Studia Ceranea 10, 2020, p. 83–121 ISSN: 2084-140X DOI: 10.18778/2084-140X.10.05 e-ISSN: 2449-8378



Magdalena Garnczarska (Kraków)

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2094-0126

SOME REMARKS ON THE SIGNIFICANCE OF GOLD BASED ON BYZANTINE EKPHRASEIS OF WORKS OF ART

G old is considered one of the most characteristic elements of Byzantine culture. This view applies especially to art. Undoubtedly, this statement is quite right: it is best confirmed by the preserved works of painting and artistic craftsmanship, especially those of jewellery. In sum, Byzantine artists used to use gold on a large scale, showing great technical skill. It is therefore surprising that this issue has not received a separate and comprehensive study yet¹. Although researchers recognise the presence of gold, unfortunately, they rarely go beyond the general observations². Despite this, in the literature devoted to Byzantine art,

¹ In this context, it is worth emphasizing that researchers are paying more and more attention to Byzantine goldsmiths, i.a. New Research on Late Byzantine Goldsmiths' Works (13th-15th Centuries). Neue Forschungen zur spätbyzantininischen Goldschmiedekunst (13.-15. Jahrhundert), ed. A. Bossel-MANN-RUICKBIE, Mainz 2019 [= BOO, 13]; EADEM, Byzantinischer Schmuck des 9. bis frühen 13. Untersuchungen zum metallenen dekorativen Körperschmuck der mittelbyzantinischen Zeit anhand datierter Funde, Wiesbaden 2011 [= SFChB, 28]; Intelligible Beauty. Recent Research on Byzantine Jewellery, ed. C. Entwistle, N. Adams, London 2010. Works related to gold in the context of Late Antique and Byzantine culture are noteworthy as well, e.g. M. Grünbart, Zur Kulturgeschichte des Goldes, [in:] Gold und Blei. Byzantinische Kostbarkeiten aus dem Münsterland, ed. IDEM, Wien 2012, p. 53-66; D. Janes, God and Gold in Late Antiquity, Cambridge 2010 (Ist ed. Cambridge 1998); S. AWIERINCEW, Złoto w systemie symboli kultury wczesnobizantyjskiej, [in:] IDEM, Na skrzyżowaniu tradycji. Szkice o literaturze i kulturze wczesnobizantyjskiej, trans. et ed. D. ULICKA, Warszawa 1988, р. 175-201 (oryg. ed. С.С. Аверинцев, Золото в системе символов ранневизантийской культуры, [in:] Византия, южные славяне и Древняя Русь. Западная Европа. Искусство и культура. Сборник статей в честь В.Н. Лазарева, еd. В.Н. Гращенков, Москва 1973, р. 43-52). ² The striking lack of more accurate references to gold is particularly evident in studies on Byzantine aesthetics, in which the focus of their authors is mainly the role of the Neoplatonic thought, e.g. В.Н. Лазарев, История византийской живописи, vol. I, Москва 1947, p. 23-33, 104; П.А. Міхе-ΛΗΣ, $Αισθητική θεώρηση της βυζαντινής τέχνης, Αθήνα 2006, p. 106–111, 131, 156–157 (<math>I^{st}$ ed. Αθήνα 1946); P.A. MICHELIS, Neo-Platonic Philosophy and Byzantine Art, JAAC 11, 1952, p. 21-45; IDEM, L'esthétique d'Hagia-Sophia, Faenza 1963, p. 44-60 (Ist ed. Αθήνα 1946); G. Mathew, Byzantine Aesthetics, London 1963, p. 13-22, 144; В.В. Бычков, Византийская эстетика. Теоретические проблемы, Москва 1977, passim; IDEM, Малая история византийской эстетики, Киев 1991, passim.

84 Magdalena Garnczarska

it is assumed that gold was used primarily because of its symbolic meanings³. As a result, the issues pertaining to aesthetics and aesthetic experiences are ignored⁴, although they are the main subject in Byzantine texts. In fact, reading these modern studies, we learn more about contemporary beliefs about Byzantine art than about it itself. The issue of the significance of gold in Byzantine art is unquestionably complex, and for this reason, this article may be only a preliminary outline of the most important questions related to the subject. Selected examples of Byzantine source texts in which their authors referred to gold in a strictly artistic context are the backbone for all considerations. The main thesis statement, which will be proved here, is as follows: gold, as a substantial medium of artistic expression, was used on a large scale primarily for aesthetic reasons. At the outset, it should also be highlighted that the primary sources testify that for over a thousand years of the existence of the Byzantine Empire views on gold did not undergo major shifts, hence these texts do not reflect the changes of Byzantine art. Therefore, it was decided to discuss the topic using the content criterion referring to the aesthetic values that were associated with gold in Byzantium. These values are above all: glow, colour, and splendour.

In the context of the issue of the significance of gold in Byzantine art, ekphraseis ($\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\phi\rho\dot{\alpha}\sigma\epsilon\iota\varsigma$) are the most useful type of texts⁵. They are usually part of larger texts, both poetic and prose ones. Ekphraseis, present in Greek literature from its

³ It seems that Julius Lange was the first who directly indicated that gold backgrounds in medieval paintings can also be understood in symbolic categories. The research direction he outlined was developed and eventually became dominant – also in relation to Byzantine painting; J. Lange, Et blad af koloritens historie (1893), [in:] Udvalgte Skrifter af Julius Lange, ed. G. Brandes, P. Købke, København 1901, p. 136–156.

⁴ This is a general problem related to the study of mediaeval art, because – as Mary Carruthers points out – researchers are used to the question "what does it mean?", and that is why they so easily overlook the problem of aesthetic pleasure of mediaeval people. Her observation can be equally well applied to the study of Byzantine art. However, as Carruthers reasonably indicates, to tackle this kind of topic, our understanding must be changed, because we should move away from nineteenth-century Romantic and twentieth-century Modern categories relevant to art and its perception; M. Carruthers, *The Experience of Beauty in the Middle Ages*, Oxford 2013, *passim*.

⁵ On ekphrasis and its association with art as well i.a. M. Squire, Ecphrasis. Visual and Verbal Interactions in Ancient Greek and Latin Literature, [in:] Oxford Handbooks Online, 2015, https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199935390.013.58 [12 V 2020]; R. Webb, Ekphraseis of Buildings in Byzantium. Theory and Practice, Bsl 69.3, 2011, p. 20–32; eadem, Ekphrasis, Imagination and Persuasion in Ancient Rhetorical Theory and Practice, Farnham 2009; M. Squire, Image and Text in Graeco-Roman Antiquity, Cambridge 2015 (Ist ed. Cambridge 2009); S. Bartsch, J. Elsner, Introduction. Eight Ways of Looking at an Ekphrasis, CP 102.1, 2007, p. I–VI; S. Goldhill, What Is Ekphrasis for?, CP 102.1, 2007, p. 1–19; J. Elsner, Introduction. The Genres of Ekphrasis, Ram 31.1–2, 2002, p. 1–18; R. Webb, Ekphrasis Ancient and Modern. The Invention of a Genre, WI 15.1, 1999, p. 7–18; eadem, The Aesthetics of Sacred Space. Narrative, Metaphor, and Motion in "Ekphraseis" of Church Buildings, DOP 53, 1999, p. 59–74; L. James, R. Webb, "To Understand Ultimate Things and Enter Secret Places". Ekphrasis and Art in Byzantium, ArH 14.1, 1991, p. 1–17.

very beginnings, became extremely popular in late antiquity because they allowed both the use of a variety of stylistic devices and the choice of attractive subjects⁶. Byzantine authors carried on taste for them. Nicholas of Myra (also known as Nicholas Rhetor, ca. 410 – ca. 490) defined the ekphrasis in his *Progymnasmata* (Προγυμνάσματα) as follows:

ἕκφρασίς ἐστι λόγος ἀφηγηματικός, ὑπ᾽ ὄψιν ἄγων ἐναργῶς τὸ δηλούμενον. πρόσκειται δὲ ἐναργῶς, ὅτι κατὰ τοῦτο μάλιστα τῆς διηγήσεως διαφέρει∙ ἢ μὲν γὰρ ψιλὴν ἔχει ἔκθεσιν πραγμάτων, ἢ δὲ πειρᾶται θεατὰς τοὺς ἀκούοντας ἐργάζεσθαι. ἐκφράζομεν δὲ τόπους, χρόνους, πρόσωπα, πανηγύρεις, πράγματα. [...] Δεῖ δέ, ἡνίκα ἄν ἐκφράζωμεν καὶ μάλιστα ἀγάλματα τυχὸν ἢ εἰκόνας ἢ εἴ τι ἄλλο τοιοῦτον, πειρᾶσθαι λογισμοὺς προστιθέναι τοῦ τοιοῦδε ἢ τοιοῦδε παρὰ τοῦ γραφέως ἢ πλάστου σχήματος, οἶον τυχὸν ἢ ὅτι ὀργιζόμενον ἔγραψε διὰ τήνδε τὴν αἰτίαν ἢ ἡδόμενον, ἢ ἄλλο τι πάθος ἐροῦμεν συμβαῖνον τῇ περὶ τοῦ ἐκφραζομένου ἱστορία∙ καὶ ἐπὶ τῶν ἄλλων δὲ ὁμοίως πλεῖστα οἱ λογισμοὶ συντελοῦσιν εἰς ἐνάργειαν⁻

[...] ecphrasis (*ekphrasis*) is descriptive speech, bringing what is described clearly (*enargôs*) before the eyes. "Clearly" is added because in this way it most differs from narration; the latter gives a plain exposition of actions, the former tries to make the hearers into spectators. We compose ecphrases of places, times, persons, festivals, things done [...] Whenever we compose ecphrases, and especially descriptions of statues or pictures or anything of that sort, we should try to add an account of this or that impression made by the painter or by the molded form; for example, that he painted the figure as angry for this reason, or as pleased; or we shall mention some other emotion as occurring because of the history of what is being described. Similarly in other cases also, explanations contribute to vividness.

Therefore, the key to a brilliant ekphrasis is to bring the described things – including works of art – or events clearly (ἐναργῶς; so also φανερῶς, i.e.: plainly, openly, manifestly, evidently⁹) before the eyes of an audience (ὑπ' ὄψιν ἄγων ἐναργῶς τὸ δηλούμενον), since this is the only way that listeners can become spectators (ἡ δὲ πειρᾶται θεατὰς τοὺς ἀκούοντας ἐργάζεσθαι). The way to achieve this desirable feature was, in particular, a thoroughgoing description which was supposed to evoke images (φαντασίαι) in minds of listeners. In Byzantium, the creation of ekphraseis – as in antiquity – was a part of the elementary stage of

⁶ M. ROBERTS, The Jeweled Style. Poetry and Poetics in Late Antiquity, Ithaca 1989, p. 39–65.

⁷ Nicolai progymnasmata, 68–69, ed. J. Felten, Leipzig 1913 [= RG, 11; BSGR].

⁸ Progymnasmata. Greek Textbooks of Prose Composition and Rhetoric, 68–69, trans., praef. G.A. Kennedy, Atlanta 2003 [= WGRW, 10], p. 166–167.

⁹ Iohannis Zonarae lexicon ex tribus codicibus manuscriptis, 753.15, vol. I, ed. J.A.H. TITTMANN, Leipzig 1808. "Ενάργεια: ἡ τῶν λόγων λευκότης καὶ φανότης. Ἐνέργεια δὲ ἡ ἐν λόγοις, ἢ ἡ ἀθρόα προσβολή" (ε 1126 Adler); "Εναργής: φανερός" (ε 1127 Adler); "Μετ' ἐναργείας: μετ' ἀληθείας" (μ 761 Adler); The Suda on Line, http://www.stoa.org/sol/ [25 V 2020]. Cf. Etymologicum Gudianum, ε 467, vol. I, ed. E.L. de Stefani, Leipzig 1909 [= BSGR]; Etymologicum magnum, 337, ed. T. Gaisford, Oxford 1848; Etymologicum Symeonis (Γ–Ε), ε 391, ed. D. Baldi, Turnhout 2013 [= CC.SG, 79]. On understanding the term "ἐνάργεια" in the Middle Byzantine period: S. Papaioannou, Byzantine Enargeia and Theories of Representation, Bsl 69, 2011, p. 48–60.

86 Magdalena Garnczarska

the rhetorical education during which the late antique textbooks with the preliminary exercises (i.e. προγυμνάσματα, praeexercitamina) were employed. These works, as well as other texts on the theory of rhetoric, were commented and summarized by Byzantine authors. Some of them, e.g. John Geometres (ca. 935 – ca. $1000)^{10}$, Nikephoros Basilakes (ca. 1115 – after $1182)^{11}$, and George Pachymeres (1242 – ca. $1310)^{12}$, prepared their own ones as well¹³.

Ekphraseis are often very significant sources for Byzantine art studies. Byzantine authors of such descriptions used to write not so much about the details of the appearance of a given image or building, but mainly about the reactions of the audience. In fact, the most crucial task was not to refer to a real, specific work of art, but to evoke in a listener - by referring to the collective cultural memory - the sense that such piece of art might exist. Thus, ekphraseis were, above all, a kind of intellectual play of a given author with his listeners. Hence, they may say a lot about the culture in which they were created. These texts may be helpful in comprehending Byzantine notions on art as well, because they indicate to us what Byzantines found significant. On their basis, therefore, it is possible to draw conclusions regarding the perception of works of art, as well as prized aesthetic values. Although in ekphraseis there are many well-known topoi (τόποι), it should be emphasized that they were not only ornaments indicating the author's erudition, but also elements carrying specific and legible content. The use of topoi that would no longer be understandable would interfere with communication, and as a result, an ekphrasis would not bring the subject described before the eyes with visual vividness¹⁴. The authors, however, had a wide range of rhetorical devices to

¹⁰ The Progymnasmata of Ioannes Geometres, ed. A.R. LITTLEWOOD, Amsterdam 1972.

¹¹ NICEFORO BASILACE, *Progimnasmi e monodie*, ed. A. PIGNANI, Napoli 1983 [= BNN, 10], p. 71–232; *The Rhetorical Exercises of Nikephoros Basilakes. "Progymnasmata" from Twelfth-Century Byzantium*, ed., trans. J. Beneker, C.A. Gibson, Cambridge Mass.–London 2016 [= DOML, 43].

¹² Rhetores Graeci, 551-596, vol. I, ed. C. WALZ, Stuttgart 1832.

¹³ Н. СІСНОСКА, *Teoria retoryki bizantyńskiej*, Warszawa 1994, р. 86–125; R. Betancourt, *Sight, Touch, and Imagination in Byzantium*, Cambridge 2018, р. 203–222.

