the museum, memory and Jewish re-immersion in the Polish contemporary scene are twin goals. The sleek building that houses the museum offers a meeting place between past and present, which includes newly laid out contemplative surroundings, the original Warsaw Uprising monument and a roadway named for Irena Sendler, saviour of children in the Warsaw Ghetto.
One of the exhibits being readied for view is a recreated wooden painted ceiling, after the seventeenth-century synagogue at Gwoździec (Hvizdets), which was destroyed by the Germans. Evelyn Tauben took part in the project to paint the rebuilt facsimile of the ceiling, relying on photographs and descriptions of its pre-war glory. Tauben’s presentation to the conference, like Kirshenblatt-Gimblett’s, offered a portrait of different modes of return, recovery and reconsideration of Polish-Jewish cultural links from a Canadian perspective. This aspect of the conference could be said to be its most idealistic: the prospect of challenging stereotypic, even destructive notions of Poland, and expressing the urge among a new generation of curators, writers and scholars to uncover fresh forms of immersion and dialogue. There were points of resistance to this goal, moments at which expressions of deep personal hurt linked with the shared past made the promise of a change in Polish-Canadian Jewish relations seem unlikely. Regardless of the outcome of these discussions, the depth and level of personal engagement expressed were not what one expects to hear at an academic conference. Such discussion, alongside intellectual challenges, raised ethical, even existential propositions and counter-propositions, which demand attention. Whether one headed home on foot, along Narutowicza, or via air, toward western Europe, Victoria, Toronto or Montreal, the concluding feeling was one that called for further work. Canadians and Poles alike were struck by the need for continued engagement with the questions raised by our panels, talks and readings. Certainly, the Canadian attendees of Kanade, di goldene medine? felt a new respect and affection for the once-Jewish streets of Łódź.
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Krzysztof Majer, Justyna Fruzińska: Let us begin with a subject that you have written much about, and always passionately: your home city—Montréal, or perhaps Montréal. It has often been portrayed as a cosmopolitan metropolis, similar to New York or London, but you have chosen more striking analogies. In your book *Translating Montreal*, you describe it as a “divided city,” and you propose reading it via turn-of-the-century Trieste or Prague, colonial Calcutta, or even contemporary Mostar, evidently challenging the comfortable image of the Canadian mosaic. In these cities, encounters with difference would have been associated with unease or danger. However, barring the October Crisis of 1970, Montreal is not associated, in the global imagination, with violence or peril.

Sherry Simon: It was not only the October Crisis itself—a period of months—which brought violence into the city but the decade preceding and following. Those who lived through the difficult years of the 1960s and 1970s remember a very fraught atmosphere, not only in the political arena but in the sphere of daily life, too, as Québécois nationalism penetrated every area of cultural life, making the time very exciting but also full of antagonism across language lines. Montreal was for much of the twentieth century a colonial city, one dominated by a minority English-language bourgeoisie. The Quiet Revolution of the 1960s progressively changed this situation, so that today the city is largely French-speaking, and English has only a minority role. There is less a sense of division today because the hierarchies of the colonial situation no longer obtain. Yet Montreal shares with many postcolonial cities a shape and a sensibility that has to do with a past of stark divisions—the spatial divisions of colonial Calcutta or of German-Czech Prague.

KM, JF: In your book, you map various “translational” journeys and passages across the divided city which have occurred over the last sixty years or so, with different outcomes. Translation is here understood very broadly, that is including diverse forms of language contact and interference. These may occur spontaneously, but may also be
variously motivated: from the linguistic violence of superimposition practised by the authorities to un-prompted, sincere, grass-roots voyages of individuals curious to “see how the other half lives.” But you conclude that “the identity of the city is to be understood as the sum total of the passages that define its evolution, each testifying to changing conditions of reception.” This is a very dynamic portrait of Montreal, which points to the impossibility of producing a final, complete vision. The Montreal of today seems very far removed from Hugh MacLennan’s notion of “two solitudes,” which was still current, or perhaps fashionable, until very recently. Have there been any major shifts over the eight years since the book was published? Are we able to speculate about the city’s future shape?

SS: The “two solitudes” image long outlived its accuracy as a description of Montreal’s social dynamics. And as you say, today’s Montreal has a much more diverse and complex cultural landscape. I think that the ways in which young people identify with language continue to change. You don’t necessarily choose one language identity and stick to it. There is a lot more fluidity. The historical English-language community is dwindling, but English continues to be an important player—as an international language rather than as the historic colonial enemy. More and more languages of immigration are important today as third languages. Montreal will, however, remain a French-language city and it is important to defend the language laws that allow French to be protected in the public realm. It will be interesting to watch how French itself becomes more diversified, even as it remains the dominant language of the city.

KM, JF: Speaking of linguistic diversification, how do the Francophone inhabitants of Montreal, or indeed of Quebec, see their language vis-à-vis French as spoken in Europe, dans l’Hexagone? Historically, the ties to the language and culture have been very strong, also as far as supporting the idea of sovereignty—one thinks, for instance, of Charles de Gaulle shouting “Vive le Québec libre!” from the balcony of Montreal City Hall in 1967. But how have the Quebecois negotiated their position with regard to the other colonial mantle, i.e., that of l’Hexagone itself?

