

Viacheslav V. Lytvynenko (Prague) https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5519-8980

SCRIBAL HABITS IN THE SLAVONIC MANUSCRIPTS WITH ATHANASIUS' SECOND ORATION AGAINST THE ARIANS^{*}

T extual scholars have long recognized that their manuscripts contain residues of scribal practices and attitudes, and therefore *knowledge of documents should precede final judgment upon readings*¹. With this idea in mind, this article will examine the scribal habits in ten manuscripts that contain the Slavonic version of Athanasius' *Orations against the Arians*. Naturally, the aim of this study is to bring more precision concerning the textual transmission of this writing in the Slavonic manuscripts and thus shed light on the way the *Orations* were copied and read in medieval times. The questions it will ask are whether we can discern any patterns in the way the scribes changed the text, whether any such changes could be theologically motivated and in what way may they be related to the local contexts in which the *Orations* were copied.

I will begin with a few general remarks on the Slavonic text of the *Orations* and then examine the statistical data for each of the ten manuscripts according to eleven scribal categories. I will conclude with a more detailed discussion of scribal habits in two specific manuscripts that represent the most important cases of textual transmission for my purposes. The study as a whole will be based on my critical edition of the *Second Oration*² and accompanied with several statistical tables throughout the article and in the Appendix. The new material presented in this article is designed to supplement my previous work and also encourage similar studies for the entire corpus of Slavonic *Orations*.

^{*} This study represents research funded by the Czech Science Foundation as the project GAČR 17-07880S "Athanasius of Alexandria, *Epistula ad episcopos Aegypti et Libyae*: Critical Edition of the Old Slavonic Version", and by the Charles University Research Centre program No. 204053.

¹ B.F. WESTCOTT, F.J.A. HORT, *The New Testament in the Original Greek*, vol. II, ²London 1896, p. 31. ² ATHANASIUS OF ALEXANDRIA, *Oratio II contra Arianos. Old Slavonic Version and English Translation*, ed. et trans. V.V. LYTVYNENKO, Turnhout 2019 [= PO, 248 (56.3)] (cetera: Oratio II contra Aria*nos*). On the issue of Slavonic translation and the manuscripts, see p. 354–395.

Three Orations against the Arians (CPG 2093; henceforth CA I, II, III) is the largest and most significant theological work of Athanasius of Alexandria (ca. A.D. 296/298–373)³. Written during his exile in Rome between A.D. 339 and 345, it was directed against Arius (ca. A.D. 256–336) and his sympathizers who denied Christ's divinity and the doctrine of the Trinity. In A.D. 907, Athanasius' Orations along with his *Epistle to the Bishops of Egypt and Libya CPG* 2092⁴ (written ca. A.D. 356 and called the *Fourth Oration* in the Slavonic corpus; henceforth CA IV) were translated into Slavonic by Constantine of Preslav in Eastern Bulgaria. Today we have 10 Slavonic MSS ranging from the 15th to 17th centuries that preserve this work, and they include the following:

Sigla	Manuscripts	Time
A	RNB, St. Petersburg, Pog. 968	1489
В	RGB, Moscow, Ovč. F.209, 791	15 th c.
С	RGB, Moscow, Nik. F.199, 59	Late 15^{th} – early 16^{th} c.
D	RGB, Moscow, Vol. F.113, 437	1489
E	GIM, Moscow, Sin. 20	Late 1480s – early 1490s
F	RNB, St. Petersburg, Sol. 63	16 th c.
G	RNB, St. Petersburg, Sof. VMČ 1321	No later than 1541
Н	GIM, Moscow, Sin. Tsa. VMČ 180	No later than 1554
K	GIM, Moscow, Sin. Usp. VMČ 994	No later than 1552
L	RGB, Moscow, Ovč. F.209, 99	Mid-17 th c.

My study of the *Second Oration*⁵ allowed me to establish that four of the ten MSS in our possession (ABCD) were copied independently from the same Bulgarian protograph, while the other six (EFGHKL) descend from D and form

³ Athanasius Werke, vol. I.1, Die dogmatischen Schriften, Lfg. 2, Orationes I et II contra Arianos, ed. K. METZLER, K. SAVVIDIS, Berlin–New York 1998; Athanasius Werke, vol. I.1, Die dogmatischen Schriften, Lfg. 3, Oratio III contra Arianos, ed. K. METZLER, K. SAVVIDIS, Berlin–New York 2000.

⁴ Athanasius Werke, vol. I.1, Die dogmatischen Schriften, Lfg. 1, Epistula ad episcopos Aegypti et Libyae, ed. K. METZLER, K. SAVVIDIS, Berlin–New York 1996.

⁵ Oratio II contra Arianos, p. 371–395 (stemma codicum is on p. 395).

a separate group of MSS. Within this group, E is copied directly from D, and G is copied directly from E. Furthermore, F is copied partly from C (*CA* chs. 1–38), and partly from E (*CA* chs. 39–82), while HK are copied from G, and L is copied from K. In my analysis of the scribal habits, I will first consider the primary group of MSS (ABCD), and then the secondary one (EFGHKL).

1. Statistical analysis

In this section, I would like to explore each of the ten MSS according to the following eleven categories or scribal features in the *Second Oration*: (1) omissions, (2) additions, (3) substitutions, (4) transpositions, (5) non-sense readings, (6) marginal corrections, (7) marginal notes, (8) deletions, (9) erasures, (10) interlinear corrections, and (11) corrections within the text. For each MS, I will first provide a summary table of the scribal habits according to these eleven categories and then make a few comments that will try to make sense of the statistical data at hand. Before I do that, however, I owe an explanation of how the tables should be read.

For each of the eleven categories, the tables include one or more numbers in the right. The first number gives the total sum of occurrences for a particular scribal feature, and any other numbers that follow are separated by a slash and mean one of two things. First, in the section with omissions and additions, the second number after the slash means the total estimate of omitted and added letters. For example, if the table for the scribe of MS A shows 51/96 of omissions and 23/166 of additions, it means that he made 51 cases of omission resulting in 96 omitted letters, and 23 cases of addition resulting in 166 added letters. To have this kind of statistics allows us to see how many elements the scribe omits and adds, as well as how often he does that. Second, there are three more types of numbers that need to be understood according to the following indicators next to them: ^R, ^L, underlining. Here, letter ^R means a case of redundancy, letter ^L means a marginal note that is two or more letters long, and any underlined number means a deliberate/significant change in the text. Thus, again, if the table for the scribe of MS A shows $23/166/6^{R}/1$ of additions, it means that he had 23 cases of addition resulting in 166 added letters, and out of 23 cases of addition there are 6^{R} cases where he added a redundant letter or syllable, and there is 1 case where he made a deliberate/significant addition in the text. Similarly, if the table for the scribe of MS K shows 16/12^L cases of marginal notes, it means that he made 16 marginal notes, of which 12^L cases are notes two or more letters long.

The ten summary tables offered in this section are also part of the longer table in the Appendix. The longer table further indicates all individual cases for each of the eleven scribal categories in the MSS.

1.1. Primary group of MSS

Omissions	51/96
Additions	23/166/6 ^R / <u>1</u>
Substitutions	23/ <u>1</u>
Transpositions	2
Non-sense readings	2
Marginal corrections	23
Marginal notes	5
Deletions	20/16 ^R
Erasures	3/1 ^R
Interlinear corrections	30
Corrections within the text	0

Scribe of MS A

Based on the above figures, the most prominent feature that distinguishes this scribe is his making additions (166), and to a much lesser extent omissions (96). Next in number is his habit of using interlinear corrections (30) that appear more frequently than his corrections in the margins (23). When compared with the other three scribes from the primary group of MSS, he omits the least number of letters (96 vs 211, 273, 455), and has the lowest number of substitutions (23 vs 91, 116, 142) and transpositions (2 vs 3, 5, 20). He shares about the same number of added letters as the scribe of MS C (166 vs 164), which is higher than in the scribe of MS D (128) but significantly lower than in the scribe of MS B (509). These figures generally make him the best MS out of four that were copied directly from the Bulgarian protograph.

Scribe of MS B

Omissions	138/455
Additions	102/509/7 ^R / <u>3</u>
Substitutions	142/ <u>4</u>
Transpositions	3
Non-sense readings	15

Marginal corrections	12
Marginal notes	3/2 ^L
Deletions	3/1 ^R
Erasures	1
Interlinear corrections	10
Corrections within the text	0

This scribe tends to add and omit very often. In fact, the number of omitted and added elements is enormous when compared with the other three MSS (455 vs 96, 211, 273 for omissions, and 509 vs 128, 166, 164 for additions). Besides the high frequency of omissions (138 vs 51, 99, 119), he omits larger portions of text than the other scribes do, and sometimes fails to copy the middle part of words, and not just their first or last letters. Oftentimes, this leads him to create more non-sense readings than the other scribes (15 vs 1, 2, 4). The number of substitutions is also very high (142 vs 21, 91, 116), though he rarely introduces deliberate textual changes (4 vs 1, 13, 36). For corrections, he uses the margins (12) almost as often as he writes the corrections on top of words (10). He rarely makes transpositions (3), and his deletions and erasures are very few (3 and 1). These low figures with respect to corrections, make this MS appear visibly as the cleanest one of the four.

Omissions	99/211/ <u>1</u>
Additions	39/164/2 ^R
Substitutions	91/ <u>13</u>
Transpositions	5
Non-sense readings	1
Marginal corrections	42
Marginal notes	2
Deletions	11/5 ^R
Erasures	5
Interlinear corrections	23
Corrections within the text	0

Scribe	of	MS	С
--------	----	----	---

This scribe makes more omissions (211) than he does additions (164) and substitutions (91), and when compared with the other scribes, he is second among them with respect to all three of these categories. There are 13 cases of what might be deliberate substitutions and one deliberate omission, which makes him third among the others. He makes 5 transpositions vs 2, 3, 20 in other MSS, and when he corrects himself, he makes the marginal corrections (42) almost twice as often as he does the interlinear corrections (23). The same is true of the deletions that approximate twice as many (11) as the erasures (5).

Omissions	119/273/ <u>3</u>
Additions	63/128/3 ^R / <u>12</u>
Substitutions	116/ <u>36</u>
Transpositions	20
Non-sense readings	4
Marginal corrections	115
Marginal notes	0
Deletions	11/7 ^R
Erasures	24
Interlinear corrections	62
Corrections within the text	4

Scribe of MS D

This scribe makes a lot of omissions (273 vs 96, 211, 455) and tends to have about the same number of added elements (128 vs 164, 166, 509) as he has substitutions (116 vs 23, 91, 142). When compared with the other scribes, he makes the largest number of what might be deliberate changes (51 vs 2, 7, 14), as well as transpositions (20 vs 2, 3, 5), marginal corrections (115 vs 12, 23, 42), erasures (24 vs 1, 3, 5), and interlinear corrections (62 vs 10, 23, 30). From the visual standpoint, there is rarely a folio that has nothing written in the margins or has no interlinear corrections on it. This MS will be discussed in much more detail later.