¹⁴ L. James, R. Webb, "To Understand..., p. 3, 9, 14. Cf. C. Mango, Antique Statuary and the Byzantine Beholder, DOP 17, 1963, p. 64–70; H. Maguire, Truth and Convention in Byzantine Descriptions of Works of Art, DOP 28, 1974, p. 113–140; J. Onians, Abstraction and Imagination in Late Antiquity, ArH 3, 1980, p. 1–24; H. Maguire, Art and Eloquence in Byzantium, Princeton 1981, p. 22–52; L. Brubaker, Perception and Conception. Art, Theory and Culture in Ninth-Century Byzantium, W15, 1989, p. 19–32; A. Eastmond, An Intentional Error? Imperial Art and "Mis"-Interpretation under Andronikos I Komnenos, Artb 76, 1994, p. 502–510; H. Maguire, Originality in Byzantine Art, [in:] Originality in Byzantine Literature, Art and Music. A Collection of Essays, ed. A.R. Littlewood, Oxford 1995 [= Omon, 50], p. 101–114; R.S. Nelson, To Say and to See. Ekphrasis and Vision in Byzantium, [in:] Visuality before and beyond the Renaissance. Seeing as Others Saw, ed. Idem, Cambridge 2000, p. 143–168; H. Maguire, Art and Text, [in:] The Oxford Handbook of Byzantine Studies, ed. E. Jeffreys, J. Haldon, R. Cormack, Oxford 2008, p. 721–730; Idem, The Realities of Ekphrasis, Bsl 69.3, 2011, p. 7–19; N. Zarras, A Gem of Artistic Ekphrasis. Nicholas Mesarites' Description of the Mosaics in the Church of the Holy Apostles at Constantinople, [in:] Byzantium, 1180–1204. 'The Sad

achieve this required effect in their texts. In the *Description of the all-praiseworthy St. Euphemia* (Έκφρασις εἰς τὴν ἁγίαν Εὐφημίαν τὴν πανεύφημον), Asterius the bishop of Amasea (ca. 350 – ca. 410) wrote about these media of expression, using a vivid metaphor: οὐδὲ γὰρ φαυλότερα πάντως τῶν ζωγράφων οἱ μουσῶν παῖδες ἔχομεν φάρμακα¹⁵ (*For we, men of letters, can use colors no worse than painters do*)¹⁶. Thus, Henry Maguire pertinently points out that:

A closer reading of the Byzantine rhetorical writers reveals that they were extremely sensitive to artistic styles and to their meanings, whether those styles were, in present-day terms, classicizing and naturalistic on the one hand, or unclassical and schematic on the other. The difference between Byzantine and modern art criticism lies not in perception but in language. The Byzantines were not blind, but they were using a language completely different from those of twentieth-century critics, and for this reason their statements have been misunderstood¹⁷.

Hence, despite some conventionality and a specific language, ekphraseis may be substantial primary sources, also when it comes to the issue of the significance of gold in Byzantine art.

According to Byzantine texts, beauty was the main idea with which gold was associated. Plotinus (ca. 204 – ca. 270) was the first who constituted the theoretical fundament for thinking about beauty (τ ò καλόν) as the idea (τ ò εἴδος). This philosopher pointed out that beauty is the idea manifested in different ways. Then, the presence of the idea is vital¹⁸. In this way, therefore, widespread observations

Quarter of a Century'?, ed. A. Simpson, Athens 2015, p. 261–282; P.Ł. Grotowski, O sztuce cytowania – chresis jako źródło w badaniach nad recepcją idei obrazu w Bizancjum, [in:] Hypomnemata Byzantina. Prace ofiarowane Maciejowi Salamonowi, ed. J. Bonarek, S. Turlej, Piotrków Trybunalski 2017, p. 56–57; H. Maguire, The Asymmetry of Text and Image in Byzantium, PM.RELLMA 38, 2017, https://doi.org/10.4000/peme.12218 [12 V 2020]; M. Smorąg Różycka, Miejsce ekfrazy w bizantynistycznej historiografii artystycznej, VP 70, 2018, p. 471–484.

¹⁵ Euphémie de Chalcédoine. Légendes byzantines, 1.14–15, ed. F. Halkin, Bruxelles 1965 [= SHa, 41]. ¹⁶ C. Mango, *The Art of the Byzantine Empire 312–1453*, Toronto–Buffalo–London 2013 [= Medieval Academy Reprints for Teaching, 16], p. 38 (Ist ed. Englewood Cliffs 1972).

¹⁷ H. MAGUIRE, Originality..., p. 102.

 $^{^{18}}$ *Plotini opera*, vol. I, *Porphyrii vita Plotini et enneades I–III*, e.g. 1.6.1.1–3; 1.6.1.17–36; 1.6.2.1–6; 1.6.2.11–28, ed. P. Henry, H.-R. Schwyzer, Leiden 1951 [= ML.SPh, 33]. In this context, it is also worth pointing to Michael Psellos' short commentary:

Περὶ τοῦ καλοῦ δὲ 'εὶ μὴ ἐκεῖνο' φησίν 'ἦν τὸ ὑπέρκαλλον κάλλει ἀμηχάνῳ, τί ἄν τούτου τοῦ ὁρωμένου ἦν κάλλιον;' οἱ δὲ μεμφόμενοι τοῦτο οὐκ ἐξ ὅλων ὁρῶσι μερῶν, ἀλλ' οἶον μέρος ζώου ἀπολαμβάνοντες, τρίχα ἢ ὄνυχα ἢ χολὴν καὶ φλέγμα, καὶ οὐδὲ τοῦτο πρὸς ὅ παρῆκται σκοπήσαντες, ὅπερ τοῦ μέρους δυσχεραίνουσιν ἀποπτύουσι κατὰ τοῦ παντός. εἰ δέ τις ὁμοῦ <πάντα> λάβῃ τε καὶ συλλάβῃ καὶ γνοίη τάς τε οὐσίας αὐτῶν καὶ δυνάμεις καὶ τὰς ἐνεργείας καὶ τὰς πρὸς ἄλλο κράσεις καὶ μίξεις καὶ σχέσεις καὶ ἔτι τὸ πᾶν ἐννοήσειεν, ἀπατηθείη ἄν ἴσως ἐντεῦθεν, ὅτι αὐτὸ τοῦτο τὸ πρώτως καλόν, δι' ὂ καὶ τὸ εἶναι ποθεινόν ἐστιν αὐτῷ, ὅτι ὁμοίωμα τοῦ καλοῦ. καὶ τὸ μὲν πρώτως καλόν, ἵνα δὴ πάλιν εἴπωμεν ἀναλύσαντες, ὁ πρῶτος νοῦς καὶ τὰ ἐκείνου πρῶτα νοήματα, ἄπερ αὐτὸς ἐκεῖνός ἐστιν, ἄπερ ἔχει μὲν παρὰ τὰγαθοῦ, ὥσπερ καὶ αὐτὸς ἐκεῖθεν ὑφέστηκεν, ἐκφαίνει δὲ πρῶτος. τὸ δὲ

– Plotinus, after all, did not have to order people to recognize light, gold, or stars as beautiful – gained a weighty philosophical foundation. As for the late antique and Byzantine plastic arts themselves, it is difficult to talk about the direct impact of the Plotinus' thoughts on their shape¹⁹. His aesthetic considerations, however, played an important role in Byzantine culture, because they were accepted by the Church Fathers thanks to whom the Plotinus' understanding of the perceptible beauty was consolidated²⁰.

For Byzantine authors, the beauty of gold essentially meant its glow – so it was directly related to light – as well its colour. Both attributes were positively perceived in antiquity, but it seems that they were particularly appreciated in late antiquity, and on this account, it can be said that at that time there was formed an aesthetic thought in which variegation $(\pi o \iota \kappa \iota \lambda \iota \alpha)$ was the most important value.

ένταῦθα κάλλος ἐπακτὸν καὶ εἴδωλον τοῦ καλοῦ, ἵνα καὶ καλὸν φαίνηται. ἡ δὲ ψυχὴ καλὴ μὲν τὴν φύσιν, καλλίων δὲ ὅταν ἐκεῖ βλέπῃ· εἰ γὰρ αὐτόθεν καλή, ἦν ἄν πᾶσα καλή. ὁ δὲ νοῦς αὐτὸ τοῦτο κάλλος καὶ τὰ μετ' ἐκεῖνον καλὰ ἢ εὐθὺς μετ' ἐκεῖνον ἢ πολλοστά. τὰ μὲν οὖν μετ' ἐκεῖνον πρώτως ἀστράπτει, τὰ δὲ διὰ μέσων μεταλαμβάνονται τοῦ ἐκεῖ κάλλους, ὅσῳ πορρώτερον, τοσούτῳ καὶ ἀμυδρότερον τὸ κάλλος ἴσχουσιν,

Τοῦ αὐτοῦ· περὶ νοητοῦ κάλλους, [in:] Michaelis Pselli philosophica minora, vol. II, ed. D.J. O'Meara, Leipzig 1989 [= BSGR], p. 117.

¹⁹ Cf. e.g.: A. Grabar, *Plotin et les origines de l'esthétique médiévale*, [in:] IDEM, *Les origines de l'esthétique médiévale*, ed. G. Dagron, Paris 1992, p. 29–87 (oryg. ed. CAr 1, 1945, p. 15–34); P.A. Michelis, *Neo-Platonic Philosophy...*, p. 21–45; H.P. L'Orange, *Art Forms and Civic Life in the Late Roman Empire*, Princeton 1965, p. 19–33 (Ist ed. Oslo 1958); G. Mathew, *Byzantine...*, p. 2–22; G.M. Gurtler, *Plotinus and Byzantine Aesthetics*, MSch 66.4, 1989, p. 275–284. See as well: S. Mariev, *Introduction. Byzantine Aesthetics*, [in:] *Aesthetics and Theurgy in Byzantium*, ed. S. Mariev, W.-M. Stock, Berlin–Boston 2013 [= BArchiv, 25], p. 2–11; J. Haldane, *Medieval Aesthetics*, [in:] *The Routledge Companion to Aesthetics*, ed. B. Gaut, D. McIver Lopes, London 2013 [= RPhC], p. 26–28 (Ist ed. London 2000).

Εἱ δὲ τὸ ἐν σώματι καλὸν ἐκ τῆς πρὸς ἄλληλα τῶν μερῶν συμμετρίας, καὶ τῆς ἐπιφαινομένης εὐχροίας, τὸ εἶναι ἔχει, πῶς ἐπὶ τοῦ φωτὸς ἀπλοῦ τὴν φύσιν ὄντος καὶ ὁμοιομεροῦς, ὁ τοῦ καλοῦ διασώζεται λόγος;"Η ὅτι τῷ φωτὶ τὸ σύμμετρον οὐκ ἐν τοῖς ἰδίοις αὐτοῦ μέρεσιν, ἀλλὶ ἐν τῷ πρὸς τὴν ὄψιν ἀλύπῳ καὶ προσηνεῖ μαρτυρεῖται; Οὕτω γὰρ καὶ χρυσὸς καλὸς, οὐκ ἐκ τῆς τῶν μερῶν συμμετρίας, ἀλλὶ ἐκ τῆς εὐχροίας μόνης, τὸ ἐπαγωγὸν πρὸς τὴν ὄψιν καὶ τὸ τερπνὸν κεκτημένος. Καὶ ἔσπερος ἀστέρων κάλλιστος, οὐ διὰ τὸ ἀναλογοῦντα ἔχειν τὰ μέρη ἐξ ὧν συνέστηκεν, ἀλλὰ διὰ τὸ ἄλυπόν τινα καὶ ἡδεῖαν τὴν ἀπὶ αὐτοῦ αὐγὴν ἐμπίπτειν τοῖς ὅμμασιν. Ἐπειτα νῦν ἡ τοῦ Θεοῦ κρίσις περὶ τοῦ καλοῦ, οὐ πάντως πρὸς τὸ ἐν ὄψει τερπνὸν ἀποβλέποντος, ἀλλὰ καὶ πρὸς τὴν εἰς ὕστερον ἀπὶ αὐτοῦ ἀφέλειαν προορωμένου γεγένηται. Ὀφθαλμοὶ γὰρ οὕπω ἦσαν κριτικοὶ τοῦ ἐν φωτὶ κάλλους. [...] Ἐπεὶ καὶ χεὶρ καθὶ ἐαυτὴν, καὶ ὀφθαλμὸς ἰδία, καὶ ἔκαστον τῶν τοῦ ἀνδριάντος μελῶν διῃρημένως κείμενα, οὐκ ἄν φανείη καλὰ τῷ τυχόντι· πρὸς δὲ τὴν οἰκείαν τάξιν ἀποτεθέντα, τὸ ἐκ τῆς ἀναλογίας, ἐμφανὲς μόλις ποτὲ, καὶ τῷ ἰδιώτῃ παρέχεται γνώριμον. Ὁ μέντοι τεχνίτης καὶ πρὸ τῆς συνθέσεως οίδε τὸ ἐκάστου καλὸν, καὶ ἐπαινεῖ τὰ καθὶ ἔκαστον, πρὸς τὸ τέλος αὐτῶν ἐπαναφέρων τὴν ἔννοιαν. Τοιοῦτος οὖν δή τις καὶ νῦν ἔντεχνος ἐπαινέτης τῶν κατὰ μέρος ἔργων ὁ Θεὸς ἀναγέγραπται· μέλλει δὲ τὸν προσήκοντα ἔπαινον καὶ παντὶ ὁμοῦ τῷ κόσμῳ ἀπαρτισθέντι πληροῦν,

Basile de Césarée, *Homélies sur l'hexaéméron*, 2.7.39–55; 3.10.8–18, ed. S. Giet, Paris 1968 [= SC, 26 bis].

It was related to colours and visual effects on shimmering surfaces of various materials, such as gold, precious stones, marbles, and fabrics. This kind of aesthetic inclinations was then adopted in Byzantium where they did not lose its relevance until the end of the empire's existence, as evidenced by numerous texts and works of art.

In the context of the late antique aesthetics, Michael Roberts coined the evocative term "jeweled style" to illustrate concisely a change in taste in the contemporary poetry, whereby he refers chiefly to the Latin literature. According to Roberts, the classical poetics was then rejected in favour of a new one, in which instead of the simplicity and unity of composition, the variety (*varietas*, *variatio*) was particularly delighted and due to it even a simple topic could become interesting and decorative (*ornatus*). Therefore, repetition was avoided, and authors used to use synonyms and circumlocutions to prevent monotony. The literature created in this way was supposed to be like a shimmering gem (*gemma*) that attracts attention with its glitter (*lumen*) and colour (*color*)²¹. Although it is difficult to agree with all the detailed considerations of the researcher, who sometimes compares literature with painting too easily, his term "jeweled style", in the context of art understood simply as a predilection for sophisticated, multi-coloured and shiny materials, quite aptly describes the late-antique and Byzantine aesthetics²².

Referring directly to Byzantine primary sources, it should be stressed that gold in ekphraseis, full of admiration for visible beauty, appears as one of the quintessential precious materials, and this is the most characteristic feature: gold does not have a unique, special position among them, since marbles, precious stones, and expensive fabrics are not perceived as less valued. Let's study some specific examples. Describing the church of the Holy Wisdom in Constantinople, Paulus Silentiarius (died ca. 575–580) wrote:

²¹ M. Roberts, *The Jeweled*..., p. 39–65.