SS: After decades of very intense debate, there is now a clear consensus that Quebec French is a separate variety of French, neither better nor worse than the Hexagonal, and distinguished by accent, vocabulary and—to some extent—syntax. Influence from English is still considered to be detrimental to Quebec French, and in fact Quebecers are much more attentive to interference from English than are the
French, who don’t seem to mind if their language is increasingly Anglicized. It is no longer France which is considered the absolute arbiter of correctness as far as expression is concerned—Quebec French is, like North African French or Caribbean French, an equal contributor to the culture of la Francophonie. Language consciousness in Quebec extends to the recognition of the gendered nature of language, and while France still declares the Rights of Man, Quebec is attuned to the rights of Humans, and feminizes the professions (including la Première ministre).

KM, JF: Among the many encounters between the various cultures of Montreal described in your book there are, of course, instances of translation in the strict sense. One of the most fascinating examples is the rendering in other languages of a Quebecois theatre classic, Michel Tremblay’s Les Belles-sœurs (1965), originally written in joual, i.e., the language of Montreal’s Francophone working class. Joual was then considered an impure, déclassé idiom, and Tremblay’s decision was—as you remind us—a fervent political gesture. For almost thirty years, Tremblay expressly forbade productions of his piece in Montreal in a language other than French. However, he finally made an allowance for two translations into languages themselves considered impure and déclassé, i.e., Glaswegian Scots (Bill Findlay’s and Martin Bowman’s 1989 translation as The Guid Sisters) and Yiddish (Goldie Morgentaler’s 1992 translation as Di Shvegerins). Especially the second was significant, when staged in Montreal: a dialogue between—as director Dora Wasserman put it—“two threatened cultures,” relations between which had historically been based either on hostility or obliviousness. You discuss this production in the context of other, often surprising connections being forged between the Francophone culture and the traditionally Anglophone Jewish community in Montreal, principally through the work of historian / translator Pierre Anctil. Would you say that Di Shvegerins has had a lasting effect?

SS: You are right to point to the cultural significance of these translations, particularly of joual into Yiddish. What are their lasting effects? Di Shvegerins was produced under the auspices of the Yiddish Theatre company founded by Dora Wasserman, and then directed by Bryna Wasserman and others. The goal of the company was to keep Yiddish theatre culture alive, and the translation was just one of the many projects which the company undertook. This long-term project has had invaluable effects, both for the Jewish and non-Jewish communities. Di Shvegerins should also be placed in the context of the many translations recently undertaken by Pierre
Anctil from Yiddish into French, again a long-term project whose payoffs have been immense. All these efforts have contributed to the diversification of Francophone culture in Montreal, and to the creation of a new understanding of the history of the city. Montreal is about to celebrate its 375\textsuperscript{th} birthday [in 2017], and hopefully the events surrounding this anniversary will build on the huge efforts in the last 25 years to construct a new and more inclusive understanding of the history of the city.

KM, JF: To continue on the subject of Jewishness: in the first half of the twentieth century, Montreal was, after New York, the second most important centre of Jewish (particularly Yiddish) culture, “the Jerusalem of the North.” Various factors have contributed to the gradual decline of this cultural status quo—the influx of immigrants from the Pale of Settlement and other parts of Europe was obviously cut short by the Holocaust; in Montreal itself, the older generations died out, while the younger loosened or lost their connection to Yiddish. More recently, in the 80s and 90s, both separatist referenda in Quebec and the fear of Francization also led large numbers of Jews to abandon the province for Ontario, chiefly Toronto, which now has the largest Jewish population in Canada (160,000 to Montreal’s 90,000). However, efforts are being made nowadays—not least by your own scholarly work—to reclaim the traces of Jewish past in the city both for the Anglophone and the Francophone world. Could you describe what they are and how successful they have been so far?

SS: Well, you’re right to underline the fact that Toronto is now Canada’s major Jewish city, with Montreal falling very significantly behind. It is important to mention, however, the important Sephardic Jewish population of Montreal which was attracted to it as a French-speaking city, and which has become increasingly present as a cultural force. The specifically Yiddish past of Montreal has now become the subject of historical research, and in connection with this I would like to call attention to the work of Rebecca Margolis, professor at the University of Ottawa, who has contributed significantly to our knowledge of Yiddish Montreal, in particular by her book \textit{Jewish Roots, Canadian Soil} (2011). It is impossible to overestimate the work of Pierre Anctil, as a translator and scholar of Yiddish Montreal, in his efforts to redefine relations between Francophones and Jews. Other scholars, like Esther Trépanier (for visual culture) and Jean-Marc Larrue (for the history of theatre), have also been active in making the Yiddish Montreal past part of a common Quebec history. And a significant amount of research has been done within the Francophone literary world to
reconnect these histories. So altogether I think that the scholarly efforts within the Francophone world in particular have been immensely significant—signalling a sea-change in perceptions of the past and understanding of the present.