1.2. Secondary group of MSS

Omissions	35/61/ <u>1</u>
Additions	18/52
Substitutions	21
Transpositions	2
Non-sense readings	1
Marginal corrections	58
Marginal notes	0
Deletions	63/23 ^R
Erasures	14
Interlinear corrections	120
Corrections within the text	8

Scribe of	f MS E
-----------	--------

This scribe copied directly from the scribe of MS D, who then checked both MSS and often made identical corrections in each of them. For that reason, it is not always easy to say which correction belongs to which scribe, but oftentimes, the same correction that is made in the margin (115) in MS D is then made in the form of an interlinear correction (120) in MS E. One significant feature in the scribe of MS E is his exercise of deletions: 63 vs 11 in the scribe of MS D, and vs 1, 1, 3, 5, 6, 8, 11, 20 in the other MSS. His use of erasures is less common than in the scribe of MS D (14 vs 24), but more common than in all the other MSS (14 vs 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 3, 5, 8), and he makes more corrections within the text than the other scribes do (8 vs 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 4, 7). He omits about the same number of elements (61) as he adds them (52), and introduces 21 substitutions of which only 1 seems to be deliberate. The number of transpositions (2) and non-sense reading (1) is very small.

Omissions	61/154/ <u>1</u>
Additions	48/77/1 ^R
Substitutions	51/ <u>3</u>
Transpositions	13

Non-sense readings	0
Marginal corrections	22
Marginal notes	0
Deletions	8/2 ^R
Erasures	8
Interlinear corrections	11
Corrections within the text	1

Despite the fact that the same hand copied both (and about equal) parts of this MS - chs. 1-38 from MS C, and chs. 39–82 from MS E – its first part contains considerably more cases of omissions (41 vs 21), additions (37 vs 11), substitutions (41 vs 10), and transpositions (11 vs 2) than the second part. There are also more erasures (7 vs 1) and marginal corrections (16 vs 6) in the first part than in the second, and only deletions (2 vs 6) and interlinear corrections (4 vs 7) figure more prominently in the second part than in the first. One explanation for these unequal statistics might be related to the possibility that the scribe took a significant break to rest before switching to the second part of the MS, while being more tired (and therefore sloppier) during his work on the first part that followed on twice as much work of copying the first *Oration*. When compared with the other MSS that ascend to MS E, the scribe of MS F is second in the number of additions (77 vs 0, 12, 12, 82), third in the number of omissions (154 vs 2, 36, 154, 321), fourth in the number of substitutions (51 vs 6, 18, 26 54), and fifth in the number of transpositions (13 vs 0, 0, 0, 7).

Omissions	21/36
Additions	7/12/2 ^R
Substitutions	18
Transpositions	0
Non-sense readings	3
Marginal corrections	6
Marginal notes	1
Deletions	6/3 ^R

Scribe	of	MS	G
--------	----	----	---

Erasures	0
Interlinear corrections	14
Corrections within the text	1

This scribe copied directly from MS E, and his copy is the second best one within the secondary group of MSS when it comes to omissions (36 vs 2, 61, 154, 154, 321), additions (12 vs 0, 12, 52, 77, 82), and substitutions (18 vs 6, 21, 26, 51, 54). He makes omissions (36) about two times as often as he does additions (12) and substitutions (18), and there are no transpositions and deliberate changes in the text. For corrections, he uses superscriptions more frequently (14) than he does marginal corrections (6), and half of his deletions ($6/3^{R}$) take place when he needs to get rid of the syllables that he copied twice by mistake.

Omissions	1/2
Additions	0
Substitutions	6
Transpositions	0
Non-sense readings	0
Marginal corrections	3
Marginal notes	0
Deletions	1
Erasures	0
Interlinear corrections	4
Corrections within the text	1

Scribe of MS H

On all counts, this scribe has the most accurate hand within the secondary group of MSS. Throughout the entire copying, he made 6 substitutions, 2 omissions, and no additions, transpositions, and non-sense readings. There are only 4 interlinear corrections, 3 marginal corrections, 1 deletion, and 1 correction within the text. At the same time, this MS has limited value for the reconstruction of the initial form of text due to being a direct copy from MS G, and therefore having a tertiary position.

Omissions	103/321
Additions	47/82/2 ^R / <u>6</u>
Substitutions	54/ <u>10</u>
Transpositions	7
Non-sense readings	6
Marginal corrections	19
Marginal notes	16/12 ^L
Deletions	5/3 ^R
Erasures	0
Interlinear corrections	16
Corrections within the text	7

Scribe of MS K

This scribe produced the most corrupted MS of the ten, and it has the last position within the secondary group of MSS on almost all counts. His most common scribal habit has to do with omissions (321 vs 2, 36, 61, 154, 154), which sometimes involves his failure to copy the middle part of words, and not just their first or last letters. Compared with the number of omissions, he makes far fewer additions (82 vs 0, 12, 12, 52, 77) and substitutions (54 vs 6, 18, 21, 26, 51). The number of transpositions (7) is second to MS F (13), but higher than in other MSS within the secondary group (0, 0, 0, 2). He introduces the highest number of deliberate changes in the text (16 vs 0, 0, 1, 4, 12), and writes 16 marginal glosses of which 12 are more than two words long, and several have a clearly theological-polemical purpose (to be discussed in just a little while).

Omissions	16/154
Additions	3/12/1 ^R / <u>1</u>
Substitutions	26/ <u>11</u>
Transpositions	0
Non-sense readings	1
Marginal corrections	8

Marginal notes	16/12 ^L
Deletions	1
Erasures	1
Interlinear corrections	3
Corrections within the text	0

This scribe copied directly from MS K, and his most common feature is introducing substitutions (26), of which the most frequent one is changing **GNIMENAA** to **GNIEWAA** for γενητά. He has a high number of omitted elements (154), but the estimation of actual cases where he makes omissions is only 16. The high number of omitted elements has to do with the fact that he makes three rather long omissions (19, 26, and 39 omitted elements). His third major feature has to do with additions, while the total number of added elements is second in this group (12 vs 0, 12, 52, 77, 82). He makes no transpositions and uses marginal corrections (8) more frequently than he does interlinear corrections (3). He reproduces the same 16 marginal glosses that are found in MS K, though sometimes arranging them a little bit differently.

2. Analysis of deliberate/significant changes in the text

By far the most significant cases of scribal interaction with the text belong to two scribes: one of MS D and another of MS K. In this section, I would like to discuss their scribal habits in a little more detail by looking at those cases where they make deliberate textual changes and add significant marginal comments that illustrate their perceptions of what they copy. I will begin with a few remarks about the MS tradition for each of the two codices and then spend the rest of time examining their scribal habits with special attention to the *Second Oration*.

2.1. Scribe of MS D

The scribe that copied MS D made two colophons with important information for our purposes⁶. In the longer colophon (f. $237^{r} - 237^{v}$) he calls himself Timofej Veniaminov (посл'яжиета ряц'к мон. амарталос; Тімооға венїаминова) and says that he produced his MS in Great Novgorod (в то л'кто здесе въ преим'книтώ ту небполеюс<є>). The second (shorter) colophon (f. 217^{v}) adds that he finished copying the *Orations* on October 16th in the year 6997 (= 1489) (писа́ послё́него ста зе _га́; бъ го октимврїо. і́в.).

⁶ For the full text of both colophons, see Oratio II contra Arianos, p. 373-374.

According to the longer colophon, the work of copying was occasioned by the rise of a heresy known in church terminology as the heresy of the Judaizers⁷. Promoted by both clergy and laypeople, this heresy attacked the most fundamental Orthodox beliefs, and more specifically the doctrine of the Trinity: в то л'вто здесе въ преили виние то недполежс<е> лиози сщенникы и діакони; и ю просты людіи діаки навились сквернители на в'вру непорочную велика б'яда постигла гра сен и колика тима и туга постиже м'всто се стую в'яру православіа что запечытл'яшы сті и щи седлиъ съвър; пропов'ядію ща и сна и стго дда въ тоци едіно бжтво неразд'ялимо. (f. 237^v)⁸. This heresy was successfully countered by the Novgoro-dian Archbishop Gennadij (Ить въскор'я исплънись о базк блод'ян; дда стааго. пресщины ард'єписко генадіє; шбиажилъ й еретичества злод'янство) (f. 237^v)⁹, and Athanasius' Orations (representing the classical trinitarian doctrine) were clearly designed to support Gennadij's task.

Throughout the MS, we have several marginal notes where Timofej says that he copied from the Old Bulgarian codex: *copied from the Bulgarian* [book] (<BTA E0>AFAPCKON <CHN>CANO, f. 9^v), in the old Athanasius it is written like this (B CTAPÓ A©ANTAPCKON <CHN>CANO, f. 219^r), this is the way it is in the old [codex] (BTA CTAPÓ A©ANACÏH ПИСАНО ТАК, f. 219^r), this is the way it is in the old [codex] (BTA CTAPÓ TÃ, f. 233^v). While the scribe is clearly of Russian origin (Novgorod), his handwriting imitates the style of the South-Slavic orthography, in particular the 15th-century Serbian polu-ustav with forms of cursive (most likely being influenced by Timofej's teacher – Dominican monk, Veniamin)¹⁰. Based on the description of the hieromonk Iosif, this MS used to belong to the Iosifo-Volokolamsk Monastery before it was given to the Russian State Library (RGB) where it is kept today¹¹.

⁷ For a thorough treatment of the Judaizers, see А.И. Алексеев, *Религиозные движения на Руси* последней трети XIV – начала XVI в.: стригольники и жидовствующие, Москва 2012, esp. p. 385–492.

⁸ In that year here in famous Novgorod, many priests and deacons (including those from the simple folks) appeared to profane the pure faith. The town was overtaken by great trouble, and so much darkness and suffering befell this place [and] the holy Orthodox faith, which the holy fathers set down at the seven Councils by preaching the Father and Son and Holy Spirit in the one divine inseparable Trinity (trans. mine).

⁹ However, it did not take long for the holy Archbishop Gennadij to expose the wickedness of their heretical teaching after he was filled with God's grace of the Holy Spirit (trans. mine).

¹⁰ On Timofej Veniaminov's handwriting and the influence of his teacher on this scribe, see Л.Д. Седельников, *К изучению* 'Слова кратка' и деятельности доминиканца Вениамина, ИОРЯС 30, 1925, р. 223; М.Г. Гальченко, Второе южнославянское влияние в древнерусской книжности. (Графико-орфографические признаки второго южнославянского влияния и хронология их появления в древнерусских рукописях конца XIV – первой половины XV вв.), [in:] Книжная культура. Книгописание. Надписи на иконах древней Руси, ed. IDEM, Москва–Санкт-Петербург 2001, р. 325–382, 384–420.

¹¹ Иосиф (Нівгомопк), Опись рукописей, перенесенных из библиотеки Иосифова манастыря в библиотеку Московской духовной академии, Москва 1882, р. 73–74.