²² Cf.: P. Cox MILLER, "The Little Blue Flower Is Red". Relics and the Poetizing of the Body, JECS 8.2, 2000, p. 213-236; T.K. THOMAS, The Medium Matters. Reading the Remains of a Late Antique Textile, [in:] Reading Medieval Images. The Art Historian and the Object, ed. E. Sears, T.K. Thomas, Ann Arbor 2002, p. 39-49; L. James, Color and Meaning in Byzantium, JECS 11.2, 2003, p. 223-233; E.S. Bolman, Late Antique Aesthetics, Chromophobia and the Red Monastery, Sohag, Egypt, ECA 3, 2006, p. 18-22; J. ELSNER, Late Antique Art. The Problem of the Concept and the Cumulative Aesthetic, [in:] Approaching Late Antiquity. The Transformation from Early to Late Empire, ed. S. SWAIN, M. EDWARDS, Oxford 2006, p. 271-309; P. Cox MILLER, The Corporeal Imagination. Signifying the Holy in Late Ancient Christianity, Philadelphia 2009, p. 17, 18, 43-44; E.S. Bolman, Painted Skins. The Illusions and Realities of Architectural Polychromy, Sinai and Egypt, [in:] Approaching the Holy Mountain. Art and Liturgy at St Catherine's Monastery in the Sinai, ed. S.E.J. Gerstel, R.S. Nelson, Turnhout 2010 [= CMu, 11], p. 119-140; B.V. PENTCHEVA, The Sensual Icon. Space, Ritual, and the Senses in Byzantium, University Park 2010, p. 139-149; N. SCHIBILLE, Hagia Sophia and the Byzantine Aesthetic Experience, Farnham 2014, p. 97-99, 108; B.V. PENTCHEVA, Hagia Sophia, Sound, Space, and Spirit in Byzantium, University Park 2017, p. 121-149; V. IVANOVICI, Divine Light through Earthly Colours. Mediating Perception in Late Antique Churches, [in:] Colour and Light in Ancient and Medieval Art, ed. C.N. Duckworth, A.E. Sassin, New York-London 2018, p. 81-91.

χρυσεοκολλήτους δὲ τέγος ψηφίδας ἐέργει, ὧν ἄπο μαρμαίρουσα χύδην χρυσόρρυτος ἀκτὶς ἀνδρομέοις ἄτλητος ἐπεσκίρτησε προσώποις. φαίη τις φαέθοντα μεσημβρινὸν εἴαρος ὥρῃ εἰσοράαν, ὅτε πᾶσαν ἐπεχρύσωσεν ἐρίπνην²3

The roof is compacted of gilded tesserae from which a glittering stream of golden rays pours abundantly and strikes men's eyes with irresistible force. It is as if one were gazing at the midday sun in spring, when he gilds each mountain top²⁴.

Here, the poet drew attention not only to the golden mosaic cubes (χρυσεοκολλήτους ψηφῖδας) covering the vaulted parts but also emphasized that the rays of light (ἄπο μαρμαίρουσα χύδην χρυσόρρυτος ἀκτὶς) reflecting from their surface are so intense that it is even difficult to look at them (ἀνδρομέοις ἄτλητος ἐπεσκίρτησε προσώποις). The brilliance of the tesserae hurts eyes like the spring sun illuminating the mountain peaks at noon (φαέθοντα μεσημβρινὸν εἴαρος ὥρῃ). It should be noted that the colour of the sun's rays, both in the church and outside, is described as golden (χρυσόρρυτος; ἐπεχρύσωσεν).

It is worth adding that Silentarius in some very poetic lines contained quite specific content because authors frequently used to stop on more general statements. For example, Procopius of Caesarea (ca. 500 – ca. 565) wrote on the same church: "χρυσῷ μὲν ἀκιβδήλῳ καταλήλειπται ἡ ὀροφὴ πᾶσα, κεραννῦσα τὸν κόμπον τῷ κάλλει, νικᾳ μέντοι ἡ ἐκ τῶν λίθων αὐγὴ ἀνταστράπτουσα τῷ χρυσῷ"25 (The whole ceiling is overlaid with pure gold, which adds glory to the beauty, yet the light reflected from the stones prevails, shining out in rivalry with the gold)26. Thus he did not specify that the vaults were decorated with gold tesserae but he admitted that this part of the building is beautiful and resplendent. Notwithstanding this opinion, Procopius found that the glow of marbles (ἡ ἐκ τῶν λίθων αὐγὴ ἀνταστράπτουσα) is stronger than that of gold (νικᾳ μέντοι τῷ χρυσῷ). His view may seem somewhat surprising, but a little further we read as well: "ὁ δὲ χαλκὸς οὖτος τὸ μὲν χρῶμά ἐστι χρυσοῦ ἀκιβδήλου πρᾳότερος, τὴν δὲ ἀξίαν οὐ παρὰ πολὺ ἀποδέων ἰσοστάσιος ἀργύρῳ εἶναι"27 (This brass, in its colour, is softer than pure gold, and its value is not much less than that of an equal weight of silver)28. It is

²³ Paulus Silentiarius, *Descriptio Sanctae Sophiae*, 668–672, [in:] Paulus Silentiarius, *Descriptio Sanctae Sophiae*, *Descriptio Ambonis*, ed. C. de Stefani, Berlin–New York 2010 [= BSGR] (cetera: Paulus Silentiarius).

²⁴ C. Mango, *The Art of the Byzantine...*, p. 86.

²⁵ *Procopii Caesarensis opera omnia. De aedificiis*, I, 1, 54, vol. IV, ed. J. HAURY, rec. G. WIRTH, Leipzig 1964 [= BSGR] (cetera: Procopius).

²⁶ Procopius, *On Buildings*, I, 1, 54, trans. H.B. Dewing, G. Downey, Cambridge Mass.–London 1954 [= LCL, 343].

²⁷ Procopius, I, 2, 4.

²⁸ Procopius, On Buildings..., I, 2, 4.

interesting that both Procopius and Silentarius noticed some weaknesses of gold, especially since their feelings are opposite – although they both described the same dome – because for the first author gold glitters less than marbles, and its colour is less delicate than that of copper, and for the second one, the glow of golden mosaic cubes is too dazzling.

Other writers also used to point to the special visual effects associated with golden surfaces, both earlier such as Eusebius of Caesarea (ca. 260 – ca. 339)²⁹ and later ones. Of the latter, it is especially worth paying attention to the text from around the mid-twelfth century whose author is Michael *protecdicus* (πρωτέκδικος)³⁰ of the church of Thessalonica and later deacon of the church of the Holy Wisdom in Constantinople. His composition is on folios 123r-124v of the Escorial codex Y–II–10 (Real Biblioteca del Monasterio de San Lorenzo de El Escorial) and it is the ekphrasis of the Holy Wisdom church written for the annual celebration of the inauguration of the church. This text consists of 232 lines – unfortunately, it is incomplete now – and refers to the architectural form of the church and its symbolic interpretation as well³¹. What is more, the author remarked on the building's decoration, and, at the very beginning, he emphasized that the beauty of the church is related to gold (\ddot{o} ς καὶ πυρράζει τὴν ὄψιν ὡς ὑγρότης πάντη χρυσόν)³². Regarding the narthex, we read:

καὶ ἡ τοῦ χρυσοῦ στιλπνότης ἐγγὺς εἶναι τοῦ καταστάζειν ποεῖ νομίζεσθαι τὸν χρυσόν. τοὺς γὰρ ὑγροὺς ὀφθαλμοὺς τῇ ἀνταυγείᾳ ὥσπερ κυμαίνουσα, τὰς ἐκείνων νοτίδας εἰς τὸν χρυσὸν ἐφάντασε τὸν ὁρώμενον, καὶ δοκεῖ ῥευσεῖσθαι τηκόμενος. λίθος δὲ ἀλλὰ ποδαπὴ περιπέπηγε τῇ οἰκοδομῇ, τῷ πολυχρόῳ καὶ λείῳ διαμιλλωμένη πρὸς τὸν χρυσόν, ἐκ μὲν λει-ότητος στίλβουσα, ἐκ δὲ τοῦ ἄνθους ὄντος ποικίλου πλέον τι ἔχουσα καὶ ὑπὲρ τὸν μονό-χρουν χρυσόν

[...] and the brightness of the gold almost makes the gold appear to drip down; for by its refulgence making waves to arise, as it were, in eyes that are moist, it causes their moisture to appear in the gold which is seen, and it seems to be flowing in a molten stream. But what

²⁹ E.g. his description of the church of the Holy Apostles in Constantinople:

αὐτὸς δὲ νεὼν ἄπαντα εἰς ὕψος ἄφατον ἐπάρας, λίθων ποικιλίαις παντοίων ἐξαστράπτοντα ἐποίει, εἰς αὐτὸν ὄροφον ἐξ ἐδάφους πλακώσας, διαλαβὼν δὲ λεπτοῖς φατνώμασι τὴν στέγην χρυσῷ τὴν πᾶσαν ἐκάλυπτεν· ἄνω δὲ ὑπὲρ ταὑτην πρὸς αὐτῷ δώματι χαλκὸς μὲν ἀντὶ κεράμου φυλακὴν τῷ ἔργῳ πρὸς ὑετῶν ἀσφάλειαν παρεῖχε· καὶ τοῦτον δὲ πολὺς περιέλαμπε χρυσός, ὡς μαρμαρυγὰς τοῖς πόρρωθεν ἀφορῶσι ταῖς ἡλίου αὐγαῖς ἀντανακλωμέναις ἐκπέμπειν. δικτυωτὰ δὲ πέριξ ἐκύκλου τὸ δωμάτιον ἀνάγλυφα χαλκῷ καὶ χρυσῷ κατειργασμένα,

Eusebius Werke, vol. I.1, Über das Leben des Kaisers Konstantin, 4.58–4.59, ed. F. Winkelmann, Berlin 1975 [= GCS].

³⁰ Glossarium ad scriptores mediae et infimae latinitatis, vol. VI, ed. C. du Fresne du Cange, Niort 1883–1887, 541a (s.v. *Protecdicus*).

³¹ C. Mango, J. Parker, A Twelfth-Century Description of St. Sophia, DOP 14, 1960, p. 233–235.

³² This text was edited and translated by Cyril Mango and John Parker. They also provided it with an introduction and commentary; C. Mango, J. Parker, A Twelfth-Century..., 1.12–13, p. 235.

92 Magdalena Garnczarska

manner of stonework is this that fastened around the building, striving with its variegated coloring and smoothness against gold, shining because of its smoothness and, because of its diversified bloom having something that surpasses even the gold, which is of one color?³³.

To Michael, gold – because of its intense gloss – seems to be flowing down the walls (καὶ ἡ τοῦ χρυσοῦ στιλπνότης ἐγγὺς εἶναι τοῦ καταστάζειν ποεῖ νομίζεσθαι τὸν χρυσόν). The shimmer of gold is glaring and it results in watery eyes (τοὺς γὰρ ὑγροὺς ὀφθαλμοὺς τῇ ἀνταυγείᾳ ισπερ κυμαίνουσα, τὰς ἐκείνων νοτίδας εἰς τὸν χρυσὸν ἐφάντασε τὸν ὁρώμενον, καὶ δοκεῖ ῥευσεῖσθαι τηκόμενος), and the stones, due to their variegation of colours, resemble flowers in bloom (ἐκ δὲ τοῦ ἄνθους ὄντος ποικίλου)³⁴. Interestingly, the author is inclined to consider the multi-coloured revetments as more beautiful than gold which is, after all, of one colour (μονόχροος)³⁵. A monochromaticity seems to be less valued than colour-fulness (πολύχροος), although there were exceptions to this rule, as evidenced by the description of the floor in one of the homilies of Leo VI the Wise (886–912)³⁶.

³³ C. Mango, J. Parker, *A Twelfth-Century...*, 3.67–79, p. 237 (There are the Greek text and the English translation).

³⁴ This kind of comparison of multi-coloured stones to blooming flowers is quite common in Byzantine literature, and its general prototype can be found in *The Hall (Περὶ τοῦ οἴκου)* of Lucian of Samosata. However, he compared frescoes, not marbles, to a flourishing meadow; LUCIAN, The Hall, 9, [in:] Lucian, Phalaris. Hippias or The Bath. Dionysus. Heracles. Amber or The Swans. The Fly. Nigrinus. Demonax. The Hall. My Native Land. Octogenarians. A True Story. Slander. The Consonants at Law. The Carousal (Symposium) or The Lapiths, vol. I, ed. A.M. HARMON, Cambridge Mass. 1913 [= LCL, 14]. This motif, as it seems, has been referred to marble revetments and floors since the 6th century; H. MAGUIRE, Nectar & Illusion. Nature in Byzantine Art and Literature, Oxford 2016 [= OSHC], p. 121-122 (Ist ed. Oxford 2012). In this early period, we find it, among others, in Procopius (Procopius, 1.1.59-60), as well as in the carved inscription of the church of St. Polyeuctus in Constantinople (Anthologia Graeca, 1.10.60-69, vol. I, ed. H. BECKBY, München 1965). This comparison turned out to be extremely enduring, because it was often used for the next centuries, until the end of Byzantium, since the beauty of various stones decorating interiors was constantly emphasized and glorified. See as well: Gregorius Nyssenus, De sancto Theodoro, [in:] PG, vol. XLVI, col. 737.48-740.6; Choricii Gazaei opera, 2.2.40, ed. R. Foerster, E. Richtsteig, Leipzig 1929 [= BSGR] (cetera: CHORICIUS).

³⁵ Reading Byzantine primary sources, one could often find that the most wonderful visual effects are associated not with gold but with multi-coloured stones, both marbles, and gems, to which the former ones are regularly compared. In the context of stone revetments, the example of the poetic ekphrasis of the Constantinopolitan church of the Holy Wisdom of Silentiarius is significant. His description of the church's marbles is extensive and very detailed, because it does not boil down to the general highlighting of their diverse colours and extraordinary gloss. Therefore, almost all the stones mentioned in the poem can be accurately recognized and assigned to individual places of the church; N. Schibille, *Hagia Sophia...*, p. 97–109, 241–243. It should be clearly emphasized that the Silentiarius' ekphrasis is a unique combination of elaborate poetry with a large dose of specific information, which was quoted in a very erudite form; Paulus Silentiarius, 617–646, 664–667.

³⁶ Μαρμάρου γὰρ λευκῆς ἐκ πλακῶν ὑπέστρωται, τὸ συνεχὲς τῆς διαφανείας μηδενὸς ἄλλου διατειχίζοντος χρώματος, προτετιμηκότος τοῦ τεχνίτου τὸ ἀμιγὲς τῆς ἀγλαΐας τοῦ ἐκ τῆς ποικίλης κατασκευῆς ἄνθους, οἶα πολλὰ ἐν ταῖς τῶν ἐδαφῶν κατασκευαῖς ὁρᾶται. Πλὴν ὥσπέρ τινα ὅρια

The author underlined there that the pavement made of white slabs is beautiful because of its one colour, and it is a pure splendour for him. In general, the combination of materials of different colours providing stunning visual effects was valued more than simplicity praised by Leo.