KM, JF: One of the goals of our conference, in which you kindly participated as keynote speaker,\(^1\) has been to strengthen, or perhaps forge anew, the connection between Łódź and Montreal. A general connection, or even mirroring, exists here: in pre-war Poland, Łódź had the second largest Jewish population after Warsaw, and saw the development of a very vibrant modern culture centred around Yiddish (e.g., the influential “Jung Jidysz” collective). And then there are, of course, individual writers: chiefly Chava Rosenfarb, whose Yiddish masterpiece *Der boim fun lebn* (*The Tree of Life*) is a fictionalized account of the Łódź Ghetto, but also, for instance, Yehuda Elberg, who was born in the nearby Zgierz. As conference organizers, we felt that, in that respect, the presence of Goldie Morgentaler—Rosenfarb’s daughter, translator and commentator—was key to what we were trying to achieve. Rosenfarb’s monumental novel is still virtually unknown in Poland, mostly due to a lack of translations; it is a glaring absence, for the time being filled up by Polish versions of vastly inferior works such as Steve Sem-Sandberg’s *Emperor of Lies*. But to the point: we would appreciate your thoughts on, and impressions of this first visit to Łódź, and of its potential for strengthening the ties with Montreal.

SS: I lament with you the fact that Chava Rosenfarb’s amazing trilogy, *The Tree of Life*, is not better known. I was also struck by the lack of attention to her novel in the reactions to Steve Sem-Sandberg’s *Emperor of Lies*. Rosenfarb’s novel is a much more textured and wide-ranging account of life in the Łódź Ghetto, a testament to the powers both of memory and the imagination. As Goldie Morgentaler has explained, Łódź is a living figure in Rosenfarb’s work—and the city takes on immense importance. In this sense, I could say that visiting Łódź for me was something of an uncanny experience, because I was trying to bring together what I saw with what I had read. (Another literary presence is, of course, Joseph Roth’s *Hotel Savoy*). The industrial past of Łódź as the “Manchester of the East” enhances the sense of the uncanny—because the red-brick industrial architecture of the city is so similar to the red-brick factories of the

---

\(^1\) *Kanade, di goldene medine? Perspectives on Canadian-Jewish Literature and Culture / Perspectives sur la littérature et la culture juives canadiennes*, Łódź, April 2–5, 2014. The other keynote and guest speakers were Régine Robin, Goldie Morgentaler, Barbara Kirshenblatt-Gimblett and Norman Ravvin.
north-east corner of North America. Montreal’s factories in Saint-Henri seem to be replicas of the ones we see here. My stay in Łódź was far too short for me to come up with any substantive impressions for possibilities of links between the two cities, but I am sure that there is ample potential. The fact that so many important figures from Łódź have had an impact on the Yiddish-language literature of Montreal is a promising beginning.

KM, JF: To return to the subject of translation: you describe a remarkable development within Anglophone writing since the 1980s, chiefly among women writers, i.e., to either consciously adopt French as the language in which to write (e.g., Agnes Whitfield), or to deliberately allow French structures and vocabularies to interpenetrate English, so that the outcome is designed to read like a translation (e.g., Gail Scott). Somewhere along that spectrum we also find the creatively playful translations (or “transelations”) and “appropriations” of Erin Mouré, who works, among others, with texts by Nicole Brossard. Brossard herself often problematizes translation, or else her texts appear to enact a translation which does not in fact take place (Le désert mauve is a case in point). Of all the “contact zones” which you describe, this seems to be the one most promising, productive and open, because it seems to deny, or at least challenge, the existence of a privileged original, or in fact, a privileged language.

SS: Yes, it is interesting that women writers have been particularly active in promoting forms of “translational writing.” These are forms of experimental writing, and the figures you point to have been active in avant-garde experiments. Translation remains an important area for such experiments (another figure is Anne Carson), and, of course, Montreal is a particularly apt place for such cross-language excursions. But this writing does remain marginal.

KM, JF: Since we are already discussing privileged languages, perhaps you won’t mind talking straightforward politics. Recent weeks have seen a staggering defeat of Parti Québécois in the local election, and a victory of the Liberal Party under the slogan Ensemble. Since its inception in 1968, Parti Quebecois have had a separatist agenda; whenever they came to power, they proposed a referendum to gauge the society’s attitude to the province’s independence. Both the 1980 and the 1995 referenda failed, but in the second the outcome was incredibly close. This time the incumbent Premier of Quebec, Pauline Marois, hoped for a majority government and it seems that another referendum was in the offing, but these dreams were cut short by surprisingly low results (the Liberals under Philippe Couillard won 70 seats to PQ’s 30). This
seems to have been caused at least in part by the controversial Charter of Values which Marois had been championing, and which would forbid civil servants to wear traditional headgear (e.g., hijabs, burkas, kippas). Is it possible, however, that we are seeing a more general shift in the province, where PQ’s nationalist agenda has more or less run out of steam, and the younger generations cannot be rallied to the cause of *le Québec libre* the way their parents and grandparents could? Or do you think that this is only a temporary lull?

**SS:** You are asking questions that all the political analysts in Quebec were asking on the days following the surprising election results. For most of us, we were simply elated that the Quebec population had not been taken in by what looked for a while like successful electorialist tactics. For me, it is hard to separate the issues from the particularly sleazy way in which Pauline Marois and her henchmen set about trying to win this election. The Charter from the start was a dishonest manoeuvre, meant only to win votes and not to prove any particular point. And so I was thrilled to see this particular team of politicians bite the dust. About the real issues in the long term? I am sure that independence will remain on the political horizon, but it will only have meaning when it carries with it some sort of progressive political project. Independence for its own sake has no meaning, and that is what the electorate so resoundingly said a few weeks ago.