The most typical way Timofej changes the text of the *Orations* is by "Hellenizing" the Slavonic. He does that in two ways. First, he changes the Slavonic words to Greek words or word forms, which he consistently writes in Cyrillic script. The most frequent example is πακλοε for "Paul" (e.g. 45.23, 62.30, 62.31). Other cases throughout the MS include λογοε for "Word", βμελοε for "Scriptures", αρμεβω γρα-Φμπε for "Arius' writings", μεκεβρϊοε for "December", πμφλοε for the "blind", αμαρπαλοε for "sinner", πελοε for the "end", and some others. The second way Timofej Hellenizes the *Orations* is by adding a number of Greek New Testament quotes written in Cyrillic script (with a few ligatures and Greek letter forms) and placed in the margins alongside the same quotes in the Slavonic text. The longest of such quotes appear on ff. 161 and 165 in the third *Oration*, and their presence suggests that Timofej made use of a Greek New Testament¹² and checked Athanasius' citations against it:

CA III.26, f. 161 ^r	кај глошн ејс та мери кајсарїде тне філіппУ, йршта тУс ма ^{от} тде ачт [Quoted from Mt 16.17]
CA III.26, f. 161 ^r	อะ รัศษ์ อะ รัศษ์ • เ๊พล ซา๊ ศารี อำหลาอกเกระ [quoted from Mc 15.34]
CA III.32, f. 165 ^v	то̀н пілон written next to the Slavonic word брыніємъ [Quoted from Io 9.6]
CA III.32, f. 165 ^v	<п>о грецском • кај е́пехрісе то̀н пило̀н • е́пи тв̀с о̀фбалмбс тб тифлв [Quoted from Io 9.6]

This exotic scribal feature led some scholars¹³ to believe that Timofej had a certain knowledge of Greek, which he probably learned from his teacher Veniamin. In yet another MS (RNB, St. Petersburg, Kirillo-Belozersk 36/41, the 1480s–1490s, ff. 3–246), which appears to be Timofej's Greek workbook, he copied much larger portions of Greek, and based on the numerous mistakes of itacism, declension, and orthography in that workbook, it is generally thought that his knowledge of Greek was fairly elementary¹⁴. Even then, as Romanchuk notes, *Timofei's concrete knowledge of Greek, while crude by our standards, probably impressed his compatriots*¹⁵.

¹² See Б.Л. ФОНКИЧ, *Греческо-русские культурные связи в XV–XVII вв. (Греческие рукописи в России), Москва* 1977, р. 41. FONKIČ suggests that Timofej used a Greek Gospel lectionary, also known as *Aprakos*.

¹³ See esp. Б.Л. Фонкич, Греческо-русские культурные связи..., р. 36–37.

¹⁴ Б.Л. Фонкич, Греческо-русские культурные связи..., р. 40-41.

¹⁵ R. ROMANCHUK, Once Again on the Greek Workbook of Timofei Veniaminov, Fifteenth-Century Novgorod Monk, [in:] Monastic Traditions. Selected Proceedings of the Fourth International Hilandar Conference. The Ohio State University 1998, ed. C.E. GRIBBLE, P. MATEJIC, Columbus 2003, p. 286.

Besides the Greek quotes in MS D, Timofej also adds a few Slavonic marginalia and macaronic notes. Some of them are longer (as in the first three examples), while others are shorter (as in the fifth example). All of them suggest that Timofej considered his task of copying the *Orations* not only in transmitting the text correctly but also in making sure that his readers make the most out of it:

CA III.44, f. 175 ^r	зри вънимателич · что про изволение · на страници писано Trans.: See carefully what this page writes about the election
CA III.58, f. 186 ^r	въ б е́не по мнозъ та пословица Ядра written next to the Slavonic word удра Trans.: The same proverb about the hydra is also found slightly earlier in the Second Oration
CA IV.1–19, f. 212 ^v	ο αρμεβε τὸν θανατών Trans.: On Arius' death
CA IV.1–19, f. 213	здε τελος τον θανατον Trans.: Here is the end [of Arius'] death
CA III.61, f. 188 ^r	зри Trans.: See

Timofej's desire to play the role of a communicator in addition to being a scribe eventually leads him to make deliberate changes in the text of the *Orations*. This is clearly seen in his habit of consistently modernizing certain words and phrases in the 10th-century Bulgarian text of the *Orations* in order to make them more comprehensible for his readers in 15th-century Russia. The following examples from the second *Oration* illustrate this feature very well:

CA II.52.1	плесканъ бываєть] πλάττεται създанъ б. D & EFGHKL (sine ABC)
CA II.52.2	плесканіт»] πλασθη̃ναι зданіа D & EFGHKL (sine ABC)
CA II.52.3	съплескалъ есть] ἔπλασε създалъ е. D & EFGHKL (sine ABC)
CA II.63.14	реклы] εἶπεν глали D & EFGHKL (sine ABC)
CA II.76.10	Град'вте] δεῦτε прид'вте D & EFGHKL (sine ABC)
CA II.46.27	домъ. и подъпьрі] οίκον καὶ ὐπήρεισε χρά и Утвірди D & EF GHKL (<i>sine</i> ABC)

Moreover, Timofej modifies several biblical passages in the *Orations* to what he believed was a more accurate form of the text. His corrections correspond to the textual forms in the *Gennadian Bible* (the first complete Slavonic version finished in 1499), and since Timofej belonged to the circle of scribes who compiled that Bible during the 1490s, it is very likely that he made use of the biblical MSS in their possession when he copied the *Orations* in 1489. Furthermore, since the entire project of the *Gennadian Bible* was a major part of battling the heresy of the Judaizers by making available the complete and most accurate biblical text¹⁶, it is reasonable to think that Timofej corrected the biblical quotes in the *Orations* with precisely this agenda in mind. If this is right, then his effort to harmonize the biblical quotes in the *Orations* with the *Gennadian Bible* was a way of ensuring that both say the same things, and, therefore, both are equally authoritative. In the second *Oration*, I have identified four cases where Timofej changed the biblical passages according to the text in the *Gennadian Bible*. In the following examples, Timofej adds three words (твою, мä and савае) and changes one word for another (ма for въ):

CA II.50.25–26	даждь дръжавж отрокж твоемж] бо̀с то̀ кра́тос боυ тῷ παιδί бои даже державж твою О. т. D (твою > ABC & EFGHKL) даже дръжаву твою отроку твоему Ps 85.16 <i>Gennadian Bible</i> , p. 219
CA II.72.9	κ немж же слово есть] πρòς ὃν ἡμῖν ὁ λόγος к н. ж. нå с. є. D (нå > ABC & EFGHKL) к нему же нå слово Heb 4.13 Gen- nadian Bible, p. 355
CA II.64.27	Блжни непорочении на пжть] μακάριοι οί ἄμωμοι ἐν ὁδῷ Б. н. въ п. D (въ > ABC & EFGHKL) Блжени непорочній в путь Ps 118.1 Gennadian Bible, p. 282
CA II.80.5	Сачле что ма гониши] Σαῦλε, τί με διώκεις савле савле ч. м. г. D (sec. савле > ABC & EFGHKL) савле савле что ма гониши Act 9.4 <i>Gennadian Bible</i> , p. 43-44

2.2. Scribe of MS K

In contrast to what we know about Timofej Veniaminov, there is absolutely no information about the scribe of MS K¹⁷. It is possible that he did his work of copying ether in Great Novgorod or Moscow, and his MS was part of the larger collection consisting of twelve volumes and known as the *Great Menaion Reader* (*Velikie Minei Četii*). The work on this project was commissioned and assisted by

¹⁶ On this, see Е. Сморгунова, Составители и писцы Геннадиевской Библии, [in:] Библия в духовной жизни, истории и культуре России и православного славянского мира. Сборник материалов международной конференции к 500-летию Геннадиевской Библии, Москва, 21–26 сентября 1999 г., Москва 2001, р. 92–118.

¹⁷ For the description of this MS, see *Die Grossen Lesemenäen des Metropoliten Makarij. Uspenskij spisok*, vol. I, *1–8 Mai*, ed. E. WEIHER et al., Freiburg 2007 [= *MLSDV*, 51], p. XL–CX.

the Metropolitan of Moscow and all Russia Makarij. The main purpose of creating the *Great Menaion Reader* was to centralize the cult of the Russian saints and compile all major writings available at the time into twelve volumes according to the twelve months of the church calendar. In 1552 Makarij placed these *Menaion* in the Cathedral of the Dormition at the Moscow Kremlin, and the text of Athanasius' *Orations* is contained in the May volume under May 2.

As was mentioned earlier, this scribe produced the most corrupted MS of the ten, introducing the largest number of deliberate/significant changes in the text and adding some glosses that have a clearly theological-polemical purpose. I will discuss both of these features, starting with the second one. The marginal glosses written by this scribe range from several words to a full sentence and can be divided into five groups, each addressing a specific theological issue: (1) Icons; (2) *Filioque*; (3) Christology; (4) Deification; and (5) Church¹⁸. Arranged in this way, the theological glosses include the following:

(1) Icons

CA II.23, f. 106 ^r	лютори б сюд& пратса. ї иже чтан сїа книги, то яри. въ, г,ё, посланіи сицевагб знамени Trans.: Here is the point disputed by the Luthers ¹⁹ , and everyone who is reading these books must see the indicated [passages] regarding this in the third Oration
CA III.4, f. 125 ^v	о поклонении ко иконк $\chi_{0}^{\hat{j}}$ товк и ко вск сты иконам в ниже $\overline{\chi}$ с вобразися во обраа свои Trans.: On worshipping Christ's icon and the icons of all the saints in whom Christ was formed in his own image
CA III.7, ff. 126 ^r –126 ^v	на иконоборцы Trans.: Against the iconoclasts

(2) Filioque

CA III.14, f. 128 ^v	о подаљній от сына Trans.: On the giving from the Son
<i>CA</i> III.24, f. 131 ^v	о п<одалнї>и <от сына> Trans.: On the giving from the Son

¹⁸ The same glosses appear in MS L that was directly copied from K: (1) Icons (ff. 337^v–338^r; 460^r–462^v; 465^r–467^r); (2) Filioque (ff. 478^v; 496^r); (3) Christology (ff. 459^r; 463^r; 505^v); (4) Deification (ff. 487^r–487^v; 495^r); (5) Church (ff. 250^r–251^r; 119^v; 424^r–424^v). For a detailed discussion of these glosses, see V.V. LYTVYNENKO, *Athanasius' Orations against the Arians: Theological Glosses in Two Slavonic Manuscripts*, IIKIII, 19, p. 77–101.

¹⁹ The plural of "Luther" here was used to refer to the Lutheran Christians. Since the word "Luther" was often used as a synonym for "lutyj" ("лютый"), meaning "ferocious", "fierce", or "cruel", it functioned as a derogatory name for describing the Protestants. On this, see Д.И. ЦВЕТАЕВ, Протестанство и протестанты в России до эпохи преобразований, Москва 1890, р. 587.

(3) Christology

CA III.4, f. 125 ^r	о слижнін Trans.: On unification
CA III.6, f. 126 ^r	ce Trans.: This
CA III.29, f. 133 ^r	не оумали но смири Trans.: Not 'became less' but 'humbled'

(4) Deification

CA III.19, f. 130 ^r	о обоженін Trans.: On deification
CA III.23, f. 131 ^r	Cross-sign (+) next to the word wбожени (deification)

(5) Church

CA I.43, f. 93 ^v	о всъхть сватыхть spu Trans.: See on all the saints
CA II.67, f. 119 ^v	о церкви 1 о азыцъх Trans.: On the Church and nations

Based on what the scribe writes in these glosses, it is clear that he considers the *Orations* as a major proof-text concerning the fundamental points of the Orthodox doctrine. His reference to Luther and iconoclasts suggests that his primary opponent was Protestantism, which fits well with what we know about the religious situation in Russia during the 16–17 centuries. The emerging Protestants in that context were coming primarily from the south-western parts of Russia (known as Little Russia that covered most of the territory of modern-day Ukraine), as well as from Poland²⁰. Most of them denied a number of distinctively Orthodox doctrines, and the more radical groups of Protestants (the so-called Socinians)²¹ went as far as to reject Christ's divine nature and the Trinity. Copying the *Orations* with this polemical agenda in mind allows the scribe to make Athanasius relevant to his own context, and the fact that his glosses were copied again by another scribe around 100 years later (RGB, Moscow, Ovč. F.209, 99, mid-17th century) shows that his appropriation of Athanasius was taken seriously.