In the context of extraordinary impressions, the *X Homily* of Photius I of Constantinople (858–867, 877–886) immediately comes to mind. The patriarch prepared it on the occasion of the inauguration of the church of the Virgin of the Pharos at the Great Palace of Constantinople. This event took place in 864 during the reign of Michael III $(842–867)^{37}$:

Ως εἰς αὐτὸν γὰρ τὸν οὐρανὸν μηδενὸς ἐπιπροσθοῦντος μηδαμόθεν ἐμβεβηκὼς καὶ τοῖς πολυμόρφοις καὶ πανταχόθεν ὑποφαινομένοις κάλλεσιν ὡς ἄστροις περιλαμπόμενος ὅλος ἐκπεπληγμένος γίνεται. Δοκεῖ δὲ λοιπὸν ἐντεῦθεν τά τε ἄλλα ἐν ἐκστάσει εἶναι καὶ αὐτὸ περιδινεῖσθαι τὸ τέμενος· ταῖς γὰρ οἰκείαις καὶ παντοδαπαῖς περιστροφαῖς καὶ συνεχέσι κινήσεσιν, ἃ πάντως παθεῖν τὸν θεατὴν ἡ πανταχόθεν ποικιλία βιάζεται τοῦ θεάματος, εἰς αὐτὸ τὸ ὁρώμενον τὸ οἰκεῖον φαντάζεται πάθημα. Ἁλλὰ γὰρ χρυσός τε καὶ ἄργυρος τὰ πλεῖστα τοῦ ναοῦ διειλήφασιν, ὁ μὲν ψηφῖσιν ἐπαλειφόμενος, ὁ δὲ εἰς πλάκας ἀποξεόμενός τε καὶ τυπούμενος, ἄλλος ἄλλοις ἐπιπασσόμενος μέρεσιν· ἐνταῦθα ἐπικοσμούμενα κιονόκρανα, ἐνταῦθα δὲ διὰ χρυσοῦ περιζώματα· ἀλλαχόθι δὲ ταῖς ἀλύσεσιν ἐπιπλεκόμενος χρυσός, ἢ χρυσοῦ τι θαυμασιώτερον, ἡ θεία τράπεζα, σύνθημα. Ἅργυρος δὲ περὶ τὰς πυλίδας καὶ στυλίδας τοῦ θυσιαστηρίου σὺν τοῖς περιστώοις καὶ αὐτὸς ὁ κωνοειδὴς καὶ τῆ θεία τραπέζη ἐπικείμενος σὺν τοῖς ὑπερείδουσι στυλίσκοις ὑπωρόφοις ὄροφος. Καὶ μαρμάρων δὲ πολυχρώμων ὅσα μὴ χρυσὸς ἐπέδραμεν ἢ ἄργυρος περιέλαβεν, ἀμήχανόν τι καὶ τερπνὸν φιλοτέχνημα τὰ ὑπόλοιπα τοῦ ναοῦ διεκόσμησεν³8

It is as if one had entered heaven itself with no one barring the way from any side, and was illuminated by the beauty in all forms shining all around like so many stars, so is one utterly amazed. Thenceforth it seems that everything is in ecstatic motion, and the church itself is circling around. For the spectator, through his whirling about in all directions and being constantly astir, which he is forced to experience by the variegated spectacle on all sides, imagines that his personal own is transferred to the object. Gold and silver cover the greater part of the church, the one smeared on tesserae, the other cut out and fashioned into plaques, or otherwise applied to other parts. Over here are capitals adorned with gold, over there are golden cornices. Elsewhere gold is twined into chains, but more wonderful than gold is the composition of the holy table. The little doors and columns of the sanctuary together with the peristyle are covered with silver; so also is the conical roof set over the holy table with the

ἔξωθεν περιθέοντα τῆς λευκῆς ἐπιφανείας ἐκ πλακὸς ἑτεροχρόου, τῆ βραχεία παραμείψει τῆς θέας, τερπνὴν οὖσαν τὴν τοῦ λευκοῦ διαφάνειαν, τερπνοτέραν ὅμως ποιεῖ,

Leonis VI Sapientis Imperatoris Byzantini Homiliae, 31.54–61, ed. T. Antonopoulou, Turnhout 2008 [= CC.SG 63] (cetera: Leo VI).

³⁷ R.J.H. JENKINS, C. MANGO, The Date and Significance of the Tenth Homily of Photius, DOP 9/10, 1956, p. 125–140; A. RÓŻYCKA BRYZEK, Focjusz, patriarcha Konstantynopola, "Homilia X", Z 466.3, 1994, p. 57.

³⁸ Τοῦ αὐτοῦ ἀγιωτάτου Φωτίου ἀρχιεπισκόπου Κωνσταντινουπόλεως όμιλία, ἡηθεῖσα ὡς ἐν ἐκφράσει τοῦ ἐν τοῖς βασιλείοις περιωνύμου ναοῦ, 10, [in:] Φωτίου Ὁμιλίαι, ed. Β. ΛΑΟΥΡΔΑΣ, Θεσσαλονίκη 1959 [= ΕΠΣΕΜΣΠ, 12] (cetera: Photius), p. 101.18–31 – 102.1–5.

little pillars and canopy that support it. The rest of the church, as much of it as gold has not overspread or silver covered, is adorned with many-hued marble, a surpassingly fair work³⁹.

In his solemn speech, Photius used well-known and much earlier developed schemes for describing the church's interior, and his ekphrasis is, in fact, rather general, thus it could be applied easily as a description of another church. As for gold, he mentioned that it is in the mosaic cubes (ὁ μὲν ψηφῖσιν ἐπαλειφόμενος) and that capitals, cornices, and chains are gilded (ἐνταῦθα ἐπικοσμούμενα κιονόκρανα, ἐνταῦθα δὲ διὰ χρυσοῦ περιζώματα· ἀλλαχόθι δὲ ταῖς ἁλύσεσιν ἐπιπλεκόμενος χρυσός). The author, however, emphasized that the altar with the silver ciborium is more beautiful than gold (ἢ χρυσοῦ τι θαυμασιώτερον, ἡ θεία τράπεζα, σύνθημα). It seems that in this way Photius rather indicates that the sanctuary is the most important part of the church than comments on aesthetics. The patriarch certainly succeeded in creating the vision of the splendour of the new foundation: completely covered with gold and silver (Ἀλλὰ γὰρ χρυσός τε καὶ ἄργυρος τὰ πλεῖστα τοῦ ναοῦ διειλήφασιν) and also with multi-coloured marbles (μαρμάρων δὲ πολυχρώμων). It is worth adding that the homily was given *in situ*, which also allowed for less scrupulous explanations. Anyway, the Photius himself justified his approach with rhetorical emphasis:

Χαίρω δ' οὖν ἔγωγε οὐδὲν ἦττον, εἰ καὶ τὸ ἔλαττον ὁ λόγος ἀπηνέγκατο, ἢ εἰ καὶ πρὸς αὐτὸ τὸ μέτρον τῆς ἱκανῶς ἐχούσης ἀφίκετο διηγήσεως· οὐ γὰρ τῆς ἐν λόγῳ δυνάμεως ἐπίδειξιν, ἀλλὰ τὸ κάλλιστόν τε εἶναι τὸν ναὸν καὶ ώραιότατον καὶ νικῶντα νόμους ἐκφράσεως παραστῆσαι προήρημαι 40

Yet, even if my speech has fallen below the mark, I am not any the less content than if it had risen to the level of an adequate description. For my purpose was not to make an exhibition of eloquence but to show that the church is most excellent and beautiful and that it defeats the canons of an *ekphrasis*⁴¹.

³⁹ The Homilies of Photius, Patriarch of Constantinople, 10.5, trans., praef. C. Mango, Cambridge Mass. 1958 [= DOS, 3], p. 186–187.

⁴⁰ Рнотіиs, 10, p. 103.23–27. In *Homily XVII*, Photius also emphasized the power of sight – a sense that surpasses hearing (Рнотіиs, 17, p. 170.28–33):

εἰ γὰρ καὶ δι' ἀλλήλων ἑκάτερον συνεισάγεται, ἀλλὰ πολὺ προέχειν ἐπὶ τῶν ἔργων αὐτῶν ἐπιδείκνυται τῆς κατὰ τὴν ἀκοὴν εἰσδυομένης μαθήσεως ἡ διὰ τῆς ὄψεως ἐγγινομένη κατάληψις. Ἐκλινέ τις τὸ οὖς εἰς διήγημα; εἴλκυσε φανταζομένη τὸ ἀκουσθὲν ἡ διάνοια; νηφούση μελέτη τὸ κριθὲν τῆ μνήμη ἐναπέθετο. Οὐδὲν τούτων ἔλαττον, εἰ μὴ καὶ πολὺ μᾶλλον, κρατεῖ τὰ τῆς ὄψεως.

Cf. Καὶ τί ἄν τις ἐν οὕτω βραχεῖ καιρῷ τὰ τοῦ περιωνύμου τεμένους λόγῳ πειρᾶται περιέρχεσθαι θαύματα; ὅπου γε οὐδ' αὐτὴ ἡ ὄψις οὐδ' ἐπὶ συχνὸν χρόνον, καίτοι τὰς ἄλλας αἰσθήσεις τῷ τάχει κατόπιν ἄγουσα, ἀντιλαβέσθαι τούτων οὐδαμῶς ἐλέγχεται κατισχύουσα,

Photius, 10, p. 103.19–23. On the theory of perception of Photius: R. Betancourt, *Sight...*, p. 109–195.

⁴¹ The Homilies of Photius..., 10.7, p. 189.

The *X Homily* of Photius, which passages were cited above, was formerly considered a speech for the inauguration of the so-called New Church (Νέα Ἐκκλησία) funded by Basil I the Macedonian (867–886). Cyril Mango proves, however, that the text refers to the church of the Virgin of the Pharos⁴². The description of the New Church is found in the panegyrical *Vita Basilii* (Ιστορική διήγησις τοῦ βίου καὶ τῶν πράξεων Βασιλείου τοῦ ἀοιδίμου βασιλέως), which is the only extant secular biography in Byzantine literature. The emperor was presented there not only as a brave warrior, but also as a generous founder, who raised many churches from ruin and also built numerous new ones⁴³.

ον ώς νύμφην ώραϊσμένην καὶ περικεκοσμημένην μαργάροις τε καὶ χρυσῷ καὶ ἀργύρου λαμπρότησιν, ἔτι δὲ καὶ μαρμάρων πολυχρόων ποικιλίαις καὶ ψηφί|δων συνθέσεσιν καὶ σηρικῶν ὑφασμάτων καταστολαῖς τῷ ἀθανάτῳ προσήγαγεν νυμφίῳ Χριστῷ. Ὁ τε γὰρ ὄροφος ἐκ πέντε συμπληρούμενος ἡμισφαιρίων στίλβει χρυσῷ καὶ εἰκόνων ὡς ἀστέρων ἐξαστράπτει κά(λ)λεσιν, ἔξωθεν μετάλλοις ἐμφεροῦς χρυσίῳ χαλκοῦ καλλυνόμενος, οἴ τε παρ' ἐκάτερα τοῖχοι τῷ πολυτελεῖ καὶ πολυχρόῳ τῶν μαρμάρων καταποικίλλονται, || καὶ τὰ ἄδυτα τοῦ ναοῦ καὶ χρυσῷ καὶ ἀργύρῳ καὶ ⟨λίθοις⟩ τιμίοις καὶ μαργάροις καταπεποίκιλται καὶ καταπεπλούτισται. καὶ αὶ τῶν ἐκτὸς διείργουσαι τὰ θυσιαστήρια κιγκλίδες καὶ τὰ ἐν αὐταῖς περίστυλα καὶ τὰ ἄνωθεν οἶον ὑπέρθυρα χρηματίζοντα οἴ τε ἐντὸς θᾶκοι καὶ αὶ πρὸ τούτων βαθμίδες καὶ αὐταὶ αἱ ἱεραὶ τράπεζαι, ἐξ ἀργύρου πάντα⟨οθεν⟩ περικεχυμένον ἔχοντος τὸν χρυσὸν καὶ λίθοις τιμίοις ἐκ μαργαριτῶν ἡμφιεσμένοις πολυτελῶν τὴν σύμπηξιν καὶ σύστασιν ἔχουσιν. αὐτὸ δὲ τὸ ἔδαφος σηρικῶν ὑφασμάτων ἢ Σιδονίων ἔργων ἐφηπλωμένων δόξει τυγχάνειν ἀνάπλεων· οὕτω πᾶν ἐξωράισται καὶ καταπεποίκιλται τῷ πολυχρόῳ τῶν ὑποκειμένων ἐκ μαρμάρων πλακῶν καὶ ταῖς πολυειδέσι τῶν ταύτας περικλειουσῶν ψηφίδων ζώναις καὶ τῷ τῆς ἀρμογῆς ἀκριβεῖ καὶ τῷ περιττῷ τῆς περιθεούσης ἐν ἄπασι χάριτος

The emperor offered this church to Christ, the immortal Bridegroom, as a bride decked out and adorned with pearls and gold and gleaming silver and, moreover, with a variety of many-colored marbles, mosaic compositions and silken robes. The ceilings of that five-domed church glitter with gold and flash forth (their) beautiful representations like (as many) stars; on the outside, the roof is embellished with brass work resembling gold; the shrine's (interior) walls on either side are varied with costly and many-colored marbles and its sanctuary is variously decked out with a wealth of gold, silver, precious stones and pearls. The chancel barrier that separates the outside area from the altar space; the colonnade set into this barrier and the (parts) above, functioning as lintels, as it were; the seats within (the sanctuary); the steps leading to them; and the altars themselves are all given massivity and substance by

⁴² Ibidem, p. 177-183.

⁴³ Ὁ δὲ φιλόχριστος βασιλεὺς Βασίλειος μεταξὺ τῶν πολεμικῶν ἀγώνων, οῦς διὰ τῶν ὑπὸ χεῖρα πολλάκις ὥσπερ ἀγωνοθετῶν πρὸς τὸ δέον κατηύθυνε, πολλοὺς τῶν ἱερῶν καὶ θείων ναῶν ἐκ τῶν προγεγονότων διαρραγέντας σεισμῶν καὶ ἢ καταβληθέντας παντελῶς ἢ πτῶσιν ἀπὸ τῶν ῥηγμάτων σύντομον ὑπομεῖναι δηλοῦντας, ἐπιμελεία τε διηνεκεῖ καὶ τῶν πρὸς τὴν χρείαν | ἐπιτηδείων ἀφθόνῳ χορηγία καὶ παροχῇ τοὺς μὲν τοῦ πτώματος ἤγειρεν, τῇ ἀσφαλεία καὶ κάλλος προσθείς, τῶν δὲ τὸ ἀσθενὲς ἐνισχύσας διὰ τῆς τῶν δεόντων ἐπιβολῆς καὶ ἐπανορθώσεως, τοῦ μὴ καταρρυῆναι, ἀλλὰ πρὸς ἀκμὴν αὖθις ἐπανελθεῖν καὶ νεότητα ἐγένετο αἴτιος. δηλωτέον δὲ καὶ ⟨τὰ⟩ καθ' ἕκαστα",

Chronographiae quae Theophanis Continuati nomine fertur Liber quo Vita Basilii Imperatoris amplectitur, 78, ed. I. Ševcenko, Berlin–Boston 2011 [= CFHB.SBe, 42] (cetera: Vita Basilii).