**KM, JF:** Why is Quebeois separatism still attractive enough to serve as a constituent of a political programme? There is a trend in analyzing nationalisms springing up in many European countries as a response to the marginalization of certain groups due to an economic consensus blurring any real distinctions between left- and right-wing parties. Thus, populist sentiments in Central Europe are often interpreted as expressing the frustration of those who feel they have no serious political representation. What fuels separatism/nationalism in Quebec? Is it a mere vent for political disappointments, or can it be seen as a realistic proposition, in social and economic terms?

**SS:** This is a particularly interesting question in light of the results of the elections of last April. There is a sense in which supporters of nationalism feel that the clock is ticking and that globalization will rob them of the numbers they need to ensure a majority in the case of a referendum. This is true. It is difficult for the nationalists to enrol the support of immigrants for their cause—especially as the huge economic disparities which once separated the English (perceived as the colonizer) against the French have
to a large extent disappeared. In fact, it is more and more difficult to determine who is English and who is French when so many individuals are bilingual and where immigrants now increasingly assimilate to the French language (largely because of the success of Bill 101 [The Charter of the French Language]). Is it only the older generation which clings to the idea of a majority “us” against “them”? This is what some commentators are saying.

**KM, JF:** An interesting response to the notion of Quebec sovereignty recently came from the well-regarded 25-year-old Francophone film director Xavier Dolan, who made two comments, in Cannes, that may have seemed contradictory at first: “Whatever my political views are or standpoints, I feel like my movie [Mommy, 2014] is very Québécois” and “For me, it’s not about a country or a province or old dilemmas or wars—that, my generation doesn’t associate with or relate with anymore.” Speaking for an entire generation, he seemed to single-handedly detach the idea of sovereignty from Quebecois identity, the existence of which he did not, however, deny. Would you see this as a young man’s flippancy or part of a legitimate stance which the Francophone 20-somethings are taking in Quebec today?

**SS:** Yes, absolutely. Quebec is a distinct society with a very distinctive culture. This remains true, whether Quebec is politically separate or not. The existence of a strong Quebec government within Canada is essential, for many reasons, including the promotion of the arts. The Harper government is destroying a great deal of what allowed Canadian culture to flourish, and so it is important that the provincial governments, and especially Quebec, continue to act as a counter-weight.

**KM, JF:** If we may end on a more personal note: you yourself are perfectly fluent and at home in both English and French, to the extent that you pronounce your name differently in each language. In the preface to *Translating Montreal*, you acknowledge both the sense of adventure and of disorientation that had accompanied your early ventures into Francophone territory, while in the last pages of your book you turn this into something of an ethical injunction, which you call “the task of attention” and which may perhaps be applied more broadly, to living within an agglomeration: “[a]ttention to differences—those that are fleeting, those that endure—is central to the lessons of city life. To be alert to diversity is both task and reward.” Do you see the “New Montrealer” as embodying this attitude, or is this still a project, a hope for the future?

**SS:** The daily encounter with difference is, I think, the most compelling
aspect of urban life. All cities offer this experience, but some cities offer more intense doses or varieties of diversity. Montreal’s language situation strikes me as a particularly rich kind of daily confrontation with difference. Perhaps this is because I was born into this city and am fascinated by the evolution of its language relations, and by the kind of attention it obliges each of us to maintain. This is a very mundane kind of attention on the one hand (what language does my interlocutor speak? how can I be sure that the language I speak is appropriate, and correct—that is untouched by the influence of the other tongue?) and also an attention that has metaphysical and ethical dimensions (how does the presence of other languages and other systems of values affect my own sense of what is right?). In both cases, I am talking about an ongoing project which is both the privilege and the obligation of the city-dweller.
Jadwiga Maszewska, Zbigniew
Maszewski: How did you become
interested in American literature?
In the 1960s a preference for things
American could be considered as
a form of protest against what we had
and what we were experiencing here
in Poland. Do you remember it that
way?

Agnieszka Salska: No, I don’t re-
member my interest in American
literature as a conscious politi-
cal choice. My entering the newly
opened English Department at
Łódź University (it was reopened
in 1957 following the political thaw
in the wake of the “Polish October”
of 1956) was influenced by my high
school teacher of Polish who kept
pointing out that studying English
(I was thinking of studying in the
Polish Department) will more effec-
tively open the world for me. Special
interest in American literature came
only later and quite spontaneously.
After our third year of studies, we
were required to attend a summer
course where instructors were na-
tive speakers provided by the British
Council and the Cultural Section
of American Embassy in Warsaw.
One of our American instructors,
I think, it was the poet, Peter Vier-
eck (much later I found out that he
taught history at Mount Holyoke,
the college Dickinson attended,
called in her time Mount Holyoke
Serniny) brought a recording of
poems by Emily Dickinson read by
an actress whose name I no longer
remember. I’m sure my English at
that point was not adequate to the
task of actually understanding the
poems. Still, they made a tremen-
dous impression, probably because
the folk rhythms of the stanzaic
forms Dickinson relies on are quite
universal and worked for me above
(or beneath) the semantic level of
her clipped sentences. As a result,
confirmed in my first reaction to
Dickinson by Charles Anderson’s
admirable study Emily Dickinson’s
Poetry: Stairway of Surprise (1960),
I produced an MA thesis on Dick-
inson’s idea of poetry. My supervi-
sor was doctor Róża Jabłkowska
of Warsaw University, a Conrad
scholar, who however was tolerant
enough to accept my non-British
and non-novelistic interest.
JM, ZM: How did your interests in American literature evolve? Could you say a few words about your itinerary as a scholar of American literature?