²⁰ The issue of Protestantism in Russia and the Orthodox-Protestant polemic is discussed in many works. See esp. a collection of articles on this topic in *Православие Украины и Московской Руси* в XVI–XVII веках: Общее и различное, ed. М.В. ДМИТРИЕВ, Москва 2012.

²¹ See e.g. Socinianism and its Role in the Culture of XVIth to XVIIIth Centuries, ed. L. SZCZUCKI, Warsaw–Lodz 1983.

This situation, however, raises the question as to whether the scribe could have felt it necessary to change the original text of the *Orations* in order to fit Athanasius to his theological needs. Based on my analysis of the second *Oration*, the most significant changes that he makes can be divided into those that seem to function as deliberate corrections of the initial text and others that are intended to modernize or re-state certain things a little bit differently. The following examples illustrate the first type of changes:

CA II.13.2	чловѣкѣ] ABCDEFGH, ӹ҃ь KL, ἄνθρωπος
CA II.57.3	ροдиста] ABCDEFGH, сътвориста KL, ἐγέννησαν
CA II.74.26	бестждыны] ABCDEFGH, дистонны KL, αναίσχυντον
CA II.24.32	сжтьство] ABCDEFGH, чювство KL, φύσιν

It is hard to say what exactly motivated the scribe to make these changes, but the substituted words are clearly the opposite of what the original text actually states: "man" is changed for "God", "born" for "created", "shameless" for "worthy", and "nature" for "feeling". Moreover, given the importance of the words "born" and "created" in the fourth-century context, to change one word with another implies that instead of being "born" of God the Father, Christ was merely "created" alongside other creatures, which (as a heresy called "Arian") contradicts both Athanasius and the scribe's intention to affirm Christ's divinity in his glosses. The number of such changes is not large, and I suggest that these changes may have to do with the scribe's failure to understand the original meaning of the text or perhaps miscopying it in some places.

The other type of changes can be subdivided into those that substitute entire words with synonyms and those that change only parts of words in order to restate them differently. The former can be illustrated with the following examples:

CA II.7.6	εκοπьεπεο] ABCDEFGH, π <i>ι</i> ώεπεο KL, τὴν ἀλογίαν
CA II.14.19	μα] ABCDEFGH, δα KL, κύριον
CA II.44.21	глаголеть] ABCDEFGH, люли KL, λέγει

Some examples of the latter include the following:

CA II.2.21	познаваіжть] ABCDEFGH, знаю KL, ἐπιγινώσκουσι
CA II.8.14	οελικγικα] ABCDEFGH, οελγικία εν KL, ένδυσάμενος
CA II.52.20	бытим] ABCDEFGH, житим KL, τῆς γενέσεως
CA II.60.24	о зъдании] ABCDEFGH, о съзъданіи KL, е́лі той ёктібе
CA II.61.22	цѣсарьствия] ABCDEFGH, цѣсарьство KL, τὴν βασιλείαν
CA II.68.31	тр'вбовали] ABCDEFGH, пштр'вбовали KL, ἐδέοντο

Conclusion

To summarize my discussion of the scribal habits in the *Second Oration*, several points can be made in conclusion. First, my classification of the scribal habits according to specific categories has proved to be a helpful instrument for understanding the quality of individual MSS. Thus, for example, the data I have collected has revealed that in many ways the scribes of MSS A & H produced the most faithful copies of their protographs, while the scribes of MSS D & K have corrupted theirs the most.

Second, the study of the scribal habits enables us to visualize the strengths and weaknesses in how the scribes went about reproducing the initial text of the *Orations*. Thus, for example, one's tendency to make frequent omissions does not mean that one tends to introduce additions as often, and *vice versa*. Our knowledge of these strengths and weaknesses allows us to make better use of the MSS for reconstructing the history of the text and appreciate their individual values.

Third, a clear picture of the scribal practices and attitudes helps to discern the possible patterns in the way some scribes changed the text of the *Orations*, and perhaps uncover the reasons why they did what they did. Thus, the high number of deliberate/significant changes in MSS D and K connects in some way to the fact that both of them have a clear theological agenda: either to fight the heresy of the Judaizers (scribe of MS D), or to polemicize with growing Protestantism (scribe of MS K). Consequently, their theological concerns and local contexts significantly affected the way they read and copied the *Orations*.

Appendix

The table in this Appendix is designed to complement the ten shorter tables by indicating all individual cases for each of the eleven scribal categories in our MSS. Before presenting this table, however, I need to provide some explanation on how

to use it. First of all, the data contained here is based on my analysis of Athanasius's *Second Oration against the Arians*²². Second, the scribal habits in this table are classified according to eleven categories: (1) omissions, (2) additions, (3) substitutions, (4) transpositions, (5) non-sense readings, (6) marginal corrections, (7) marginal notes, (8) deletions, (9) erasures, (10) interlinear corrections, and (11) corrections within the text. Third, for each of these categories or scribal features the table offers two types of statistics that describe each of the ten MSS: the total estimates placed on the top of the table and highlighted in bold, and the singular estimates that take up most of the space in the table under the bold numbers. The numbers in both of these sections need some further explanation.

To begin with the top section, the data here consists of one or more numbers. The first number gives the total sum of occurrences for a particular scribal feature, and any other numbers that follow are separated by a slash and mean one of two things. First, in the section with omissions and additions, the second number after the slash means the total estimate of omitted and added letters. Thus, for example, if the table shows 51/96 of omissions and 23/166 of additions, it means that the scribe made 51 cases of omission resulting in 96 omitted letters, and 23 cases of addition resulting in 166 added letters. To have this kind of statistics allows us to see how many elements the scribe omits and adds, as well as how often he does it. Second, there are three more types of numbers that need to be understood according to the following indicators next to them: ^R, ^L, underlining. Here, letter ^R means a case of redundancy, letter ^L means a marginal note that is two or more letters long, and any underlined number means a deliberate/ significant change in the text. Thus, again, if the table shows $23/166/6^{\mathbb{R}}/1$ of additions, it means that the scribe made 23 cases of addition resulting in 166 added letters, and out of 23 cases of addition there are 6^{R} cases where he added a redundant letter or syllable, and there is 1 case where he made a deliberate or significant addition in the text. Similarly, if the table shows 16/12^L cases of marginal notes, it means that the scribe made 16 marginal notes, of which 12^L cases are notes two or more letters long.

Finally, in the section with singular estimates, I indicate the chapter and line from my edition of the *Second Oration* for every single occurrence. For omissions and additions, I also add an indexed number to show how many letters are omitted or added in each case. For instance, if the table shows 5.2³ for omissions, it means that the scribe omitted 3 letters in the *Second Oration*, chapter 5, line 2. Or to give another example, if the number shows 51.24^{83R} for additions, it means that the scribe mistakenly copied 83 letters twice in the *Second Oration*, chapter 51, line 24. If there is more than one omission, addition or some other scribal feature in the same place, I indicate it in the brackets as follows: 5.2³(2).

²² Oratio II contra Arianos.

A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	K	L
51/96	138/455	99/211/ <u>1</u>	119/273/ <u>3</u>	35/61/ <u>1</u>	61/154/ <u>1</u>	21/36	1/2	103/321	16/154
1.39 ¹	1.18 ²	1.30 ¹	1.31	7.32 ¹	3.311	4.19 ²	1.3 ²	1.4^{1}	2.21 ²
2.24 ¹	1.26 ²	2.7 ³	4.42^{2}	8.3 ¹	3.33 ²	4.37 ²		1.15 ²	5.19 ¹
3.8 ¹	2.11 ¹	2.16 ²	5.14 ²	11.6 ¹	5.2 ³	5.4 ¹		1.31 ²	13.18 ³⁹
5.1 ²	2.25 ¹	2.21 ²	7.17 ²	12.14^{2}	6.3 ²	9.10 ²		2.20^{4}	14.6 ²
7.20 ²	2.34 ¹	3.16 ¹	7.25 ²	12.17^{2}	6.8 ²	19.3 ²		3.8 ³	16.28 ²
7.36 ²	2.39 ¹	4.19 ¹	8.18 ¹	$12.28^{2}(2)$	6.14 ²	19.4 ²		3.13 ²	16.31 ¹⁰
8.7 ²	3.13 ¹	4.43 ¹	10.33 ²	14.19^{2}	7.18 ³	23.7 ²		3.19 ³	16.32 ¹
8.15 ¹	4.5 ³	4.45 ²	12.21^{4}	15.2 ¹	8.55	23.15 ²		4.9 ²	16.47 ¹²
9.4 ²	4.10 ¹	5.41	13.1111	16.20 ²	9.16 ²	24.8 ³		6.13 ⁴	20.25 ²
10.155	4.30 ¹	6.19 ¹	13.26 ¹	22.6 ¹	11.32^4	37.17^{2}		8.11 ²	22.30 ¹⁹
11.324	6.235	7.5 ²	13.28 ¹	24.1^{1}	12.3 ²	38.22 ²		9.5 ²	24.10 ⁶
16.3 ²	6.6 ²	7.21 ¹	14.4^{8}	25.24 ¹	12.11 ¹	40.8 ¹		9.9 ¹	25.1 ²
16.18 ²	6.7 ¹	7.28 ¹	14.156	25.25 ²	14.1910	43.1 ¹		10.7^{1}	37.13 ⁷
17.8 ²	6.1518	8.3 ¹	14.18^{3}	27.2^{1}	16.39 ²	51.29 ²		10.17^{1}	47.21 ²⁶
19.11 ¹	7.15 ²	8.25 ²	15.26	28.14^{3}	19.22 ¹	53.9 ¹		16.17^{2}	47.23 ²
19.28 ³	7.21 ¹	10.19 ²	16.41 ²	29.15 ²	19.37 ³	53.27 ¹		16.30 ¹	56.1121
19.29 ²	7.27 ²	10.33 ²	17.6 ³	30.22 ²	20.211	54.20 ¹		17.4 ²	
23.37 ¹	7.35 ²	12.21 ²	17.10 ¹	30.35 ¹	23.17 ²	57.10 ¹		17.8 ²	
24.32 ²	10.25 ¹	12.28 ²	19.28 ¹	32.17 ¹	24.1 ²	58.27 ²		19.3 ²	
25.31 ²	10.28 ²	13.26 ¹	21.17 ²	36.17 ²	24.7 ⁸	63.17 ²		20.29 ³	
27.5 ²	11.141	16.1^{2}	22.11 ¹	40.23 ¹	25.10 ²	71.14 ²		21.3 ¹	
28.22 ²	11.34 ³	16.26 ¹	22.18 ²	46.2^{2}	25.25 ²			22.2^{3}	
33.7 ²	12.21	16.45 ²	22.24 ²	49.27 ²	25.26 ²			22.25 ²	
33.13 ¹	12.42	17.24 ³	22.36 ¹	56.3 ¹	26.12 ²			24.29 ²	
36.18 ¹	12.6 ¹	18.17 ¹	23.12 ²	56.5 ¹	26.214			25.29 ¹	
37.29 ¹	12.204	19.7 ¹	23.37 ¹	58.20 ⁶	27.14 ²			26.1 ¹	
38.8 ²	13.16 ¹	19.17 ²	25.4 ¹	58.27 ¹	27.22 ¹			27.6 ¹	
42.54	13.26 ¹	19.33 ²	27.19 ⁴	60.33 ⁴	27.26 ¹			28.1 ¹	
42.27 ²	14.3 ¹	19.35 ¹	27.37 ²	62.26 ⁴	27.38 ¹			28.6 ²	
43.9 ¹	14.11 ¹	20.41	28.11 ²	65.3 ²	30.185			28.29 ²¹	
43.16 ²	14.11 ²	20.261	29.1 ²	67.22 ¹	31.26 ¹			29.2 ⁴	
45.4 ¹	14.124	21.61	29.9 ²	71.3 ²	31.29 ²			29.9 ²	
48.19 ²	14.20 ³	21.10 ²	30.4 ²	76.22 ¹	32.22 ²			29.22 ¹	
49.10 ¹	14.22 ¹	21.141	31.9 ²	80.13 ¹	32.35 ¹			30.1 ²	
50.8 ¹	15.12 ³	21.24 ²	31.10 ²	82.2 ¹	33.13 ¹			30.516	
53.23 ¹	16.28 ²	22.41	31.14 ²		33.29 ¹			30.23 ²	
59.33 ¹	16.30 ²	22.6 ¹	31.251		35.1 ²			30.29 ²	
62.7 ¹	16.36 ²	22.30 ¹⁹	32.10 ²		36.11 ²			31.1 ²	
62.23 ⁹	16.45 ²	23.23 ¹	35.7 ²		36.19 ²			31.2923	
63.14 ¹	17.3 ²	23.39 ³	35.8 ³		38.13 ²			32.14 ²	
63.32 ²	17.817	24.29 ²	36.7 ¹		38.27 ²			35.17 ¹	
68.33 ¹	18.21 ²	25.1 ²	36.18 ²		42.28 ²			36.19 ⁴	
70.11 ²	18.284	27.311	41.20 ¹²		44.30 ²			37.7 ²	