96 Magdalena Garnczarska

silver that is gilded all over and (adorned) with precious stones in settings made [?] from costly pearls. As for the pavement, it first will appear to be spread with (rugs) woven of silk or with Sidonian fabrics, so beautifully has all of it been inlaid and varied by marble panels of many hues set into the ground; by the variegated mosaic bands that enclose these panels; by the precision with which everything has been joined together; and by the superabundant elegance spreading throughout⁴⁴.

The quoted ekphrasis although quite extensive, does not contain many details – like that of Photius. This is another evocation of a dazzling imperial foundation which is composed primarily by the discussing of wonderful and expensive materials exploited in the church embellishment, namely: gold, silver, tesserae, fabrics, and various many-hued stones. In this instance, like in previous ones, the beauty is grounded on variegation (οὕτω ποικῖλαι ταύτας τοῦ τεχνίτου θελήσαντος, ὡς ἐκ τοῦ πολυμόρφου θηρῶντος τὸ εὐπρεπὲς καὶ ἐράσμιον)⁴⁵. Besides, the lavish decoration of the shrine is compared to the fine attire of a bride (ὂν ὡς νύμφην ὡραϊσμένην καὶ περικεκοσμημένην). This comparison also indicates that the Church is married to Christ, the immortal Bridegroom (τῷ ἀθανάτφ προσήγαγεν νυμφίφ Χριστῷ). Thereupon each church building also in terms of external appearance must be appropriate for such a great Groom. On this account, the adorned "garment" of the New Church consists of marble cladding, mosaics, silk fabrics, pearls, gold, and silver. All these elements are costly and shiny, and they differ in colours as well.

Gold, probably in the form of tesserae, also covered the interiors of the five domes (πέντε συμπληρούμενος ἡμισφαιρίων στίλβει χρυσῷ καὶ εἰκόνων ὡς ἀστέρων ἐξαστράπτει κά(λ)λεσιν), shimmering like stars. Moreover, all parts of the templon and the altars were made of silver and thereafter gilded (ἐξ ἀργύρου πάντα(οθεν) περικεχυμένον ἔχοντος τὸν χρυσὸν). Very similar elements of a description are also found in the somewhat earlier poetic ekphrasis of the church of the Holy Apostles in Constantinople. It was written by Constantine of Rhodes (ca. 870 – after 931) who dedicated his work to Constantine VII

⁴⁴ *Vita Basilii*, 83.15–19, 84.1–18 (There are the Greek text and the English translation). Liutprand of Cremona (ca. 920 – ca. 972) mentioned this church in the *Retributio (Άνταπόδοσις)*, where he described his first diplomatic mission at the court of Constantinople, during the reign of Constantine VII Porphyrogenitus (913–959):

Fabricavit autem precioso et mirabili opere iuxta palatium orientem versus ecclesiam in honorem summi et caelestis militiae principis, archangeli Michahelis, qui Grece archistrátigos, hoc est miliciae princeps, apellatur. Ecclesiam autem ipsam Nean, hoc est novam, alii vocant, alii vero Ennean, quod nostra lingua novennalem sonat, appellant, eo quod ibidem ecclesiasticarum horarum machina novem pulsata ictibus sonet,

LIUDPRAND DE CRÉMONE, *Antapodosis*, 3.34.555–560, [in:] LIUDPRAND DE CRÉMONE, *Œuvres*, ed. F. BOUGARD, Paris 2015 [= *SHM*, 41].

⁴⁵ Vita Basilii, 89.15-17.

Porphyrogenitus (913–959)⁴⁶. The poet mentioned there the names of the architects Anthemius of Tralles and Isidore of Miletus, known most of all from the design of the church of the Holy Wisdom, and stressed that due to their theoretical knowledge it was possible to erect such a magnificent building. The church of the Holy Apostles, however, was ravishing not only because of the engineering concepts but also because of the stunning decoration. The latter is compared to a bride with golden ornaments (ὁποῖα νύμφην κροσσωτοῖσι χρυσέοις) – this motive was used, as we have seen, also in reference to the New Church – and to a wedding chamber glistening with gold (παστάδα χρύσαυγον ὡραϊσμένην). The extraordinary glow of the church interior is associated with gold, as well as with multicoloured marbles (μαρμάρων πολυχρόων), precious stones, and pearls giving fiery reflections (ταῖς ἐκ λίθων τε μαργάρων φρυκτωρίαις) and coming from different parts of the whole world (τῶν ἐξ ὅλης σχεδόν γε τῆς οἰκουμένης / καὶ μέχρις Ἰνδῶν Λιβύης τε κ' Εὐρώπης / τῆς ἀσίας τε πανταχοῦ θρυλλουμένων). A little further, Constantine of Rhodes also pointed to golden tesserae⁴⁷, against which – as can be

⁴⁶ Τοίαις μὲν οὖτος καὶ τόσαις τεχνουργίαις καὶ σχηματισμοῖς γραμμικῆς θεωρίας ὅλον διαμπὰξ συγκατήρτισε<ν> δόμον τὸν ἀστρολαμπῆ τῶν σοφῶν Ἀποστόλων, εἴτ' Ἀνθέμιος, εἴτ' Ἰσίδωρος νέος, ὕλαις ἀπείροις μαρμάρων πολυχρόων καὶ λαμπρότησι τῶν μετάλλων τῶν ξένων ἐπενδύσας τε καὶ καλῶς συναρμόσας, ὁποῖα νύμφην κροσσωτοῖσι χρυσέοις ἢ παστάδα χρύσαυγον ὡραϊσμένην ταῖς ἐκ λίθων τε μαργάρων φρυκτωρίαις τῶν ἐξ ὅλης σχεδόν γε τῆς οἰκουμένης καὶ μέχρις Ἰνδῶν Λιβύης τε κ' Εὐρώπης τῆς ἀσίας τε πανταχοῦ θρυλλουμένων,

Constantine of Rhodes, On Constantinople and the Church of the Holy Apostles, 636–649, ed. L. James, I. Vassis, trans. V. Dimitropoulou, L. James, R. Jordan, Farnham 2012 (cetera: Constantine of Rhodes). Then follows the description of the used marbles. They, as we read, cover the building like a chiton (ας ώς χιτῶνας ἐνδύσας τοὺς ὀρθίους τοίχους) and create in the interior the impression of a meadow full of blooming flowers with colours reminiscent of precious stones (Constantine of Rhodes, 650–674; 686–695). It is worth comparing this part of the ekphrasis to the some passages from the Silentiary's poem on the church of the Holy Wisdom, vide Paulus Silentiarius, 617–646.

⁴⁷ Χρυσῷ δὲ μίγδην ὑέλῳ πεφυκότι ἄπαν κατεχρύσωσε τοὕνδοθεν μέρος, ὅσον τ' ἐν ὕψει σφαιροσυνθέτου στέγης χ' ὅσον λαγόσιν ἁψίδων ὑπερφέρει, καὶ μέχρις αὐτῶν μαρμάρων πολυχρόων καὶ μέχρις αὐτῶν κοσμητῶν τῶν δευτέρων

concluded from the description – scenes from the life of Christ were depicted⁴⁸. It is noteworthy that the author had regard to technical detail, namely, that the golden mosaic cubes were made of glass and gold (Χρυσῷ δὲ μίγδην ὑέλῳ πεφυκότι).

The golden glow was also associated with shiny fabrics, as evidenced, for example, by Silentiarius's ekphrasis of one of the silk purple fabrics⁴⁹ prepared for the church of the Holy Wisdom:

τοῦτο δὲ καλλιπόνοιο φυτεύσατο χείρεσι τέχνης οὐ γλυφίς, οὐ ῥαφίδων τις ἐλαυνομένης διὰ πέπλων, ἀλλὰ μεταλλάσσουσα πολύχροα νήματα πήνη, νήματα ποικιλόμορφα, τὰ βάρβαρος ἤροσε μύρμηξ. χρυσοφαὲς δ᾽ ἀμάρυγμα βολαῖς ῥοδοπήχεος ἠοῦς ἀπλοῖς ἀντήστραψε θεοκράντων ἐπὶ γυίων, καὶ Τυρίη πόρφυρε χιτὼν ἀλιανθέϊ κόχλφ, δεξιὸν εὐτύκτοις ὑπὸ νήμασιν ἄμον ἐρέπτων κεῖθι γὰρ ἀμπεχόνης μὲν ἀπωλίσθησε καλύπτρη, καλὰ δ᾽ ἀνερπύζουσα διὰ πλευρῆς ὑπὲρ ἄμου ἀγκέχυται λαιοῖο· γεγύμνωται δὲ καλύπτρης πῆχυς καὶ θέναρ ἄκρον. ἔοικε δὲ δάκτυλα τείνειν δεξιτερῆς, ἄτε μῦθον ἀειζώοντα πιφαύσκων,

γράψας ἀέθλους καὶ σεβασμίους τύπους τοὺς τὴν κένωσιν ἐκδιδάσκοντας Λόγου καὶ τὴν πρὸς ἡμᾶς τοὺς βροτοὺς παρουσίαν, Constantine of Rhodes, 742–750.

⁴⁸ This is a quite long description, Constantine of Rhodes, 751–980. The church of the Holy Apostles in Constantinople was demolished in 1453, therefore a form of this church is reconstructed primarily on the basis of written sources, which include, first of all, the ekphraseis created by Procopius of Caesarea, Constantine of Rhodes, and Nicholas Mesarites. The earliest of them discussed only the architectural form, and the other two also depicted scenes. Perhaps these mosaic pictures were made during the reign of Basil I; L. James, Constantine of Rhodes's Poem and Art History, [in:] Constantine of Rhodes, On Constantinople..., p. 181–217. On the place where the church was built: Constantinople. Archaeology of a Byzantine Megapolis. Final Report on the Istanbul Rescue Archaeology Project 1998–2004, ed. K. Dark, F. Özgümüş, Oxford–Oakville 2013, p. 83–96. It is worth noting that there was a "Dumbarton Oaks Symposium" dedicated to this church (24–26 April 2015); M. Mullett, R. Ouserhout, The Holy Apostles. Dumbarton Oaks Symposium, 24–26 April 2015, DOP 70, 2016, p. 325–326; a collection of essays related to this conference has been recently published: The Holy Apostles – A Lost Monument, a Forgotten Project, and the Presentness of the Past, ed. M. Mullett, R.G. Ousterhout, Washington D.C. 2020 [= DOBSC].

⁴⁹ On various aspects of silk, as well as purple in Byzantium, i.a.: A. MUTHESIUS, *Byzantine Silk Weaving AD 400 to AD 1200*, Vienna 1997; EADEM, *Essential Processes*, *Looms, and Technical Aspects of the Production of Silk Textiles*, [in:] *The Economic History of Byzantium. From the Seventh through the Fifteenth Century*, vol. I, ed. A.E. LAIOU, Washington 2002, p. 147–168; A. MUTHESIUS, *Studies in Silk in Byzantium*, London 2004; D. JACOBY, *Silk Production*, [in:] *The Oxford Handbook of Byzantine...*, p. 421–428. Procopius of Caesarea described the history of silkworm smuggling, which was to be done by Byzantine monks: *Procopii Caesarensis opera omnia. De bellis libri*, 8.17.1–8, vol. II, ed. J. HAURY, rec. G. WIRTH, Leipzig 1963 [= BSGR].

λαιῆ βίβλον ἔχων ζαθέων ἐπιίστορα μύθων, βίβλον ἀπαγγέλλουσαν, ὅσα χραισμήτορι βουλῆ αὐτὸς ἄναξ ἐτέλεσσεν ἐπὶ χθονὶ ταρσὸν ἐρείδων. πᾶσα δ΄ ἀπαστράπτει χρυσέη στολίς· ἐν γὰρ ἐκείνη τρητὸς λεπταλέος περὶ νήματα χρυσὸς ἑλιχθείς, σχήμασιν ἢ σωλῆνος ὁμοίῖος ἤ τινος αὐλοῦ, δέσμιος ἱμερόεντος ἐρείδεται ὑψόθι πέπλου, ὀξυτέραις ῥαφίδεσσι δεθεὶς καὶ νήμασι Σηρῶν⁵⁰

This has been fashioned not by artists' skilful hands plying the knife, nor by the needle driven through cloth, but by the web, the produce of the foreign worm, changing its colored threads of many shades. Upon the divine legs is a garment reflecting a golden glow under the rays of rosy-fingered Dawn, and a chiton, dyed purple by the Tyrian seashell, covers the right shoulder beneath its well-woven fabric; for at that point the upper garment has slipped down while, pulled up across the side, it envelops the left shoulder. The forearm and hand are thus laid bare. He seems to be stretching out the fingers of the right hand, as if preaching His immortal words, while in His left He holds the book of divine message – the book that tells what He, the Lord, accomplished with provident mind when His foot trod the earth. The whole robe shines with gold: for on it gold leaf has been wrapped round thread after the manner of a pipe or a reed, and so it projects above the lovely cloth, firmly bound with silken thread by sharp needles⁵¹.

The poet described the liturgical fabric that was laid on the altar. It was made of silk dyed with the Tyrian purple, and the figure of Christ Pantocrator was embroidered with gold thread. According to Silentiarius, this cloth glistened wonderfully in the morning sun, spreading the golden glare all-round. In the following lines, the author also referred to other scenes and persons, including Peter and Paul who are next to Christ⁵². They are standing under golden arcades (νηὸς ἐκολπώθη χρύσεος; τέτρασι χρυσείοις ἐπὶ κίοσι). The both saints, having a rank lower than Christ, were embroidered with silver threads (ἄμφω δὲ στολίδεσσιν ὑπ' ἀργυφέησι

Paulus Silentiarius, 792-805.

⁵⁰ Paulus Silentiarius, 765–785.

⁵¹ C. Mango, The Art of the Byzantine..., p. 88–89.

⁵² ἄμφω δὲ στολίδεσσιν ὑπ' ἀργυφέῃσι πυκάζει πήνη ποικιλόεργος· ἐπ' ἀμβροσίων δὲ καρήνων νηὸς ἐκολπώθη χρύσεος, τριέλικτον ἐγείρων ἀγλαῖην ἀψῖδος· ἐφεδρήσσει δὲ βεβηκὼς τέτρασι χρυσείοις ἐπὶ κίσσι. χείλεσι δ' ἄκροις χρυσοδέτου πέπλοιο κατέγραφεν ἄσπετα τέχνη ἔργα πολισσούχων ἐριούνια παμβασιλήων πῇ μὲν νουσαλέων τις ἀκέστορας ὄψεται οἴκους, πῷ δὲ δόμους ἱερούς. ἑτέρωθι δὲ θαύματα λάμπει οὐρανίου Χριστοῖο· χάρις δ' ἐπιλείβεται ἔργοις ἐν δ' ἑτέροις πέπλοισι συναπτομένους βασιλῆας ἄλλοθι μὲν παλάμαις Μαρίης θεοκύμονος εὔροις, ἄλλοθι δὲ Χριστοῖο θεοῦ χερί· πάντα δὲ πήνης νήμασι χρυσοπόρων τε μίτων ποικίλλεται αἴγλῃ,

100 Magdalena Garnczarska

πυκάζει / πήνη ποικιλόεργος). This kind of composition and its major colours can bring to mind the fantastic architecture, which is depicted in the mosaics in the dome of the Rotunda in Thessalonica 53 . This architecture was depicted primarily of gold mosaic cubes, and it also frames the figures of standing saints or courtiers. In the case of the described fabric, buildings funded by emperors as well as the scenes of Christ's miracles were embroidered with gold thread 54 . As a result, the cloth is beautiful because of the content shown and the craftsmanship, and it is lighted by the golden glow of the threads.