AS: The evolution of my scholarly itinerary since then was a combination of luck and negotiations between personal interests and the needs of the Department which I joined in 1964 as a young assistant, having for a year taught English in a high school in Łódź. Professor Witold Ostrowski, the then Department Chair, a Victorian scholar, admirer of the English realist novel and something of an anti-romantic, was nevertheless aware of the need to include courses in American literature in the curriculum of English studies. And Polish Americanists had yet to be educated. Thus my Ph.D. dissertation, motivated perhaps by the orderly desire “to begin at the beginning,” was focused on Puritan and colonial poetry; more specifically—on the issue of its relation to the evolution of political and aesthetic concepts of independence. As a Kościuszko Foundation Fellow, I worked on large parts of the dissertation at the University of Virginia, in its wonderful Clifton Waller Barrett Library and its rich Alderman Library. My academic advisor was Floyd Stovall, a noted Poe and Whitman scholar. So, in a way, I brought back from Virginia not only materials and drafts of my Ph.D. dissertation but also foundations of my interest in Whitman. They surfaced in the book on Dickinson and Whitman largely conceived while in the early seventies I was a Postdoctoral Fellow at Yale University on scholarship from the Polish Ministry of Higher Education. The book came out first in Łódź as my Habilitationsschrift. The final (colloquium) part of the procedure took place early in 1982 in somewhat dramatic circumstances since martial law was introduced in Poland in December of 1981. I am grateful to the then Dean of the Faculty, the late Professor Maria Kamińska, and the University of Łódź for pushing on with the formalities despite the political uncertainties of the time. A year later, in response to the proposal I submitted of revising and developing my Dickinson-Whitman book, the American Council of Learned Societies offered me a Fellowship. I was very fortunate to be able to take advantage of the award, travel to Philadelphia and continue working on the project. Although initially the authorities denied me a passport, the Rector of Łódź University, Professor Leszek Wojtczak, successfully intervened on my behalf and, somewhat belatedly, in November 1983 I began my stay as Scholar-in-Residence at the University of Pennsylvania. I was even more fortunate to be able to leave Philadelphia in July of 1984 with a contract signed for the publication by University of Pennsylvania Press of Walt Whitman and Emily
Dickinson: Poetry of the Central Consciousness. When the book came out in 1985 to reasonably favorable reviews, I became invited to lectures and conferences, asked for reviews and contributions to specialist publications such as, for example, The Emily Dickinson Handbook. The practical help and moral support I received in Philadelphia from American scholars, especially Daniel Hoffman and Everett Emerson but also others, have remained for me a model which I have tried to follow of the relationship between older and younger generations in the profession.

In the fall of 1984 I became Director of the Institute of English Studies, University of Łódź, and in 1988 the Department of American Literature was finally created within the Institute with myself as Chair. This added so much administrative work that I remember the period as mostly struggling with bureaucracy and fighting to make time for my students. When the pressures of administration eased, towards the end of the 1990s, there came the proposal of writing a history of twentieth-century American literature for Polish readers with the idea of, in some way, summing up American literary achievement in “the American century.” I could think of such a huge undertaking only as a collective enterprise supported by a grant from the national source. KBN (Komitet Badań Naukowych—Committee for Scholarly Research) responded favorably to the application and, for all the tensions of deadlines and differences in temperament of several co-authors, the two-volume project was brought to a successful completion in 2003 with all the participants still talking to one another. It certainly was a project in which my past experience as President of Polish Association for American Studies served me well. I can only wish that a similar spirit of collegial cooperation continues among Polish Americanists bringing scholarly results.

Thinking back on my work as an American Studies scholar and teacher, I must also say that I feel proud of the work of several of my former students, by now colleagues in full career at various Polish institutions of higher education. I wish them every success. There is nothing better in the experience of a scholar-teacher nearing the end of her/his career than to be able to think that one was professionally surpassed by one’s students.

JM, ZM: Who were your most memorable teachers? With whom did you share your interest in American literature in Poland in the 1960s and 1970s? At that time, did you have contacts with other Americanists in Western or Eastern Europe?

AS: From my student days at Łódź University I vividly remember the British instructor and writer in his own right, Mr. Derwent May, and
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a young American lecturer Victor Contosky, a poet. Victor used to bring his guitar to class and sing American folk ballads, as part of the survey of American literature course. Derwent May gave occasional parties for his students. On the whole, with native speakers there was a noticeable difference in the teacher-student relation in comparison to what we were used to in our relations with Polish faculty. Years later my own students were telling me that in their opinion relations between students and instructors in the English Institute were much less hierarchic than in other Departments of the University or in other Polish schools. Qualified native speakers, on whose employment in the Department I have always insisted as Chair, convey not only knowledge; equally importantly, they pass on vital elements of their culture(s).