OMISSIONS

A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	K	L
51/96	138/455	99/211/1	119/273/3	35/61/1	61/154/1	21/36	1/2	103/321	16/154
70.20 ³	18.29 ³	27.12 ³	41.22 ²	<u>55/01/1</u>	45.2 ⁴	21/50	1/2	37.20 ⁴	10/13-1
70.25 ¹	19.34 ²	29.55	42.7 ²		50.2 ¹			39.3 ³	
71.35 ²	22.27 ²	30.5 ²	42.15 ¹		50.32 ¹			39.21 ²	
75.14 ¹	22.3534	32.18 ²	43.9 ²		52.11 ¹³			39.30 ²	
75.19 ²	23.11 ²	32.25 ²	43.43 ¹		54.6 ¹			41.156	
76.3 ¹	23.304	33.6 ¹	44.14 ¹		54.15 ²			41.35 ¹	
76.12 ¹	23.326	35.242	45.11 ¹		55.64			42.3 ²	
81.34 ²	23.36 ³	37.35 ¹	45.21 ¹		55.206			43.12 ²	
	27.311	40.7^{4}	46.14 ¹		55.35 ²			43.26 ¹	
	27.35 ²	40.26 ²	46.36 ¹		56.13 ²			43.31 ²	
	30.24 ²	41.23 ²	47.18^{2}		56.15 ²			44.8 ³	
	30.26 ²	43.35 ¹	48.20 ²		58.17 ²			44.16 ²	
	30.29 ²	44.16 ²	48.27 ²		58.32 ²			45.3 ²	
	30.30 ²	44.30 ²	48.281		63.16 ²			45.13 ¹	
	31.2 ²	44.30 ¹	49.7 ²		65.6 ²			45.15 ⁴	
	31.5 ¹	46.7 ²	50.13 ²		66.30 ²			49.9 ⁶	
	31.13 ¹	46.21 ¹	50.14^{2}		67.13^{2}			49.14 ⁴	
	31.21 ²	47.17 ²	52.16 ²		77.8 ¹			51.1321	
	32.27 ¹	47.23 ²	52.34 ²		80.17 ¹			51.354	
	34.3 ²	48.2 ²	53.33 ²					52.21 ³	
	34.14 ¹	48.4 ²	54.9 ¹					52.28 ³	
	35.8 ²	48.19 ²	54.9 ²					54.22 ³	
	35.15 ²	48.30 ²	54.16 ²					55.22 ¹	
	37.6 ¹	50.13 ¹	54.23 ¹					55.31 ¹	
	37.9 ²	51.3 ²	55.11 ¹					55.37 ¹	
	37.12 ¹	51.22 ²	55.36 ²					56.6 ⁴	
	37.28 ³	53.3 ¹	56.10 ²					56.16 ¹	
	38.1519	53.27 ¹	56.19 ⁴					57.16 ¹	
	38.28 ²	54.5 ²	56.31 ¹					58.19 ²	
	39.9 ²	54.6 ¹	57.4^{4}					59.13 ⁴	
	41.27 ²	54.14 ¹	57.224					59.20 ²	
	43.186	55.18 ¹	58.3 ¹					59.30 ²	
	43.18 ¹	55.39 ²	59.12 ⁴					59.35 ⁴	
	44.2 ²	56.13 ¹	59.22 ²					59.39 ³	
	45.2 ¹	56.24 ²	59.40 ²					60.4 ¹	
	45.18 ³	57.7 ²	60.27 ⁵					60.5 ²	
	46.36 ²	57.15 ²	62.4 ²					60.275	
	47.9 ²	57.15 ²	62.6 ²					63.33 ¹	
	47.11 ²	58.21 ²	62.20 ¹					66.7 ²	
	49.6 ²	62.6 ¹	62.30 ⁴					67.176	
	49.26 ¹	64.11 ¹	62.30 ³					68.28 ²	
	50.18 ¹	<u>66.6</u> ²	63.10 ⁵					69.7 ²	
	50.2114	67.34 ²	63.20 ⁴					70.26 ²	
	50.31 ²	68.6 ²	64.14 ²					70.266	

A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	K	L
51/96	138/455	99/211/1	119/273/3	35/61/1	61/154/1	21/36	1/2	103/321	16/154
	51.11	68.8 ¹	64.21 ²					71.122	
	51.29 ³	68.15 ¹	64.29 ²					71.184	
	51.37 ¹	70.15 ²	64.33 ¹					71.19 ¹	
	52.25 ¹	71.3 ²	65.1 ⁷					73.77	
	53.15 ²	72.4 ¹	65.3 ²					74.5 ²	
	55.25 ²	73.20 ²⁰	66.4 ¹					75.13 ¹	
	56.17 ¹	74.11 ¹	66.4 ⁴					76.2 ⁴	
	59.25 ²	74.11 ¹	67.6 ⁴					76.44	
	59.37 ⁶	75.31 ²	67.8 ²					76.12 ¹	
	60.28 ²	76.22 ²	67.13^{2}					77.4 ²	
	61.2 ¹	80.24 ²	67.17 ²					78.6 ³	
	61.2 ²	81.15 ²	68.17 ¹					78.8 ¹	
	61.22 ²		70.2 ²					79.33 ⁷	
	62.1 ⁷		70.2 ¹					82.5 ²	
	62.22 ²		72.5 ²					82.7 ²	
	63.7 ²		72.14 ²					82.12 ¹	
	63.24 ²		72.27 ¹						
	64.6 ¹		72.32 ¹						
	64.17 ²		74.1 ²						
	64.18 ¹		76.16 ¹						
	64.21 ²		76.19 ²						
	65.1 ¹		77.2 ¹						
	65.8 ²		77.25 ²						
	65.8 ²		78.11 ²						
	66.3 ¹		78.11 ³						
	66.15 ¹		79.12 ²						
	66.22 ²		79.16 ¹						
	67.9 ¹		80.7^{4}						
	67.19 ¹		80.8 ⁴						
	67.23 ³		81.33 ¹						
	68.4 ¹		82.41						
	68.16 ¹⁴		82.25 ¹						
	68.32 ²								
	69.4 ¹³								
	69.17 ²								
	70.1 ²								
	72.22 ²								
	72.22 ¹								
	72.26^2								
	73.9 ²								
	74.22 ⁹								
	74.27 ¹								
	75.13 ¹¹								
	75.15 ³								

197

OMISSIONS (cont.)

A	В	C	D	Е	F	G	Н	K	L
51/96	138/455	99/211/ <u>1</u>	119/273/ <u>3</u>	35/61/ <u>1</u>	61/154/ <u>1</u>	21/36	1/2	103/321	16/154
	76.19 ¹								
	76.32 ¹								
	76.33 ¹								
	78.24 ¹								
	78.29 ¹								
	81.4^{14}								
	82.12 ²								