It should be noted that examples of this kind of fabric's ekphraseis are quite numerous, especially in the late antique Latin literature⁵⁵. At that time, imperial and consular robes were widely described. This theme was popular because it gave the opportunity – as in the case of architecture – to present splendid objects made of expensive, multi-coloured, and shiny materials⁵⁶. In the context of this so-called "jeweled aesthetics", it is worth citing some passages from the semi-legendary *Narration on the Hagia Sophia* (Διήγησις περὶ τῆς Ἁγίας Σοφίας). Its chapters

⁵³ On the Rotunda cf.: C. Bakirtzis, P. Mastora, Are the Mosaics in the Rotunda into Thessaloniki Linked to its Conversion to a Christian Church?, HB 9, 2011, p. 33–46; C. Bakirtzis, Rotunda, [in:] Mosaics of Thessaloniki 4th–14th, ed. IDEM, trans. A. Doumas, Athens 2012, p. 51–117; H. Torp, La rotonde palatine à Thessalonique. Architecture et mosaïques, vol. I, Athènes 2018, p. 17–18, 445–466; IDEM, Considerations on the Chronology of the Rotunda Mosaics, [in:] The Mosaics of Thessaloniki Revisited. Papers from the 2014 Symposium at the Courtauld Institute of Art, ed. A. Eastmond, M. Hatzaki, Athens 2017, p. 35–47; L. James, Mosaics in the Medieval World. From Late Antiquity to the Fifteenth Century, Cambridge 2017, p. 174–179.

⁵⁴ Cyril Mango indicates that the linen fabric with the scene of the *Daniel in the Lions' Den* which is in the collection of the Kunstgewerbemuseum in Berlin (*Fragment eines Behanges mit Daniel in der Löwengrube*, http://www.smb-digital.de/eMuseumPlus?service=ExternalInterface&module=collecti on&objectId=1965177&viewType=detailView [16 V 2020]) corresponds to the description of Silentiarius. Churches and the miracles of Christ are depicted on the linen fabric's hems; C. Mango, *The Art of the Byzantine...*, p. 89, n. 165; J. Strzygowski, *Orient oder Rom. Beitrag zur Geschichte der spätantiken und frühchristlichen Kunst*, Leipzig 1901, p. 91–98 (il. IV, 41–42).

⁵⁵ M. Roberts, *The Jeweled...*, p. 111–116.

⁵⁶ E.g.: CLAUDIAN, Panegyric on Probinus and Olybrius, 190–207, [in:] CLAUDIAN, Panegyric on Probinus and Olybrius. Against Rufinus 1 and 2. War against Gildo. Against Eutropius 1 and 2. Fescennine Verses on the Marriage of Honorius. Epithalamium of Honorius and Maria. Panegyrics on the Third and Fourth Consulships of Honorius. Panegyric on the Consulship of Manlius. On Stilicho's Consulship 1, vol. I, ed. M. Platnauer, Cambridge Mass. 1922 [= LCL, 135]; Claudian, Panegyric on the Fourth Consulships of Honorius, 585–609, [in:] Claudian, Panegyric on Probinus and Olybrius. Against Rufinus...; Claudian, On Stilicho's Consulship 2–3, 2.339–389, [in:] Claudian, On Stilicho's Consulship 2–3. Panegyric on the Sixth Consulship of Honorius. The Gothic War. Shorter Poems. Rape of Proserpina, vol. II, ed. M. Platnauer, Cambridge Mass. 1922 [= LCL, 136]; Claudian, Rape of Proserpina, 1.245–287, [in:] Claudian, On Stilicho's Consulship 2–3. Panegyric...; Claudian, Panegyric on the Sixth Consulship of Honorius, 177–192, [in:] Claudian, On Stilicho's Consulship 2–3. Panegyric...; Sidonius, Poems and Letters, 15.126–195, vol. I, ed. W.B. Anderson, Cambridge Mass. 1936 [= LCL, 296]; Flavius Cresconius Corippus, In laudem Iustini Augusti minoris. Libri IV, 1.275–290, ed. Av. Cameron, London 1976.

15–19, 21–24, and 26 relate mainly to costly materials used in the church. In most cases, there are not many detailed descriptive parts among them: only two of them are quite extensive ekphraseis. The first one refers to the altar commissioned by Justinian I (527–565):

Έποίησε δὲ μηχανὴν τοιαύτην· βουλόμενος γὰρ κρείττονα τὴν ἁγίαν τράπεζαν καὶ πολυτελεστέραν ποιήσαι ύπὲρ χρυσίου προσεκαλέσατο ἐπιστήμονας πολλούς εἰρηκὼς αὐτοῖς τοῦτο. Οἱ δὲ ἔφησαν αὐτῶ· 'εἰς χωνευτήριον ἐμβάλωμεν χρυσόν, ἄργυρον, λίθους τιμίους καὶ παντοίους καὶ μαργαρίτας καὶ ζάμβυκας, χαλκόν, ἤλεκτρον, μόλιβδον, σίδηρον, κασσίτερον, ὕελον καὶ λοιπὴν πᾶσαν μεταλλικὴν ὕλην καὶ τρίψαντες ἀμφότερα αὐτῶν εἰς ὅλμους καὶ δήσαντες, ἐπὶ τὸ χωνευτήριον ἔχυσαν. Καὶ ἀναμαξάμενον τὸ πῦρ, ἀνέλαβον ταῦτα οί τεχνῖται ἐκ τοῦ πυρὸς καὶ ἔχυσαν εἰς τύπον· καὶ ἐγένετο χυτὴ πάμμιγος ἡ ἁγία τράπεζα ατίμητος καὶ εἶθ οὕτως ἔστησεν αὐτήν ὑποκάτω δὲ αὐτῆς ἔστησε κίονας καὶ αὐτοὺς ὁλοχρύσους μετὰ λίθων πολυτελῶν καὶ χυμεύσεων, καὶ τὴν πέριξ κλίμακα, ἐν ἦ ἵστανται οἱ ίερεῖς εἰς τὸ ἀσπάσασθαι τὴν ἁγίαν τράπεζαν, καὶ αὐτὴν ὁλοάργυρον. Τὴν δὲ θάλασσαν τῆς άγίας τραπέζης έξ ἀτιμήτων λίθων πεποίηκε καὶ κατεχρύσωσεν αὐτήν. Τίς γὰρ θεάσηται τὸ εἶδος τῆς ἁγίας τραπέζης καὶ οὐκ ἐκπλαγείη; ἢ τίς δυνήσηται κατανοῆσαι ταύτην διὰ τὸ πολλάς χροιάς καὶ στιλπνότητας ἐναλλάσσειν, ὡς ὁρᾶσθαι τὸ ταύτης εἶδός ποτε μὲν χρυσίζον, ἐν ἄλλω δὲ τόπω ἀργυρίζον, εἰς ἄλλο σαμφειρίζον, ἐξαστράπτον καὶ ἁπλῶς εἰπεῖν ἀποστέλλον οβ΄ χροιὰς κατὰ τὰς φύσεις τῶν τε λίθων καὶ μαργαρίτων καὶ πάντων τῶν μετάλλων;57

He also make the following contrivance. Wishing to make the holy altar table better and more precious than gold, he consulted many wise men and told them so. They said to him. "Let us throw gold, silver, various precious stones, pearls and mother of pearl, bronze, electrum, lead, iron, tin, glass and every other metallic material into melting furnace." Having crushed and bound all of these in mortars, they poured them into the melting furnace. And when the fire had kneaded these together, the craftsman took them out of the fire and poured them into a casting mold. And so the altar table was cast, made up of all materials and priceless. And then he set it up in this manner, and placed columns of pure gold under it with precious stones and enamels; and he made the surrounding stairs, on which the priests stand when they kiss the holy altar table, also of pure silver. He made the liturgical basin (*thalassa*) of the altar table of priceless stones and gilded it. So who can behold the beauty of the holy altar table and not be amazed? Or who can comprehend it as its many colors and brilliances change, so that it appears sometimes as gold, in other places as silver, elsewhere gleaming with sapphire – radiating and, in a word, sending out seventy-two colors according to the nature of the stones, pearls and all the metals?⁵⁸.

In this description, where gold is a synonym of the most valuable substance, Justinian, however, managed to find a way to obtain a material even more wonderful and expensive (κρείττονα τὴν ἁγίαν τράπεζαν καὶ πολυτελεστέραν ποιῆσαι ὑπὲρ χρυσίου), since he ordered to melt all possible precious materials – apart

⁵⁷ Διήγησις περὶ τῆς Άγίας Σοφίας, 17, [in:] Scriptores originum Constantinopolitanarum, vol. I, ed. T. Preger, Leipzig 1901 (cetera: Narration).

⁵⁸ Accounts of Medieval Constantinople. The Patria, 17, trans. A. Berger, Cambridge Mass.–London 2013 (cetera: *Patria*), p. 257, 259 [= DOML, 24].

102 Magdalena Garnczarska

from gold also silver, electrum, bronze, pearls, and precious stones - along with more common metals - i.e. lead, iron, tin, and with glass - in one crucible. Consequently, a priceless mixture (πάμμιγος ἀτίμητος) was created. As we read, it was characterized by a multitude of colours and it shimmered in different ways, like the materials of which it was made. It can be assumed that the author, explaining how the altar was built, above all tried to emphasize the emperor's involvement and generosity, as he cumulated the most expensive materials for the most vital part of the church's furnishings. Therefore, this description should not be taken literally⁵⁹. In turn, the seventy-two colours probably allude to the number of disciples sent by Christ to preach the Gospel⁶⁰. Importantly, an anonymous author of the Narration clearly stressed a brilliance and colourfulness of the costly materials. In the second ekphrasis – regarding the floor and symbolic interpretation of the four stripes on it⁶¹ - he directly stated that: "Θαῦμα δὲ ἦν ἰδέσθαι ἐν τῷ κάλλει καὶ τῆ ποικιλία τοῦ ναοῦ· ὅτι πάντοθεν ἔκ τε χρυσοῦ καὶ ἀργύρου ἐξήστραπτεν"62 (It was wonderful to see the beauty and variety of the church, for it shone all around with gold and silver)63. Thus, the most prized aesthetic value is still the variegation (ποικιλία, πολυποικιλία). Evidences of this preference can be found throughout the text, since it glitters with precious and shiny materials such as, among others: golden mosaic cubes (ὑέλινος χρυσός), niello (ἀργυροέγκαυστος), sardonyx (σαρδόνυξ), crystal (κρύος), jasper (ἰάσπιον), sapphire (σάπφειρος), ruby (λυχνιτάριον) and emerald (σμάραγδος). They are all so wonderful and dazzling that the author rhetorically asks: "Τὴν δὲ ὡραιότητα καὶ τὴν ὑπερβολὴν τοῦ κάλλους τοῦ κεχρυσωμένου καὶ διηργυρωμένου ναοῦ ἀπὸ ὀρόφους ἕως ἐδάφους τίς διηγήσεται;"64 (Who can relate the loveliness and the excessive beauty of this church, gilded and sheathed with silver from ceiling to floor?)65.

From the texts discussed so far, it follows that gold was valued primarily for its extraordinary glow – sometimes even too blinding – with which light was

⁵⁹ Cf. L. Brubaker, Talking about the Great Church. Ekphrasis and the "Narration on Hagia Sophia", Bsl 69.3, 2011, p. 82.

⁶⁰ Cf. Lc 10, 1.

⁶¹ The author interpreted these stripes as the Paradise rivers. At the end of chapter 28, where he discussed the reconstruction of the church after the collapse of the dome on the 7th of May 558, the author pointed out that the pavement was almost entirely made of the Proconesian marble, only the strips were of a green stone. He did not provide information about the place of its origin, but it is known to be the Thessalian marble (*verde antico*). "Εἰς δὲ τὸν πάτον οὐκ ἠδύνατο εύρεῖν τοιαῦτα πολυποίκιλα καὶ μέγιστα ἀβάκια, καὶ ἀποστείλας Μανασσῆ πατρίκιον καὶ πραιπόσιτον ἐν Προκοννήσῳ ἔπρισεν ἐκεῖ τὰ μάρμαρα εἰς ὁμοιότητα τῆς γῆς, τὰ δὲ πράσινα εἰς ὁμοιότητα τῶν ποταμῶν τῶν ἑμβαινόντων ἐν τῆ θαλάσση", *Narration*, 28.37–42.

⁶² Narration, 26.23-25.

⁶³ Patria, 26, p. 265.

⁶⁴ Narration, 26.3–5.

⁶⁵ Patria, 26, p. 267.

inevitably related. The authors, as could be seen, regularly point out that rays falling on golden surfaces are reflected from them, scattering golden reflections allround. Thus, the aforementioned "jeweled aesthetics" do not exist without light, because it "triggers" these, described with pleasure and highly praised, characteristic visual effects. Hence, gold needs a light source to fully show its beauty. In turn, the light can take dazzling colour of gold. It is not surprising, then, that Sergey Averintsev termed gold the "absolute metaphor of light" 66.

In the accounts of Byzantine writers, gold is also a colour, although this issue was considered less often because in terms of colours marbles and precious stones were much more praised. They were, as already mentioned, compared to meadows in full bloom. All the more, it is worth quoting a passage from the already cited homily of Leo VI, where he explains the reason for using golden mosaic cubes in the church:

Έφεξῆς δὲ τοῦ ὅλου τοῦ ναοῦ κύτους καὶ τῶν αἶς ἀνέχεται ἁψίδων ὁ ὅροφος, τῶν ἄλλων οἰκείων ἀνεστήλωνται θεραπόντων εἰκόνες, πᾶσαι ψηφῖδος χρυσῷ ἀλειφομένης πεποιημέναι, ἐνταῦθα τὸ χρήσιμον τοῦ χρυσοῦ κατιδόντος τοῦ τεχνίτου καὶ ἀφθόνως χρησαμένου. Ἐβουλήθη γὰρ ταῖς εἰκόσι τῇ τοῦ χρυσοῦ μίξει τοιοῦτον ἐνθεῖναι κάλλος, οἶον εἰκὸς ἀμφιέννυσθαι τοὺς βασιλέως πλησίον, ἄλλως τε δὲ καὶ πρὸς τὸ γράψαι τοῖς μέλεσιν ἀρετῆς χρῶμα τὴν ἐκ τοῦ χρυσοῦ κατενόησεν χρησιμεύουσαν ἀχρότητα⁶⁷

The rest of the church's hollow and the arches on which the roof is supported have images of [God's] own servants, all of them made of mosaic smeared with gold. The craftsman has made abundant use of gold whose utility he perceived: for, by its admixture, he intended to endow the pictures with such beauty as appears in the apparel of the emperor's entourage. Furthermore, he realized that the pallor of gold was an appropriate color to express the virtue of [Christ's] member⁶⁸.