From my student days I also remember long seminars with doctor Jabłkowska who every other week traveled from Warsaw to Łódź. And so every other week we sat through a double portion of classes with her. Well, five or six hours of the course in “The English Novel” can be quite trying to young bottoms, no matter how competent the instructor. Our MA program included then a mandatory course in logic which I still recall with pleasure because Professor Tadeusz Pawłowski was such an excellent lecturer. More generally, let me add that the elimination of courses in logic and Latin from the present curriculum of language studies has seriously eroded humanistic education.

The first American Literature Department in Poland was established in Poznań where Professor Jacek Fisiak brought doctor Andrzej Kopcewicz, his colleague from Warsaw University, and Marta Sienicka, a graduate of our university who soon completed her degree. Erudite and kind, Professor Kopcewicz for a long time served as external reader and supervisor of dissertations in American literature in practically all Departments of English in Poland. In Warsaw there was doctor and then Professor Zbigniew Lewicki but not until the mid-eighties to early nineties can we talk of the growth of some significant Americanist milieu in Poland.

Still, few as we were in the late 60s and 70s, we were eager to keep in touch with European Americanists of roughly our own generation while they, in turn, seemed willing to come over and contribute to the seminars and conferences organized in Poland. My acquaintance with, for example, Marc Chénetier or Heinz Ickstadt, both of whom later acted as Presidents of European Association for American Studies, dates back to that time. In the mid-seventies the English Institute at Łódź hosted a conference of European Association for the Study of English (which included an American section) and developed its own
network of scholarly contacts and exchanges. Some of them, like the one with Justus Liebig University at Giessen, are still effectively continued. At the time, largely through the energetic efforts of Professor Janicka-Świderska, we established institutional contacts with University of York, University of Sheffield and University of Lyon II. Professor Maurice Gonnaud of l'Institute d’Anglaise at Lyon, an authority on Emerson, was especially helpful and kind to me, eventually acting as one of the external readers of my Habilitationsschrift. There were then no regulations sanctioning transnational cooperation on the level of formal degree procedures but the good will of many European colleagues and our determination to make the best use of whatever possibilities we saw allowed to make at least some openings in the official intransigence of the political division of Europe. And the European Association for American Studies cooperated. By its statutory rules the Association only accepts members via national organizations; however, in view of the practical/political impossibility at the time of establishing national American Studies Associations in communist countries, individual members from those countries were admitted. And, if I remember correctly, even the payment of dues was waived in their case while the Cultural Section of the American Embassy in Warsaw contributed to our conference expenses if the applicant’s paper was accepted in the program. American Studies became the field of humanities where, though not without difficulties, European scholars could meet, learn from one another, and cooperate, as if above the divisive issues of European history and current political reality.

Ironically, before the political change of 1989, contacts with scholars from Eastern Europe were quite weak partly because they were less eagerly sought after by us and by colleagues from the Eastern bloc. The bureaucracy and political surveillance had to be negotiated by both potential partners and both sides undoubtedly felt that they must not squander their energies on applications for passports and visas within the block when success of such applications seemed even more dubious than in the case of invitations from the West. Thus, we met colleagues mostly from the Eastern side on the Western side of Europe. For example, in the summer of 1973 at one of the sessions of Salzburg Seminar in American Studies, I met colleagues not only from England, West Germany, Turkey or Italy but also from Romania, Bulgaria, and Yugoslavia. With some of them I still keep in touch.

**JM, ZM:** If we remember correctly, in the 1970s and 1980s the United States, via the American Embassy in Warsaw, supported American Studies in Poland quite generously.
Could you talk about that? What were the forms of their support? Did they bring significant writers and critics to Poland?

**AS:** The Salzburg Seminar in American Civilization (later in American Studies), established in 1947 in war-devastated Europe, aimed at bringing together scholars, artists and intellectuals from all European countries and facilitating their contacts with American scholars, artists, translators, journalists, theater people, critics, and intellectuals in general. In 1947 among the faculty of the first seminar there were such luminaries of the American intellectual scene as Professor of Literature and History at Harvard, author of the now classic *The American Renaissance,* F. O. Matthiessen, Professor of Cultural Anthropology at Columbia University Margaret Mead, and the literary critic Alfred Kazin. The Salzburg Seminar at Schloss Leopoldskron (formerly Max Reinhardt’s residence), founded largely by private sponsorship and effort, was also supported in its mission by the State Department. By now the Seminar, renamed as “Salzburg Global Seminar,” has broadened its reach with the aim of bringing together educators, potential community leaders and opinion makers from all over the world.