ADDITIONS

A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	К	L
23/166/6 ^R / <u>1</u>	102/509/7 ^R / <u>3</u>	$39/164/2^{R}$	63/128/3 ^R / <u>12</u>	18/52	48/77/1 ^R	7/12/2 ^R	0	47/82/2 ^R / <u>6</u>	3/12/1 ^R /1
1.122	1.1^{1}	1.9 ¹	1.21	5.4 ^{4R}	1.6 ²	3.9 ¹		1.30 ²	3.10 ^{7R}
8.341	1.25 ¹	4.8^{4}	1.30^{1}	12.6 ²	1.44^{2}	11.21 ²		2.35 ²	51.20 ¹
8.38 ³	1.44 ²	6.1 ¹	3.16 ²	14.29^{3}	3.9 ¹	12.1 ²		3.6 ²	<u>58.204</u>
9.31 ¹	2.13 ¹	6.25 ¹	5.20 ²	16.18^{2}	4.27 ¹	34.7 ²		7.34 ²	
16.27 ^{2R}	3.3 ²	6.265	5.28 ²	17.21 ¹	5.27 ²	36.31 ¹		8.14^{2}	
26.11 ²	3.9 ¹	6.29 ¹	6.2 ²	18.6 ²	$7.9^{2}(2)$	79.9 ^{2R}		9.4 ¹	
29.15 ^{49R}	3.22 ²	8.24 ¹	7.1 ³	24.10 ¹	7.23 ²	80.36 ^{2R}		11.9 ²	
31.16 ²	6.11 ²	8.34 ¹	<u>8.29</u> ³	27.21 ¹	8.5 ²			11.26^{1}	
31.29 ²	7.3 ¹	10.10^{1}	8.37 ²	36.15 ²	8.10 ¹			13.2 ¹	
40.26 ²	7.7 ^{2R}	11.25^{4}	9.14 ¹	38.24 ¹	8.29 ¹			14.3 ¹	
44.32 ¹	8.29 ³	14.11^{4}	9.20 ¹	39.18 ^{2R}	9.7 ^{2R}			14.40 ¹	
48.4 ¹	9.8 ¹	14.17^{2}	10.12 ²	52.26 ²	10.34 ¹			17.15 ¹	
49.25 ¹	9.13 ²	16.57 ¹	10.14 ²	55.12 ¹	11.6 ¹			22.14 ²	
51.24 ^{83R}	10.12 ²	17.25^{2}	11.25 ²	55.26 ¹	12.6 ¹			25.12 ²	
54.26 ¹	10.34 ¹	18.34 ¹	11.29 ²	57.3 ^{13R}	14.4^{2}			25.22 ¹	
59.25 ^{2R}	12.7 ¹	24.18 ¹	15.3^{2}	59.31 ¹	14.41^{1}			27.9 ²	
60.1 ^{1R}	12.13 ²	28.24^{1}	16.2^{2}	78.29 ^{6R}	16.17^{2}			31.37 ¹	
67.22 ^{2R}	12.13 ¹	32.27^{2}	16.10 ¹	80.10 ^{7R}	17.5 ²			32.39 ²	
72.23 ^{2R}	12.26 ²	37.24 ¹	16.27 ¹		18.6 ³			33.27 ¹	
75.28 ¹	14.14 ¹	39.3 ^{9R}	18.6 ¹		19.27 ²			35.8 ¹	
79.15 ²	14.28 ¹	39.491R	<u>19.26</u> ³		21.1 ²			35.19 ³	
79.33 ²	16.24 ¹	41.43 ¹	19.27 ³		21.16 ¹			38.22 ²	
81.26 ¹	16.27 ²	43.26 ¹	24.14 ²		22.6 ²			39.10 ²	
	16.345	46.37 ¹	24.15 ²		23.19 ¹			42.7 ^{5R}	
	17.3 ²	50.29 ¹	24.29 ²		25.26 ¹			42.27^{2}	
	17.5 ²	54.9 ¹	27.19 ¹		25.30 ²			44.22 ¹	
	17.13 ¹	54.19 ²	27.30 ²		26.18 ¹			46.26 ¹	
	17.21 ¹	57.26 ²	28.27 ¹		27.1^{2}			51.3	
	18.25 ²	58.4^{4}	28.31 ²		27.155			52.6 ²	
	19.31 ^{2R}	60.5^{4}	31.13 ¹		30.5 ¹			53.15 ¹	
	22.9 ^R	67.34 ²	37.23 ²		31.1 ¹			53.29 ¹	
	26.13 ^{171R}	68.22 ²	38.19 ²		34.17 ²			54.25 ¹	

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
30.27 ² 79.12 ¹ 43.7 ¹ 44.8 ¹ 58.33 ¹
$31 32^2$ 80 12 ¹ 44 26 ² 46 36 ¹ 58 34 ²
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$32.21^{1} \qquad 47.25^{2} \qquad 52.16^{1} \qquad 60.24^{2}$
33.25 ² 48.29 ¹ 52.21 ¹ 62.2 ¹
34.28^2 50.17^2 53.33^2 62.11^2
35.1 ² 51.29 ² 66.7 ¹ 62.30 ²
35.12 ² 53.18 ¹ 76.6 ¹ 67.35 ²
35.19 ³ 53.27 ² 76.8 ¹ 68.26 ¹
36.12^2 58.32^2 78.5^1 68.31^2
36.22 ¹ 60.3 79.20 ¹ 72.1 ¹
37.9^4 <u>60.8^2</u>
38.239 62.304
<u>39.17</u> ¹ <u>62.31</u> ²
40.54 65.131
41.18 ¹ 66.2 ^{17R}
45.21 66.72
45.181 70.151
46.1^2 <u>72.15</u> ³
47.9 ^{153R} 75.19 ¹
52.131 75.222
52.25 ² 76.16 ¹
52.28 ¹ 76.20 ²
54.12 78.232
55.25 ² 79.11 ^{2R}
56.9 ² <u>80.5</u> ^{5R}
56.16 ² 82.5 ²
57.202
57.258
58.42
58.21^4
59.22
60.14
61.81
62.41
62.29 ¹
63.51
63.71
63.81
63.35 ^{5R}

199

ADDITIONS	(cont.)
-----------	---------

A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	К	L
23/166/6 ^R / <u>1</u>	102/509/7 ^R / <u>3</u>	39/164/2 ^R	63/128/3 ^R / <u>12</u>	18/52	48/77/1 ^R	7/12/2 ^R	0	47/82/2 ^R / <u>6</u>	3/12/1 ^R / <u>1</u>
	64.22 ¹								
	66.24 ²								
	66.27 ^{2R}								
	67.35 ²								
	68.12 ^{2R}								
	68.30 ³								
	69.10 ²								
	69.11 ²								
	69.30 ¹								
	71.36 ¹								
	72.22 ¹								
	73.7 ¹								
	74.36 ²								
	75.9 ²								
	75.15 ¹								
	76.4 ¹								
	77.5 ¹								
	77.27 ²								
	78.25 ¹								
	79.12 ¹								
	<u>79.26²</u>								
	79.32 ²								
	80.10 ²								
	80.281								
	81.5 ¹								
	81.26 ¹								

SUBSTITUTIONS

Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	K	L
23/ <u>1</u>	142/ <u>4</u>	91/ <u>13</u>	116/ <u>36</u>	21	51/ <u>3</u>	18	6	54/ <u>10</u>	26/ <u>11</u>
<u>1.22</u>	1.25	1.5	1.8	1.6	2.10	1.2	1.3	1.4	2.14
1.25	1.36	1.25	2.18	6.30	<u>2.39</u>	10.31	8.10	<u>2.21</u>	2.30
10.31	2.23	2.7	3.6	8.5	7.3	16.27	10.22	4.39	3.27
16.21	3.8	2.20	3.27	10.5	7.5	19.25	27.10	6.19	11.5
12.29	3.13	<u>2.38</u>	4.16	16.11	7.9	23.21	56.21	<u>7.6</u>	16.32
14.14	3.22	3.3	5.1	23.28	7.30	25.21	58.11	8.32	25.7
25.2	3.28	<u>3.16</u>	5.2	27.1	8.27	33.29		9.12	25.21
31.35	4.17	3.19	6.19	27.35	8.32	35.20		10.31	31.38
37.16	4.22	3.20	<u>7.4</u>	28.1	11.18	36.27		12.26	<u>31.39</u>
37.26	5.30	3.27	8.1	28.29	14.5(2)	36.30		<u>13.2</u>	38.27
40.5	6.15	5.27	8.10	29.12	14.22	37.18		<u>14.19</u>	<u>44.18</u>
41.31	6.16	6.24	9.9	29.17	16.1	38.21		14.26	<u>46.4</u>
50.4	6.34	<u>6.28</u>	9.16	36.25	16.54	47.10(2)		17.8	<u>46.32</u>

A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	К	L
23/ <u>1</u>	142/ <u>4</u>	91/ <u>13</u>	116/ <u>36</u>	21	51/ <u>3</u>	18	6	54/ <u>10</u>	26/ <u>11</u>
53.4	6.36	<u>6.29</u>	9.29	38.27	17.5	47.22		19.4	46.37
55.10	7.25	7.24	10.33	39.16	17.13	48.6		19.16	<u>47.3</u>
70.5	7.28	<u>8.14</u>	11.16	39.26	19.26	64.24		22.13	47.15
71.35	7.35	8.20	11.22	54.3	21.8	82.10		23.3	50.24
72.3	8.28	8.23	11.33	56.21	<u>21.29</u>			23.39	<u>50.32</u>
72.19	9.2	<u>9.4</u>	12.15	57.23	22.26			24.29	52.14
75.27	9.8	9.8	12.27	72.12	23.15			24.32	<u>57.29</u>
77.8	9.11	9.25	<u>12.29</u>	80.30	23.20			25.19	<u>64.14</u>
78.30	10.15	<u>9.31</u>	13.30		24.1			25.27	<u>70.6</u>
81.15	11.28	10.22	14.38		24.7			26.2	<u>72.12</u>
	13.29	13.22	14.39		24.13			27.2	<u>72.17</u>
	14.3	14.10	15.4		25.1			27.10	76.1
	14.22	<u>14.18</u>	16.53		25.7			27.24	76.19
	15.7	16.5	<u>16.56</u>		<u>25.12</u>			28.3	
	16.4	16.26	17.4		25.31			28.4	
	16.7	17.24	21.23		27.7			28.29	
	16.25	17.28	23.20		28.7			30.21	
	17.7	17.24	23.26		28.20			32.27	
	18.2	18.40	25.18		32.36			37.32	
	18.6	20.15	25.20		33.3			38.16	
	18.7	20.21	27.3		33.8			43.39	
	19.11	20.28	<u>27.8</u>		34.2			<u>44.21</u>	
	19.25	21.4	<u>27.22</u>		36.5			45.20	
	19.33	21.22	<u>27.23</u>		36.6			48.15	
	20.15	21.29	27.29		37.10			50.32	
	21.3	23.15	27.40		37.31			<u>52.20</u>	
	22.15	24.18	28.6		38.23			<u>57.3</u>	
	22.16	<u>25.20</u>	<u>30.8</u>		40.9			58.21	
	22.21	27.4	30.24		40.28			58.33	
	23.26	<u>31.11</u>	30.29		52.16			<u>58.22</u>	
	24.10	31.19	31.15		57.3			62.32	
	25.3	33.27	<u>31.39</u>		57.19			63.36	
	25.18	34.2	32.32		60.25			<u>64.26</u>	
	25.19	34.18	34.3		65.2			69.16	
	25.25	34.21	34.17		69.17			70.15	
	26.3	34.22	34.18		76.19			70.18	
	27.4	35.20	36.26		82.15			<u>74.26</u>	
	27.36	35.30	37.28					77.26	
	27.37	37.22	40.7					78.12	
	28.4	37.29	41.14					81.14	
	28.5	37.33	<u>42.5</u>					81.25	
	28.9	38.15	42.12						
	28.16	39.25	43.7						
	28.29	40.3	44.10						

SUBSTITUTIONS	(cont.)
---------------	---------

A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	К	L
23/1	142/ <u>4</u>	91/ <u>13</u>	116/ <u>36</u>	21	51/ <u>3</u>	18	6	54/ <u>10</u>	26/ <u>11</u>
	29.18	43.7	44.23						
	30.15	43.11	<u>45.8</u>						
	30.17	43.26	45.25						
	30.30	45.15	45.25						
	30.33	46.21	46.34						
	31.17	46.25	<u>46.35</u>						
	32.13	46.36	48.14						
	32.24(2)	48.14	48.26						
	33.27	51.37	<u>48.31</u>						
	34.18	<u>55.6</u>	49.5						
	34.22(2)	<u>55.33</u>	50.5						
	35.3	56.24	50.30						
	35.26(2)	57.34	51.1						
	35.30	58.2	51.20						
	36.34	60.2	<u>52.2</u>						
	37.8	64.3	<u>52.3</u>						
	39.7	68.15	52.17						
	39.20	69.15	<u>52.18</u>						
	39.30(2)	70.10	<u>52.25</u>						
	40.1	70.11	52.28						
	40.13	70.14	53.3						
	41.28	70.24	53.21						
	41.37	71.2	54.17						
	43.2	71.32	<u>55.6</u>						
	44.12	73.21	<u>55.7</u>						
	<u>44.21</u>	73.22	55.22						
	45.5	74.24	57.32						
	45.17	76.6	<u>57.34</u>						
	47.19	76.21	<u>58.18</u>						
	47.21	<u>80.31</u>	<u>58.32</u>						
	48.22	81.24	59.20						
	50.22	81.25	<u>62.18</u>						
	51.27	81.26	<u>62.30</u>						
	51.34	81.30	<u>63.17</u>						
	53.12		<u>64.33</u>						
	53.26		66.16(2)						
	53.31		66.36						
	<u>54.10</u>		67.9						
	54.19		<u>67.18</u>						
	55.31		68.13						
	56.9(1)		<u>68.30</u>						