The emperor points out there that the pale hue of gold (ἀχρότητα) reminds the costumes of the imperial court (εἰκὸς ἀμφιέννυσθαι τοὺς βασιλέως πλησίον), and that it is suitable for the images of saints because it emphasises their sainthood (πρὸς τὸ γράψαι τοῖς μέλεσιν ἀρετῆς χρῶμα τὴν ἐκ τοῦ χρυσοῦ κατενόησεν χρησιμεύουσαν ἀχρότητα). In this context, it is also worth paying attention to the short poem of Eugenius of Palermo (ca. 1130–1202) dedicated to the image of Saint John Chrysostom:

Καὶ χρῶμα χρυσοῦν, πάμμακαρ, σοὶ καὶ στόματὸ μὲν γὰρ ἡμῖν ἐκχέον χρυσοῦς λόγους τὴν κλῆσιν ἀπήνεγκεν ἐκ τῶν πραγμάτων,

⁶⁶ S. Awierincew, *Złoto...*, p. 184.

⁶⁷ Leo VI, 31.70-78.

⁶⁸ C. Mango, The Art of the Byzantine..., p. 203.

τουδὶ τὸ σεμνὸν ὡχρότης διαγράφεισὴν σάρκα καὶ γὰρ πυρπολῶν ἀσιτίαις ἔχρωσας αὐτὴν χλωρότητι χρυσίου⁶⁹

All blessed one, both your color and your voice are golden. For the one [your voice], pouring out to us golden words, took its name from your deeds, while pallor delineates the holiness of your color. For consuming your flesh by the fire of fasting, you have tinged it with the pallor of gold⁷⁰.

In this case, the poet specified that the golden colour – due to its pallor ($\mathring{\omega}\chi\rho\acute{o}$ $\tau\eta\varsigma$, $\chi\lambda\omega\rho\acute{o}\tau\eta\varsigma$) – was very suitable for the representation of the ascetic saint whose body, experienced by fasting, lost its more vivid colours.

The beauty of gold was also associated with splendour. This question was also raised, e.g., by Choricius of Gaza (491–518) in the ekphrasis of the church of St. Stephen at Gaza:

εί δὲ περίεργος θεατὴς πάντα διερευνήσεται μαρμάρων ἢ χρυσοῦ γυμνόν τι ζητῶν, οὐδὲν ἐνταῦθα τοιοῦτον εὑρήσει. εἴ τινες οὖν ἀπορία χρυσοῦ καὶ πλακῶν ἐπὶ λίθους καὶ λίθων συνθήκην καταφεύγουσι, τούτοις ἔστιν ἀπὸ τῆς ἔξωθεν ὄψεως ταῦτα περιεργάζεσθαι⁷¹

The curious observer may look high and low in search of a spot bare of either marble or gold: he will not find one here. Those who are embarrassed by [so much] gold and marble, and seek relief in stones and masonry, will be able to study the latter on the outside⁷².

The effulgent embellishment of the church consists of gold and marble revetment. They contrast with the outside stone walls which can provide a respite from the richness of the interior. Recognizing that this type of decoration could be too overwhelming to spectators, the author also hurried to explain that the building has a very good style that would only be appreciated by true art experts. Of course, there is a trap here: those who perceive a building negatively have no knowledge of art⁷³. Choricius, though sure of the incomparable beauty of the church, made

⁶⁹ EUGENIUS PANORMITANUS, *In imaginem Chrysostomi*, 11, [in:] *Versus Iambici*, ed. M. GIGANTE, Palermo 1964 [= TMon, 10].

⁷⁰ H. MAGUIRE, *Nectar...*, p. 130.

⁷¹ Choricius, 2.2.49.

⁷² C. Mango, *The Art of the Byzantine...*, p. 71–72.

⁷³ [...] συνελθέτωσαν ἄνδρες πολλῶν ἱστορήσαντες πόλεων ἱερά, ἄλλος ἄλλο τι δοκιμάζειν ἔργον εἰδώς, καὶ πρὸς τοὺς πανταχοῦ βεβοημένους νεὼς κρινέσθω καθάπερ ἐν δικαστηρίῳ τὸ τέμενος ἐκ τοιούτων συνεστηκότι κριτῶν. οἶον ὁ μὲν γραφῆς ἔστω φιλοθεάμων, οὐ τῆς ἐν χρώμασι μόνον, ἀλλὰ καὶ τῆς ἐν ψηφίδι μιμουμένης ἐκείνην· ὁ δὲ μαρμάρων δοκιμαστής, ὧν τὰ μὲν ἐξ ὧν μεταλλεύεται προσαγορεύουσι τόπων, τοῖς δὲ τὰ χρώματα δίδωσιν ὀνόματα. ἄλλῳ κιόνων μελέτω τὰς κεφαλίδας

it clear that the right proportions must be respected in the use of gold, because both an excess and a shortness is wrong. Just from this one example, it can be seen that the attitude to gold was to some extent marked by suspiciousness. The authors often felt obliged to clarify that the decorations of gold did not exceed the appropriate measure (ἀμετρία): gold is beautiful, but it is necessary to use it purposefully and decorously.

Against a backdrop of the moderation in a use of gold, the description of the church of Saint George in the Mangana quarter⁷⁴ written by Michael Psellos (ca. 1017–1078?) is an interesting example. He characterised the church rebuilt by Constantine IX Monomachos (1042–1055) as a combination of beauty and luxury:

καὶ τεχνικώτερα πάντα· καὶ χρυσὸς ὑπαλείφων τὸν ὄροφον. τῶν δὲ λίθων ὁπόσαι χλοάζουσιν, αἱ μὲν κατεστρώννυντο· αἱ δὲ τοῖς τοίχοις ἡρμόζοντο· καὶ ἄλλη τίς ἐφ' ἑτέρα ἐπήνθει, ἢ ἐφ' ὁμοίῳ τῷ χρώματι· ἐναλλὰξ παραλλάττουσαι. ὁ δὲ χρυσὸς, ἀπὸ τῶν δημοσίων ταμιείων ισπερ ἐξ ἀφθόνων πηγῶν καχλάζοντι ἐπέρρει τῷ ῥεύματι. [...] Ὁ μὲν γὰρ ναὸς, ισπέρ τις οὐρανὸς χρυσοῖς ἀστράσι πάντοθεν ἐπεποίκιλτο. μᾶλλον δὲ τὸ μὲν αἰθέριον σῶμα ἐκ διαστημάτων κατακεχρύσωται· ἐκείνῳ δὲ ὁ χρυσὸς, ισπερ ἐκ κέντρου ῥυεὶς, ἀφθόνῳ τῷ ῥεύματι πᾶσαν ἀδιαστάτως ἐπέδραμεν ἐπιφάνειαν⁷⁵

Everything was made more artful, the ceiling was covered with gold, slabs of a verdant color were laid in the pavement and affixed to the walls, and each kind of marble bloomed next to another which was either of the same or of contrasting hue. And gold flowed in a torrential stream from the public treasury as from an inexhaustible source. [...] Indeed, the church was

<σκοπεῖν>. χρυσῶν ἕτερος μέτρα πολυπραγμονείτω σαφῶς, εἴ πού τι γέγονεν ἐνδεὲς ἢ περιττόν- ἑκάτερον γὰρ ἀμετρία. ἄλλος κατανοείτω τὸν ὄροφον ἀκριβῶς, ἄν ἄρα μὴ πρὸς τὸ ὕψος ἀπείπῃ- ξύλα γὰρ ἐνταῦθα πολυτελῆ καλαθίσκοις κεκαλυμμένα τοῦ τε πρὸς ἰσχὸν ἄμα καὶ πρὸς κάλλος εὖ ἔχειν. συνιόντων οὖν τῶν δικαστῶν καὶ τοῦτο κρίνειν ἑκάστου λαχόντος ὅπερ ἄν ἄμεινον τῶν ἄλλων τύχοι γινώσκων, πάσαις ἡμῖν ὁ νεὼς νικήσει ταῖς ψήφοις,

Choricius, 2.2.52-54.

⁷⁴ In the *History* of Niketas Choniates, we read that Isaac II Angelos (1185–1195) destroyed this church with the adjacent palace, and the building materials obtained during this demolition were then used for other edifices:

σὺν πολλοῖς δὲ καὶ τὸν περίκλυτον οἶκον τῶν Μαγγάνων κατέβαλε, μήτε τὸ τοῦ ἔργου κάλλος καὶ τὸ μέγεθος αἰδεσθείς, μήτε τὸν τροπαιοφόρον μάρτυρα πτοηθείς, ὧ ἀνέκειτο οὑτοσί. Ἐπισκευάσαι δὲ βουληθεὶς καὶ τὸν ἐν τῷ ἀνάπλῳ νεὼν τοῦ ἀρχιστρατήγου τῶν ἄνω τάξεων Μιχαήλ, εἴ τις ἐν πλαξὶ τοῖς βασιλείοις δόμοις ὑπέστρωτο καὶ περιήμπισχε τοὺς τοίχους καλλίστη τε τῇ στιλπνότητι καὶ ῥανίσιν ἐστιγμένη ποικιλοχρόοις, ἐκεῖσε μετακεκόμικεν. ἀλλὰ καὶ τὰ τοῦ ἀρχαγγέλου διὰ χρωμάτων καὶ ψηφίδων τυπώματα, ὁπόσα ἡ πόλις ἔστεγεν ἢ κώμαις καὶ χώραις ἀνέκειντο φυλακτήρια, χειρὸς ἀρχαίας ἔργα καὶ θαυμασίας, κατὰ τὸ αὐτὸ συνήθροισε τέμενος,

Nicetae Choniatae historia, pars prior, Isaac2, pt3, ed. J. van Dieten, Berlin 1975 [= CFHB.SBe, 11.1], p. 442.18–27.

⁷⁵ *Michaelis Pselli Chronographia*, 6.185.13–18; 6.186.10–14, ed. D.R. Reinsch, Berlin–Boston 2014 [= Mil.S, 51] (cetera: Psellos).

like the sky adorned on all sides with golden stars; to be more exact, the heavens are gilded only at intervals, while here the gold, flowing as it were, from the center in a copious stream, has covered the entire surface without interruption⁷⁶.

Although Psellos admired this church, he also recognized it as a crowning example of the exaggeration of the emperor who wanted to surpass all other churches:

ό δέ γε λόγος τὰς ὑπερβολὰς ἐκείνου καταιτιώμενος, ἐπ' αὐτὸ δὴ χωρεῖ τὸ κεφάλαιον, φημὶ δὴ ὂν ἐκεῖνος ναὸν τῷ μάρτυρι Γεωργίῳ καθίδρυσεν. οὖ δὴ πάντα συνέτριψε καὶ ἡφάνικεκαὶ τέλος, καὶ αὐτὸν ἐκεῖνον τοῖς συντριβεῖσι προσέθετο. [...] εἶτα δὴ χρόνου διελθόντος τινὸς, ἔρωτές τινες αὐτὸν ὑπεξέκαιον, ὥστε πρὸς πάσας τὰς πώποτε γεγονυίας ἁμιλληθῆναι οἰκοδομὰς· καὶ ταύτας ὑπερβαλέσθαι μακρῷ 77

My indictment of his [Constantine IX's] excesses now comes to its principal point, namely the church he founded in honor of the martyr George, which he then entirely destroyed and wiped out, and [after rebuilding it] reduced it once again to ruin. [...] Later on, however, he became consumed by the passion of rivalling all the buildings of the past and even surpassing them by far⁷⁸.

Therefore, Psellos heavily criticised exaggerated aspirations of the emperor, and the ruler's intention was decisive for considering the church too luxurious. However the funding of various edifices was a quite significant task of emperors, sometimes they were reprehended for the activity of this sort. It could also be a way of showing general disapproval of the policy pursued by a given emperor, just to mention the particularly symptomatic case of Procopius of Caesarea⁷⁹.

In the case of art, splendour of gold could be very desirable, as evidenced by epigrams devoted to icons made of precious materials or, at least, clad with them⁸⁰. And to give an example, Nicholas Kallikles (ca. 1080 – ca. 1150) prepared a poem for an icon of Christ, which John II Komnenos (1118–1143) commissioned for the Pantokrator Monastery in Constantinople:

⁷⁶ C. Mango, The Art of the Byzantine..., p. 219.

⁷⁷ Psellos, 6.185.1–5; 6.185.8–11.

⁷⁸ C. Mango, *The Art of the Byzantine...*, p. 218.

⁷⁹ Cf. Procopius, 1.1.11–12, 1.1.17–19; *Procopii Caesarensis opera omnia. Historia qvae dicitvr arcana*, 8.7–9; 11.3–4; 19.6; 26.23–24, vol. III, ed. J. HAURY, rec. G. WIRTH, Leipzig 1963 [= BSGR].

⁸⁰ On precious-metal icon revetments i.a.: A. Grabar, Les revêtements en or et en argent des icônes byzantines du Moyen Âge, Venise 1975; N. Patterson Ševčenko, Vita Icons and "Decorated" Icons of the Komnenian Period, [in:] Four Icons in the Menil Collection, ed. B. Davezac, Houston 1992, p. 57–69; T. Papamastorakis, The Display of Accumulated Wealth in Luxury Icons. Gift-Giving from the Byzantine Aristocracy to God in the Twelfth Century, [in:] Βυζαντινές εικόνες. Τέχνη, τεχνική και τεχνολογία. Διεθνές Συμπόσιο, Γεννάδειος Βιβλιοθήκη, Αμερικανική Σχολή Κλασικών Σπουδών, 20–21 Φεβρουαρίου 1998, ed. Μ. Βασιλακη, Ηράκλειο 2002, p. 35–49; J. Durand, Precious-Metal Icon Revetments, [in:] Byzantium. Faith and Power (1261–1557), ed. H.C. Evans, New York–New Haven 2004, p. 243–251.