Within the former Eastern Bloc, Poland was the only country where the Fulbright foundation had maintained, since 1959, a working program of scholarly exchange. Thus Fulbright lecturers and visiting professors were brought to Polish institutions of higher education, and a number of Fellowships to American Universities were offered each year to Polish scholars in different disciplines, some Americanists among them. In addition to the Fulbright Program, the Cultural Section of American Embassy in Warsaw ran a consistent program of funding acquisition of language teaching materials, dictionaries, American literature and linguistics books, subscriptions of periodicals, etc. In view of the currency restrictions, this indeed was invaluable help. For many years the person responsible for the program was the Embassy’s English Teaching Officer, Ms. Anna (we all referred to her informally as “pani Ania,” or simply “Ania”) Wilbik, with whom it was a pleasure to cooperate. The Cultural Section of American Embassy in Warsaw supported various teacher training programs, teacher exchanges and summer courses for Polish teachers of English. For some time in the first decade of this century, it also created, in cooperation with Departments of American Literature and Culture at some Polish universities, a regional program of summer seminars for doctoral students from ex-communist countries. For three consecutive years the American Department at Łódź hosted such a seminar relying on the help of our current and past Fulbright instructors and
exchange contacts. Running our own graduate programs we could, moreover, benefit from the shorter visits of American scholars under the auspices of Fulbright specialist program. Such three to six weeks stays, if planned carefully and well ahead of time, allowed for offering intensive graduate courses oriented towards the interests of a particular group of advanced students.

Visits of noted authors and critics organized and sponsored by the Cultural Section in cooperation with various, not necessarily academic, institutions in Poland have always been the highlights of the American Embassy’s support not only for American Studies but more broadly, for translators, critics, writers and the general public interested in American literature. Thus, when John Updike, Saul Bellow, Philip Roth, William Styron and other important American writers came to Poland, they usually traveled to several Polish cities, met with Polish critics, writers and readers as well as lectured at Universities. You must yourself remember a conference that we held in Łódź in early 1990, in which the writer Mary Gordon and the critic Mark Schechner participated. Apart from unorthodox catering experience (in the difficult time of the early years of Polish transformation we were preparing our own breakfasts and lunches), the nightly performance of nightingales in the bushy surroundings of the then modest University Conference Center near Łagiewniki provided a memorable experience for the American guests. And when Jerzy Kosiński, a graduate of our university, came to Łódź, the biggest lecture hall of our Faculty of Languages could not hold the crowding public.

The Polish Association for American Studies could also rely on the patronage of the Cultural Section of American Embassy. The local organizers of the annual PAAS conference were usually able to invite one or, in good years, even two plenary speakers from the United States whose travel was sponsored from the budget of the Cultural Section. Thus Barton Levi St. Armand or Marjorie Perloff came to Łódź when it was our Department’s turn to host the annual meeting.

**JM, ZM:** The Polish Association for American Studies is a well-established organization today. For over two decades it has been meeting annually and it has a fair number of members. It is associated with the EAAS. Could you tell us about the beginnings of PAAS in Poland? When and where exactly was it established? You were the organization’s president for many years. How did you see your mission?

**AS:** As I have already said, several Polish Americanists became members of EAAS individually before 1989. In the late fall of that year a group of us met at the American
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Studies Center in Warsaw to discuss the initiative of forming a national American Studies Association so that Poland could be officially represented in EAAS and Polish Americanists could have a recognized professional forum within the country. The Association was formally called into being at the beginning of 1990. Its first meeting elected the Board with President and Vice-President. History Professor Michał Rozbicki of the American Studies Center in Warsaw became President and myself Vice-President. Very soon, though, Professor Rozbicki went to the United States where he was offered a position and so resigned his Presidency of PAAS. As Vice-President, I naturally took over and was later elected President. The beginnings felt somewhat shaky; the first official annual conference of PAAS was held in Skierniewice, where through family connections and the offices of Professor Zagaja, we found hospitality in the facilities of the Research Institute of Horticulture. It was a very modest affair leading to a slim post-conference volume called *The American Dream, Past and Present*, published by Łódź University Press. My second term as President ended in 1996. By then I felt that the Association was well established, secure in its membership, scholarly activity, and representation in EAAS.

I considered the consolidation of the Association my main task as President. To that end, the Association needed to establish a tradition, not to say a routine, of annual meetings together with the practice of different American Departments and the American Studies Center in Warsaw taking turn with conference organization and editorial/publishing work. Local organizers needed support in bringing interesting speakers from outside Poland, thus formal cooperation and possibly financial support of the Fulbright Commission in Poland and of the Cultural Section of the American Embassy had to be secured. Informal contacts with Americanists from the United States and Europe needed to be activated. Since each conference would lead to the publication of a selection of papers presented, we started to have a kind of Yearbook of American Studies in Poland. The idea was for PAAS to create a platform for professional exchanges, contacts and mutual support for Americanists from different Polish institutions as well as a venue for publication of the research results, especially for younger colleagues. When Professor Jerzy Durczak was taking over as President of the Association, I felt that the organization attracted sizable membership and developed procedures and ways of functioning. Taking further steps in that direction, with the help of the Polish-American Fulbright Commission, Professor Durczak as President initiated *Polish Journal of American Studies*, another regular form of publication under PAAS.
auspices. Moreover, looking at the programs of EAAS conferences for the past decade or so, one may see that members of PAAS have been contributing quite solidly and consistently reading papers, giving invited lectures, and coordinating workshops.

During my second term in office, the Polish Association of American Studies began the practice of extending invitations to colleagues from beyond the Eastern Border offering to host at our annual conferences one or two Belorussian and Ukrainian colleagues free of cost. As far as I know, the practice is still continued and we have developed contacts with Americanists in Minsk, Kiev, Lviv and other universities beyond Poland’s eastern border.