SUBSTITUTIONS	(cont.)
---------------	---------

A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	К	L
23/ <u>1</u>	142/ <u>4</u>	91/ <u>13</u>	116/ <u>36</u>	21	51/ <u>3</u>	18	6	54/ <u>10</u>	26/ <u>11</u>
	56.21		<u>69.10</u>						
	56.29		70.25						
	56.30		72.6						
	57.32		<u>72.7</u>						
	58.3		<u>72.25</u>						
	59.5		74.13						
	59.18		75.7						
	61.10		<u>75.20</u>						
	61.13		75,31						
	<u>62.6</u>		<u>76.13</u>						
	62.32		77.25						
	63.10		<u>78.29</u>						
	64.12		79.27						
	64.17		80.13						
	64.21		80.19						
	66.31		82.6						
	67.2		82.10						
	67.10								
	67.34								
	68.4								
	71.8								
	71.40								
	72.26								
	73.19								
	75.4								
	75.13								
	75.17								
	76.2								
	76.12								
	77.19								
	77.33								
	78.2								
	78.27								
	79.22								
	79.26								
	<u>79.29</u>								
	79.30								
	80.30								
	82.17								
	82.28								

A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	К	L
2	3	5	20	2	13	0	0	7	0
17.7	4.21	7.22	3.18	56.4	7.27			4.21	
72.35	8.40	7.34	9.4	58.20	16.42			4.23	
	62.6	9.4	13.20		18.41			28.33	
		14.11	14.10		19.37			40.17	
		14.14	14.29		23.35			43.12	
			30.30		24.28			55.24	
			35.20		27.25(2)			79.16	
			36.7		29.1				
			43.29		29.3				
			44.13		37.14				
			44.33		43.37				
			47.12		57.10				
			55.6						
			55.36						
			57.1						
			62.23						
			65.14						
			71.5						
			78.23						
			82.1						

TRANSPOSITIONS

NON-SENSE READINGS

A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	К	L
2	15	1	4	1	0	3	0	6	1
34.7	2.21	24.34	32.29	36.21		27.8		14.15	19.1
78.29	12.8		41.22			35.21		27.7	
	15.2		43.14			41.10		29.13	
	16.53		79.31					34.17	
	17.28							36.11	
	18.20							81.6	
	18.23								
	20.25								
	36.35								
	37.19								
	51.36								
	56.23								
	76.18								
	81.4(2)								

A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	K	L
23	12	42	115	58	22	6	3	19	8
1.33	5.7	4.40	1.20	1.33	4.36	24.24	27.29	8.26	23.28
1.42	7.7	5.9(2)	1.32	2.12	4.40	65.11	32.4	9.31	57.23
5.5	12.1	12.14	3.25	3.4	5.3	66.18	50.5	11.2	65.11
7.30	18.18	13.11	3.33	3.9	18.17	72.12		18.37	66.6
8.22	26.14	17.26	4.36	3.33	19.19	82.7		23.28	66.18
10.6	33.25	18.16	4.39	5.19	22.30	82.24		24.24	72.12
13.12	41.31	19.37	4.43	5.24	25.10			30.24	82.7
22.14	45.21	22.18	5.1	6.19	26.5			42.16	82.24
25.15	47.21	22.27	6.23	7.30	27.13			55.3	
30.2	52.29	27.31	7.8	10.18	28.18			57.25(2)	
30.13	57.27	28.29	7.29	10.28	29.5			65.11	
31.21	60.24	30.15	7.30	13.12	31.24			66.18	
31.29		31.7	8.5	15.1	31.27			69.22	
32.9		37.15	8.31	16.1	35.9			72.12	
38.28		37.23	8.33	16.22	37.15			76.20	
39.17		37.33	9.6	16.49	37.23			78.24	
43.20		41.31	9.21	16.50	49.3			82.7	
45.26		42.5	10.33	20.29	53.26			82.24	
54.22		45.23	12.14	21.6	55.5				
54.26		46.11	13.20	22.16	64.17				
60.6		49.1	14.6	22.30	69.10				
64.28		49.10	14.8	25.24	72.12				
78.1		50.2	14.30	26.9					
		55.19	16.39	26.16					
		56.14	17.5	27.12					
		58.21	17.11	28.33					
		59.16	17.14	30.18					
		59.39	18.5	32.9					
		61.27	18.25	34.9					
		64.9	19.17	34.23					
		65.3	20.25	36.8					
		66.25	20.29	41.13					
		71.8	22.27	45.4					
		71.20	22.30	46.2					
		72.23	23.24	46.28					
		74.7	23.40	47.22					
		74.19	24.1	48.25					
		75.14	24.34	53.9					
		81.10	24.35	55.11					
		81.18	25.24	55.28					
		82.17	27.24	55.34					
			27.31	56.9					

MARGINAL CORRECTIONS

A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	К	L
23	12	42	115	58	22	6	3	19	8
			28.33	57.3					
			29.22	57.10					
			31.27	58.22					
			32.9	59.32					
			33.5	61.1					
			33.11	63.8					
			34.9	64.17					
			37.31	64.31					
			37.33	65.11					
			38.23	66.18					
			38.28	70.26					
			39.15	70.27					
			41.13	72.12					
			41.20	72.22					
			41.28	80.27					
			42.5	82.7					
			43.10	82.24					
			43.29						
			43.41						
			44.30						
			45.18						
			46.11						
			46.12						
			46.25						
			46.27						
			46.34 47.2						
			47.23						
			49.19						
			50.13						
			50.22						
			50.23						
			51.20						
			51.29						
			52.1						
			55.11						
			55.34						
			56.9						
			57.7						
			57.13						
			57.14						
			59.31						
			60.14						
			61.1						

A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	K	L
23	12	42	115	58	22	6	3	19	8
			61.12						
			62.8						
			63.13						
			63.24						
			64.16						
			64.17						
			65.11						
			66.1						
			66.22						
			66.25						
			67.18						
			67.20						
			67.24						
			70.28						
			71.36						
			72.12						
			73.4						
			73.23						
			76.16						
			77.7						
			78.24						
			80.27						
			81.8						
			81.11						
			81.22						
			81.35						
			82.1						
			82.7						
			82.24						

MARGINAL	CORRECTIONS	(cont.)
----------	-------------	---------

MARGINAL NOTES

A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	К	L
5	3/2 ^L	2	0	0	0	1	0	16/12 ^L	$16/12^{L}$
8.39	57.3	44.5				23.36		8.27	8.25
9.18	65.11 ^L	44.6						23.22 ^L	23.22 ^L
18.4	78.2 ^L							23.36	23.36
18.24								24.34 ^L	24.34 ^L
46.39								27.28 ^L	27.28 ^L
								27.38 ^L	27.38 ^L
								28.12 ^L	28.12 ^L
								36.7 ^L	35.12 ^L
								37.22 ^L	37.22 ^L
								43.7	43.7

MARGINAL NOTES (cont.)

A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	K	L
5	3/2 ^L	2	0	0	0	1	0	$16/12^{L}$	16/12 ^L
								43.12	43.12
								43.13 ^L	43.13 ^L
								43.18 ^L	43.18 ^L
								44.7 ^L	44.7 ^L
								67.15 ^L	67.15 ^L
								81.31 ^L	81.31 ^L

DELETIONS

A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	К	L
20/16 ^R	3/1 ^R	11/5 ^R	11/7 ^R	63/23 ^R	8/2 ^R	6/3 ^R	1	5/3 ^R	1
1.32	2.8 ^R	8.14	3.27 ^R	1.25 ^R	2.10	3.10	8.33	4.32 ^R	78.8
7.36 ^R	52.29	9.10 ^R	15.12 ^R	1.39	30.8 ^R	5.4		19.35 ^R	
19.19 ^R	58.20	12.3	19.36	2.36	45.4	18.17		37.3 ^R	
19.34 ^R		18.32 ^R	27.1 ^R	3.4	45.24	34.11 ^R		50.1(2)	
20.5		22.17	32.30	3.5	54.22	39.18 ^R		79.4	
21.16 ^R		42.21	38.8 ^R	3.27 ^R	79.17 ^R	70.5 ^R			
24.19 ^R		46.25 ^R	42.5 ^R	4.16 ^R	81.18				
32.26 ^R		50.2	46.12	5.13	81.24				
36.20 ^R		55.28 ^R	46.35	10.26 ^R					
40.2 ^R		56.22	65.26 ^R	12.15 ^R					
43.25 ^R		76.2 ^R	71.9 ^R	13.7					
50.22				16.15 ^R					
57.20				17.15 ^R					
61.21 ^R				19.10					
67.35 ^R				19.34 ^R					
69.23 ^R				19.36					
74.22 ^R				20.10 ^R					
76.6 ^R				21.14					
76.21 ^R				21.19					
76.26 ^R				22.17 ^R					
				23.11					
				23.17					
				24.2 ^R					
				25.9 ^R					
				28.10					
				31.25 ^R					
				31.28					
				31.32 ^R					
				31.34					
				31.36					
				33.9 ^R					
				33.12 ^R					
				35.12					

A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	K	L
20/16 ^R	3/1 ^R	11/5 ^R	11/7 ^R	63/23 ^R	8/2 ^R	6/3 ^R	1	5/3 ^R	1
				35.32 ^R					
				36.7					
				38.8 ^R					
				38.14					
				39.11					
				45.24					
				46.1					
				48.25					
				49.17					
				49.19 ^R					
				51.21					
				52.1					
				52.21					
				54.4					
				55.21					
				56.4					
				56.16 ^R					
				57.10					
				57.29					
				58.1					
				58.19					
				59.29					
				63.32					
				65.26 ^R					
				70.23					
				71.9 ^R					
				71.36					
				71.40					
				74.18					
				78.33 ^R					

DELETIONS (cont.)

ERASURES

A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	К	L
3/1 ^R	1	5	24	14	8	0	0	0	1
19.21	3.28	3.31	7.31	3.13	3.31				25.5
23.1 ^R		9.4	8.33	4.39	4.42				
51.15		12.9	9.20	7.31	16.4				
		40.4	14.15	8.3	16.49				
		71.22	17.14	10.14	17.21				
			17.21	11.17	26.23				
			18.27	13.4	35.21				
			19.10	21.4	81.23				
			36.15	25.21					

								ERASU	JRES (cont.)
A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	K	L
3/1 ^R	1	5	24	14	8	0	0	0	1
			39.18	45.3					
			39.26	54.6					
			42.27	55.12					
			44.16	67.11					
			45.13	74.30					
			46.1						
			51.21						
			54.6						
			55.12						
			55.21						
			57.10						
			57.29						
			59.25						
			67.11						
			71.26						

INTERLINEAR CORRECTIONS

A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	К	L
30	10	23	62	120	11	14	4	16	3
9.10	20.13	6.15	3.26	3.18	10.28	1.34	13.27	7.34	68.22
13.5	20.28	8.18	6.22	3.26	20.4	1.39	17.4	12.29	68.27
16.10	43.10	22.17	7.26	7.21	27.9	6.17	35.10	13.11	70.22
18.25	43.22	23.5	7.37	7.26	37.35	7.15	46.10	13.12	
18.29	43.41	24.15	9.4	7.28	47.18	16.20		14.41	
27.26	44.14	28.32	9.19	8.28	65.23	18.6		32.21	
29.15	47.10	39.4	10.14	8.33	67.40	23.31		33.14	
30.35	50.24	41.5	10.18	9.4	69.3	37.16		43.19	
32.24	75.23	46.16	10.25	9.6	76.26	37.26		44.23	
35.8	81.24	47.18	10.34	9.8	77.11	44.10		44.24	
35.9		50.18	13.12	10.1	78.14	48.24		44.25	
37.2		50.22	16.33	10.18		62.1		55.12	
42.4		51.18	18.33	10.25		62.29		63.30	
48.13		57.2	19.21	10.34		70.1		71.35	
52.29		57.32	19.22	11.7				78.8	
54.15		58.11	22.6	11.25				79.4	
57.18(2)		68.31	23.39	12.3					
58.17		70.1	24.16	12.21					
64.19		72.1	27.35	13.7					
65.17		75.7	31.34	13.11					
70.14(2)		80.19	34.3	14.6					
71.37		80.21	37.16	14.6					

A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	К	L
30	10	23	62	120	11	14	4	16	3
76.22		81.12	40.11	14.31					
76.38			41.4	15.7					
76.39			42.11	16.12					
77.10			43.7	16.40					
77.32			43.19	18.10					
82.11			44.32	18.17					
			45.13	18.33					
			46.7	19.22					
			46.22	19.32					
			47.10	20.25					
			47.22	20.27					
			49.7(2)	22.6					
			52.15	22.9					
			52.35	22.15(2)					
			53.19	23.11					
			54.8	23.19					
			54.17	23.24					
			55.34	23.26					
			56.15	23.39					
			56.36	23.40					
			57.5	24.1					
			57.27	27.14					
			58.28	27.35					
			58.29(2)	28.3					
			59.41	30.15					
			62.17	31.9					
			62.20	31.12					
			62.25	31.22					
			62.27	31.23					
			64.27	31.28					
			64.28	32.6					
			68.20	32.10					
			70.26	34.3					
			71.3	36.15					
			72.33	37.16					
			73.15	37.26					
			75.14	38.28					
			81.10	39.31					
				40.11					
				40.15					
				40.24					
				45.13					

INTERLINEAR CORRECTIONS (cont.)

INTERLINEAR CORRECTIONS ((cont.)
---------------------------	---------

A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	K	L
30	10	23	62	120	11	14	4	16	3
				46.7					
				47.10					
				48.16					
				49.7(2)					
				50.13					
				50.25					
				51.20					
				51.29					
				52.4					
				52.35					
				53.9					
				54.8					
				54.13					
				56.13					
				56.15					
				56.16					
				56.36					
				57.5(2)					
				58.11					
				58.16					
				58.29(2)					
				58.32					
				59.29					
				59.31					
				62.11					
				63.7					
				63.34					
				64.28					
				64.32					
				65.14					
				66.22					
				67.29					
				68.2					
				68.20					
				68.28					
				71.27					
				71.29					
				71.40					
				72.22					
				72.33					

A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	К	L
30	10	23	62	120	11	14	4	16	3
				73.7					
				73.15					
				74.27					
				75.14					
				76.20					
				76.22					
				78.24					
				78.29					
				79.33					
				81.9					
				81.10					
				81.15					

INTERLINEAR CORRECTIONS (cont.)

CORRECTIONS WITHIN THE TEXT

A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	К	L
0	0	0	4	8	1	1	1	7	0
			6.25	6.19	49.25	23.28	17.26	35.21	
			51.18	18.21(2)				44.8	
			74.18	22.21				46.15	
			74.30	33.14				49.5	
				33.16				49.13	
				34.9				58.3	
				81.28				82.10	

Abbreviations

Abbreviations in the Manuscripts

1. Libraries and Archives

GIM	State Historical Museum, Moscow (Государственный историче- ский музей)
RGB	Russian State Library, Moscow (Российская государственная библиотека)
RNB	Russian National Library, Saint Petersburg (Российская нацио- нальная библиотека)

2. Manuscript Collections

Nik.	Collection of P.N. Nikiforov (RGB, Moscow)
Ovč.	Collection of P.A. Ovčinnikov (RGB, Moscow)
Pog.	Collection of N.P. Pogodin (RNB, Saint-Petersburg)
Sin.	Collection of Sinodal Library (GIM, Moscow)
Sof.	Collection of Sophia Library (RNB, Saint-Petersburg)
Sol.	Collection of Solovetsk Monastery (RNB, Saint-Petersburg)
Tsa.	Collection of Tsar manuscripts (GIM, Moscow)
Vol.	Collection of Iosifo-Volokolamsk Monastery (RGB, Moscow)

3. Other Abbreviations

f., ff.	Leaf, leaves
MS, MSS	Manuscript(s)
r = recto	Right hand side
<i>sec.</i> = <i>secundus</i>	Second
sine	Without, except
v = verso	Left hand side
VMČ (BMЧ)	Great Menaion Reader (Velikie Minei Četii)
>	Omitted

Bibliography

Primary Sources

- ATHANASIUS OF ALEXANDRIA, Oratio II contra Arianos. Old Slavonic Version and English Translation, ed. et trans. V.V. LYTVYNENKO, Turnhout 2019 [= Patrologia Orientalis, 248 (56.3)].
- Athanasius Werke, vol. I.1, Die dogmatischen Schriften, Lfg. 1, Epistula ad episcopos Aegypti et Libyae, ed. K. METZLER, K. SAVVIDIS, Berlin–New York 1996.

Athanasius Werke, vol. I.1, Die dogmatischen Schriften, Lfg. 2, Orationes I et II contra Arianos, ed. K. METZLER, K. SAVVIDIS, Berlin–New York 1998.

- Athanasius Werke, vol. I.1, Die dogmatischen Schriften, Lfg. 3, Oratio III contra Arianos, ed. K. METZ-LER, K. SAVVIDIS, Berlin–New York 2000.
- *Biblija 1499 goda i Biblija v sinodalnom perevode s illjustracijami. Dejanija svjatych Apostolov et al.*, vol. VIII, Moskva 1992.
- Biblija 1499 goda i Biblija v sinodalnom perevode s illjustracijami. Gospoda našego Iisusa Christa Svjatoe Evangelie ot Matfeja, Marka, Luki, Ioanna, vol. VII, Moskva 1992.
- Biblija 1499 goda i Biblija v sinodalnom perevode s illjustracijami. Psaltyr, vol. IV, Moskva 1997.

Secondary Literature

- ALESKEJEV A.I., Religioznye dviženia na Rusi poslednej treti XVI načala XVI v.: strigolniki i židovstvujuščie, Moskva 2012.
- Fonкič B.L., Grečesko-russkie kulturnye svjazi v XV–XVII vv. (Grečeskie rukopisi v Rossii), Moskva 1977.
- GALČENKO M.G., Vtoroe južnoslavjanskoe vlijanie v drevneruskoj knižnosti. (Grafiko-orfografičeskie priznaki vtorogo južnoslavjanskogo vlijanija i chronologija ich pojavlenija v drevnerusskich rukopisjach konca XIV – pervoj poloviny XV v.), [in:] Knižnaja kultura. Knigopisanie. Nadpisi na ikonach drevnej Rusi, ed. M.G. GALČENKO, Moskva–Sankt-Peterburg 2001, p. 325–420.
- Die Grossen Lesemenäen des Metropoliten Makarij. Uspenskij spisok, vol. I, 1–8 Mai, ed. E. WEIHER et al., Freiburg 2007 [= Monumenta Linguae Slavicae Dialecti Veteris, 51].
- IOSIF (HIEROMONK), Opis' rukopisej perenesennych iz biblioteki Iosifova monastyrja v biblioteku Moskovskoj duchovnoj akademii, Moskva 1882.
- LYTVYNENKO V.V., Athanasius' Orations against the Arians: Theological Glosses in Two Slavonic Manuscripts, "Преславска книжовна школа" / "Preslavska knižovna škola" 19, 2019, p. 77–101.
- Pravoslavie Ukrainy i Moskovskoj Rusi v XVI–XVII vekach: Obščeje i različnoe, ed. M.V. DMITRIEV, Moskva 2012.
- ROMANCHUK R., Once Again on the Greek Workbook of Timofei Veniaminov, Fifteenth-Century Novgorod Monk, [in:] Monastic Traditions. Selected Proceedings of the Fourth International Hilandar Conference. The Ohio State University 1998, ed. C.E. GRIBBLE, P. MATEJIC, Columbus 2003, p. 263–303.
- SEDELNIKOV L.D., *K izučeniju 'Slova kratka' i dejatel' nosti dominikanca Veniaminova*, "Известия Отделения русского языка и словесности" / "Izvestija Otdelenija russkogo jazyka i slovesnosti" 30, 1925, p. 205–225.
- SMORGUNOVA E., Sostaviteli i piscy Gennadijevskoj Biblii, [in:] Biblija v duchovnoj žyzni, istorii i kul ture Rossii i pravoslavnogo slavjanskogo mira. Sbornik materialov meždunarodnoj konferencii k 500-letiju Gennadievskoj Biblii, Moskva, 21–26 sentjabrja 1999 g., Moskva 2001, p. 92–118.
- Socinianism and its Role in the Culture of XVIth to XVIIIth Centuries, ed. L. SZCZUCKI, Warsaw-Lodz 1983.
- TSVETAEV D.I., Protestanstvo I pretestanstvo v Rosii do epochi preobrazovanij, Moskva 1890.
- WESTCOTT B.F., HORT F.J.A., The New Testament in the Original Greek, vol. II, ²London 1896.

Abstract. This article introduces the readers to the scribal habits/practices in ten Slavonic manuscripts that contain Athanasius' *Second Oration against the Arians*. These scribal habits are classified and analyzed according to eleven categories: (1) omissions, (2) additions, (3) substitutions, (4) transpositions, (5) non-sense readings, (6) marginal corrections, (7) marginal notes, (8) deletions, (9) erasures, (10) interlinear corrections, and (11) corrections within the text. The analysis of each manuscript is accompanied with the statistical tables that summarize the collected data according to these eleven categories, and there is a longer summary table in the Appendix. Of the ten manuscripts, two are analyzed in more detail as a way of illustrating how the *Orations* were copied and read in medieval times, and how theological concerns and local contexts affected the scribe's interaction with the text.

Keywords: Scribal habits, Athanasius of Alexandria, Orations against the Arians

Viacheslav V. Lytvynenko

Charles University Černá 646/9 115 55 Prague, Czech Republic vyacheslav.lytvynenko@gmail.com