JM, ZM: What is the situation of American Studies in Poland today? Is there anything that worries you and that you would like to see changed? What do you think should be the organization’s objectives?

AS: That’s a tricky question, for while on the one hand the number of Polish Institutions of higher education offering courses and degrees in American Studies has been growing, on the other hand the interest in the discipline seems no longer as lively as it used to be. The reasons, I think, are complex. First of all, since Poland has become a member of the EU, Europe and things European feel to our students closer and their knowledge more immediately applicable in future careers. Not only is America geographically farther away but since about the mid-nineties, the United States has limited its interest in Europe and, especially, in our region. American Studies has always been a politically sensitive discipline so changes of political weather significantly influence its condition.

From the point of view of someone trained as literature specialist, another important factor is the change of orientation within the discipline, in itself—the corollary of a larger cultural change. The years following World War II were the period of intense popularity of American literature in Europe and the heyday of literary criticism. One may even say that American Studies initially developed somewhat in opposition to the new critical ideas of literary studies with their stress on autonomy of the literary work as leading achievement of any culture. American Studies aspired to a more interdisciplinary perspective and to greater awareness of the various contexts of artistic creation. The research energies pushed not only beyond the work itself but also beyond its national background expanding the horizon of American Studies into Cultural, Multicultural, and Global Studies. The change of name from Salzburg Seminar in American Studies to Salzburg Global Seminar reflects the shift. Removed from its leading position, American Studies has become but
an element of a much larger field. Consequently, the discipline has lost the focal interest it used to enjoy. Thus, to some extent American Studies has become the victim of its own success. Promoting interest in and absorption of American culture helped to equate the notion of “American” with notions such as “modern,” “global,” “transnational,” “multicultural,” and took off the stress from “American.” I do not know whether this is good or bad. But it is a fact that Americanists have to confront and cope with.

Naturally, as a literature scholar, I cannot help regretting the withdrawal of literature from its dominant role in the culture. It seems that in cultural studies, sociology and politics prevailed over the domain of literature with its focus on individual experience. I am afraid that with such a shift, sensitivity to the aesthetic and emotional functions of language as a subtle system capable of expressing and ordering nuances of complicated experience has significantly diminished. To the majority of our students language is simply a practical instrument of communication.

Especially in view of the situation, I would like to see greater involvement of mature Polish scholars in the activities of the Polish Association for American Studies. It seems that over recent years, its annual conferences have been mostly attended by doctoral students and scholars in the beginning stages of their career. I believe they need to feel greater solidarity and support of the professionally established colleagues. Humanities do not enjoy attention, not to mention concern, of the powers that be and so we’d better develop a stronger sense of collegial loyalty. I’d like to see the Association as a firm organization voicing professional concerns and promoting professional achievements. It also seems vitally important that, as a professional organization, we continue contacts and offer support to colleagues in the Ukraine, Belorussia and other countries east of the Polish border. I would especially encourage Polish Americanists to take part in the conferences organized by scholars working at the universities east of our border and try to involve them in our activities. We need to make a serious effort to counter the isolationist pressures within our region.

JM, ZM: Please, tell us what you are working on at the moment. What are the questions about American literature and American Studies in general that you would like to address today?

AS: My interest in American literature started with poetry but in the past decade or so, without betraying poetry, I have devoted more and more attention to the short story. The genre seems characteristically American. Perhaps, with the possible exception of Russian
literature, no other national literature has produced such abundance of excellent, self-consciously artful and sophisticated stories. The artistic short story, as opposed to folk-tale or myth, is the youngest, eminently modern narrative genre. It is also the form of prose in which language in its economy and intense aestheticization comes closest to poetry. Moreover, in practical terms, the short story seems at the moment the most teachable form of literature. Students today seem frightened of both the novel (too long!) and poetry (too difficult!). The short story feels to them more reader friendly. On the instructor’s side, its compact form allows exposing design and showing how form suggests, intensifies, even creates meaning. So while on the one hand I have been working with the poetry of James Schuyler and going back to the work of Galway Kinnell to look at his ties with the heritage of modernism, on the other I have followed developments in the contemporary American short story and thought in particular about Alice Munro and her affinities to Southern American women authors, accomplished practitioners of the story genre like Eudora Welty and Flannery O’Connor.

As to the broader perspective on American Studies, one of the most interesting issues today seems to me the return and popularity of historical writing: historical novels, fictionalized histories, popular historical thrillers, memoirs, biographies, and local histories in which solid research and factual material is being crossed with fictional form. Especially in the American context, in the context of the country and nation so ostensibly oriented toward the future, the intensified concern with history seems puzzling. Yet, to quote Adam Garfinkle, the editor of The American Interest: “what interests us about the past is at least partly a function of what bothers us or makes us curious in the present.” Questions can be asked from different perspectives of American Studies: by literary scholars investigating forms and manners of revitalization and transformations of the historical novel, by sociologists enquiring what present social and cultural anxieties or attitudes trigger the rise of historical interest, by historians looking at how the past is being (re)shaped by present historical writers and so on. The field seems large and inviting though it may be that it seems especially attractive to me under the influence of my own, increasingly back-looking perspective, to which even this conversation testifies.
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