Άν ώραϊζω χρυσίω τὴν εἰκόνα, τῶ παμβασιλεῖ βασιλεὺς φόρους νέμω. αν λαμπουνῶ δὲ τοῖς πανεντίμοις λίθοις. 'προσκόμματός' σε 'λίθον'⁸¹ οὐκ ἔχειν θέλω· ώς συνδέτην τιμῶ δε τοῖν ἄκροιν λίθον, ώς ἔμπορος κτῶμαί σε κοσμῶν μαργάροις, τὸν τίμιόν τε καὶ καλὸν μαργαρίτην, ἀφ' οὖ τὸ πᾶν ἐφεῦρον εἰς εὐκληρίαν, χρίσμα θρόνου καὶ σκῆπτρα καὶ κλεινὸν στέφος. Άν Περσικός τις έξυλακτοίη κύων, αν Σκυθική πάρδαλις, αν Γέτης λύκος, αν Παίονες βοῶσιν, αν θροῆ Δάκης, θραῦσον, δυνατέ, θλάσον αὐτοῦ τὰς γνάθους. τὰ τέκνα τήρει, κλῆμα βοτρυηφόρον, λειμῶνος ἄνθη, λευκὰ 'κοιλάδων κρίνα'82 · ζωὴν μακρὰν δός· ἐν δὲ τῆ κρίσει τότε συζυγίαν κραθεῖσαν εἰς ψυχὴν μίαν, ην θάνατος διείλεν είς μέρη δύο, ἡμίτομον λιπών με καὶ νεκρὸν πλέον. **ἕνωσον** αὐτὸς αὖθις, οἶς οἶδας τρόποις, δοὺς τὴν Ἐδὲμ σχοίνισμα καὶ κληρουχίαν. Ίωάννης σοι ταῦτα Κομνηνός, Λόγε, ό πορφυροβλάστητος Αὐσόνων ἄναξ⁸³

When I beautify your icon with gold, I, the king, pay tribute to the king of all. When I [make it] glitter with precious stones, I do not want you [to be] an "obstructing stone", for I honour you as [the] cornerstone that unites all extremities. And thus, like a merchant I attain you and adorn you with pearls, you, the worthy and beautiful pearl, from whom I have won all my good fortune: an anointed throne, and sceptre, and glorious crown. Should some Persian dog, Scythian leopard, or Hungarian wolf howl, should Panonians clamour and Dacians mount [their chargers], strike them, O powerful one, smash their jaws. Protect my offspring, the vine's fruit, the flowers of the meadow, the white "lilies of the valley" give [them] long life. And in that future judgement let me be united with my consort in a single soul that death divided in twain, leaving me half and already dead. Unite that man immediately, as you know how,

⁸¹ Cf. Rom 9, 33.

⁸² Cf. Ct 2, 1.

⁸³ NICOLA CALLICLE, *Carmi*, 2.12–34, ed. R. ROMANO, Napoli 1980 [= BNN, 8].

bestowing the garden of Eden as [his] lot. So these things I, John Komnenos, [address] to you, O Word, I, the king of the Ausonites, sprung from the purple⁸⁴.

This emperor also funded another icon of Christ, which is associated with an epigram (Εἰς εἰκόνα τοῦ ὑπεραγάθου σωτῆρος Χριστοῦ, ὡς ἀπὸ βασιλέως κυροῦ Ἰωάννου) written by Theodore Prodromos (ca. 1100 – ca. 1165):

Σὺ μὲν καθιστᾶς γῆς με πάσης δεσπότην, ό παμβασιλεὺς ὑπεράγαθος Λόγος, καί μοι πρὸς ταρσῶν πᾶν τὸ βάρβαρον κλίνεις, ώς καὶ φόρους μοι δουλικῶς συνεισφέρειν. καὶ προσκύνησιν οὐκ ἐμοὶ μόνον νέμει, άλλ' εἴ τις ἡμῶν εἰκονισθῆ καὶ τύπος. ένὼ δὲ τῷ πλάσαντι καὶ στέψαντί με καὶ ταῦτα πάντα δόντι †καὶ στέψαντί με† τὴν δουλικὴν εὔνοιαν εἰσφέρω πάλιν καὶ ζωγραφῶν σε προσκυνῶ σου τὸν τύπον καὶ τὴν ἀπ' ἀργύρου τε καὶ χρυσοῦ χάριν καθώσπερ ἄλλους εἰσκομίζω σοι φόρους. έμοὶ γὰρ ἐκ σοῦ καὶ βίου πρώτη πλάσις καὶ σκῆπτρα καὶ πάτριος ἀρχικὸς θρόνος καὶ μυρίων πέλαγος ἀριστευμάτων, ὧν ἥλιος μὲν μάρτυς ἀψευδὴς ἄνω, κάτω δὲ τῆς γῆς καὶ θαλάττης τὰ πλάτη. άλλ' ὧ κραταιὲ πανσθενὲς παντοκράτορ, καὶ τοὺς προλοίπους δάμασόν μοι βαρβάρους καὶ τοῖς ἐμοῖς φύλαττε τὴν πόλιν πόνοις καὶ ψυχικὴν δὸς ἐν τέλει σωτηρίαν. Ίωάννης σοι ταῦτα πιστὸς οἰκέτης πορφυρόβλαστος Κομνηνός αὐτοκράτωρ τῷ βασιλεῖ μου καὶ θεῷ καὶ δεσπότη85

You who made me lord of all the world,
You the King of All and abundantly good Logos
who makes all barbarians bow at my feet,
and pay servile tribute to me.
It is not to my person alone that they bow down
but wherever else the image of our features is depicted.
I, to Him that made and crowned me,
once again pay the homage of a slave,
and painting you I venerate your form;
adorning you with gold and silver
is my way of paying you tribute.
To you I owe both life's existence

⁸⁴ T. Papamastorakis, *The Display...*, p. 37–38.

⁸⁵ Theodoros Prodromos, Historische Gedichte, 21, ed. W. Hörandner, Wien 1974 [= WBS, 11].

and my royal sceptre, and the throne inherited from my father, and a sea of myriad trophies of which above the sun is unimpeachable witness and below, the breadth of sea and earth. But, O sovereign and all-powerful Pantokrator, rein in for me the remaining barbarians, and preserve my city through my own pains, and at the end give my soul salvation. The emperor Komnenos sprung from the purple, to my king and God and Lord⁸⁶.

In the both poems, the emperor decided to commission an icon decorated with expensive materials – in the first case they are gold, pearls, and precious stones, in the second one – silver and gold. The descriptions are quite general, but it can be assumed that these materials formed revetments: it is especially likely in the last epigram, where both the painting layer and adornment are distinguished (καὶ ζωγραφῶν σε προσκυνῶ σου τὸν τύπον / καὶ τὴν ἀπ' ἀργύρου τε καὶ χρυσοῦ χάριν / καθώσπερ ἄλλους εἰσκομίζω σοι φόρους). John II Komnenos chooses these gifts to thank for all the favours he has received so far and to ask God for further support in both state and personal matters. The emperor presents himself as the greatest earthly ruler who addresses the supreme king, therefore the gift must be worthy of both of them. In the context of material goods, precious metals and stones are the most valuable. Hence, Komnenos intended them to deck the images of Christ. There are more Byzantine poems composed around the problem of icons with precious-metal revetments, which proves the popularity of the motif and this type of votive gifts as well⁸⁷.

Costly and shiny materials creating a dazzling decoration were suitable not only for churches but also for the imperial court. In ekphraseis of imperial residences, the richness of the materials used – as well as the way they are characterised – virtually does not differ from that employed for descriptions of religious architecture. In this context, it is worth quoting the ekphrasis of the palace of Digenis Akritis. His residence is an example of unreal architecture, created for the purpose of the poem, therefore it is more magnificent than any real palace:

Μέσον αὐτοῦ τοῦ θαυμαστοῦ καὶ τερπνοῦ παραδείσου οἶκον τερπνοὰ ἀνήγειρεν ὁ γενναῖος Ἀκρίτης εὐμεγέθη, τετράγωνον ἐκ λίθων πεπρισμένων, ἄνωθεν δὲ μετὰ σεμνῶν κιόνων καὶ θυρίδων. Τοὺς ὀρόφους ἐκόσμησε πάντας μετὰ μουσείου ἐκ μαρμάρων πολυτελῶν τῆ αἴγλη ἀστραπτόντωντὸ ἔδαφος ἐφαίδρυνεν, ἐψήφωσεν ἐν λίθοις,

⁸⁶ T. Papamastorakis, *The Display...*, p. 38.

⁸⁷ *Ibidem*, p. 39-47.

ἔσωθεν δὲ τριώροφα ποιήσας ὑπερῷα, ἔχοντα ὕψος ἱκανόν, ὀρόφους παμποικίλους, ἀνδρῶνας <τε> σταυροειδεῖς, πεντακούβουκλα ξένα μετὰ μαρμάρων φαεινῶν λίαν ἀστραπηβόλων. Τοσοῦτον δὲ ἐκάλλυνε τὸ ἔργον ὁ τεχνίτης, ὥστε νομίζειν ὑφαντὰ τὰ ὀρώμενα εἶναι ἔκ τε τῶν λίθων τῆς φαιδρᾶς καὶ πολυμόρφου θέας· τὸ ἔδαφος κατέστρωσεν ἐκ λίθων ὀνυχίτων ἡκονημένων ἰσχυρῶς, ὡς δοκεῖν τοὺς ὁρῶντας ὕδωρ ὑπάρχειν πεπηγὸς εἰς κρυστάλλινον φύσιν. Ἀμφοτέρωθεν ἵδρυσε τῶν μερῶν ἐκ πλαγίου χαμοτρικλίνους θαυμαστούς, εὐμήκεις, χρυσορόφους, ἐν οἶς πάντων τὰ τρόπαια τῶν πάλαι ἐν ἀνδρείᾳ λαμψάντων ἀνιστόρησε χρυσόμουσα, ώραῖα⁸⁸

In the midst of this wonderfully pleasant garden the noble Akrites erected a big square house of cut stone having stately columns and windows up above. He adorned all the ceilings with mosaic, he decorated the pavement with precious gleaming marbles and tesserae of stone. Inside he made upper chambers on three floors having sufficient height and decorated ceilings; [he also made] cruciform halls, strange *pentacubicula*, containing shining marbles reflecting shafts of light. So beautiful was the artist's work that the gay, many-figured aspect of the stones made one think of woven tapestry. He paved the floor with onyx so smoothly polished that those who saw it mistook it for water congealed to ice. On either side he set up long, wondrous reclining-rooms having golden ceilings upon which he represented in mosaic the victories of all those men of yore who shone in valor⁸⁹.

In the description of the residence of Akritis, sparkling marbles (ἐκ μαρμάρων τῆ αἴγλη ἀστραπτόντων; μετὰ μαρμάρων φαεινῶν λίαν ἀστραπηβόλων), mosaics (ἐφαίδρυνεν, ἐψήφωσεν ἐν λίθοις, χρυσόμουσα), and gilded ceilings (χρυσορόφους) are specified: their glow is clearly emphasized. As for colours, they are actually not particularised. This imagined palace is described in accordance with the established convention, and – due to the epic character of the poem – all the features are exaggerated and idealised. As the Akritis' residence is an example of fantastic architecture, so its opposite is the palace Muchrutas, which brief ekphrasis was composed by Nicholas Mesarites (ca. 1163 – after 1216). It is a very interesting text because in this case, the author had to face the necessity of crossing the formulaic patterns since the building was erected in a style referring to Muslim architecture:

ό δὲ Μουχρουτᾶς ἔστι τι δῶμα τεράστιον, τοῦ Χρυσοτρικλίνου άπτόμενον, ὡς πρὸς δυσμὴν διακείμενον. [...] τὸ οἴκημα χειρὸς ἔργον οὐ Ῥωμαΐδος, οὐ Σικελικῆς, οὐ Κελτίβηρος, οὐ Συβαριτικῆς, οὐ Κυπρίου, οὐ Κίλικος· Περσικῆς μὲν οὖν, ὅτι καὶ ἰδέας φέρει Περσῶν

⁸⁸ DIGENIS AKRITIS, *The Grottaferrata and Escorial versions*, 7.13–41, ed. E. Jeffreys, Cambridge 1998 [= CMC, 7].

⁸⁹ C. Mango, The Art of the Byzantine..., p. 215–216.

παραλλαγάς τε στολῶν. αἱ τοῦ ὀρόφου σκηναὶ παντοδαπαὶ καὶ ποικίλαι, ἐξ ἡμισφαιρίων τῷ οὐρανοειδεῖ ὀρόφῳ προσηλωμέναι, πυκναὶ αἱ τῶν γωνιῶν εἰσοχαί τε καὶ ἐξοχαί, κάλλος τῶν γλυφίδων ἀμήχανον, τῶν κοιλωμάτων θέαμα πάντερπνον, ἷριν φαντάζον πολυχρωμοτέραν τῆς ἐν τοῖς νέφεσι, χρυσοῦ τούτῳ ὑπεστρωμένου. οὐκ ἐς βάθος, κατ' ἐπιφάνειαν ἀκόρεστος τερπωλή, οὐ τοῖς ἄρτι πρώτως τὴν ὁρατικὴν πέμπουσιν εἰς αὐτά, ἀλλὰ καὶ τοῖς συχνὰ παραβάλλουσι θάμβος καὶ ἔκπληξις. τερπνότερος ὁ Περσικὸς οὖτος δόμος τῶν Λακωνικῶν ἐκείνων τῶν τοῦ Μενέλεω³⁰

The Mouchroutas is an enormous building adjacent to the Chrysotriklinos, lying as it does on the west side of the latter. [...] This building is the work not of a Roman, nor a Sicilian, nor a Celt-Iberian, nor a Sybaritic, nor a Cypriot, nor a Cilician hand, but of a Persian hand, by virtue of which it contains images of Persians in their different costumes. The canopy of the roof, consisting of hemispheres joined to the heaven-like ceiling, offers a variegated spectacle; closely packed angles project inward and outward; the beauty of the carving is extraordinary, and wonderful is the appearance of the cavities which, overlaid with gold, produce the effect of rainbow more colourful than the one in the clouds. There is insatiable enjoyment here – not hidden, but on the surface. Not only those who direct their gaze to these things for the first time, but those who have often done so are struck with wonder and astonishment. Indeed, this Persian building is more delightful than the Laconian ones of Menelaus⁹¹.

It is assumed that this palace was built around the mid-twelfth century 92 . Its most characteristic element was – as can be deduced from the text – a muqarnas vault. The author, using a heavily rhetorical style, describes its complex form. He employs the common comparison of the vault with the heaven (τῷ οὐρανοειδεῖ ὀρόφῳ) and highlights the delightful – surpassing the rainbow – glow of gold reflections (τῶν κοιλωμάτων θέαμα πάντερπνον, ἶριν φαντάζον πολυχρωμοτέραν τῆς ἐν τοῖς νέφεσι, χρυσοῦ τούτῳ ὑπεστρωμένου). He concludes the whole with a statement of the extraordinary beauty of the building, even more magnificent than the Menelaus' palace. In this way, Mesarites pointed to the Poet and his scheme of ekphrasis of dazzling residence of the mighty ruler 93 .

 $^{^{90}}$ Nikolaos Mesarites, *Die Palastrevolution des Johannes Komnenos*, ed. A. Heisenberg, Würzburg 1907 [= PKAGW], p. 44.27, 27–29, 34–36, p. 45.27, 1–9.

⁹¹ C. Mango, The Art of the Byzantine..., p. 228–229.

⁹² E.g. A. Walker, Middle Byzantine Aesthetics of Power and the Incomparability of Islamic Art. The Architectural Ekphraseis of Nikolaos Mesarites, Muq 27, 2010, p. 79–84; N. Asutay-Effenberger, "Muchrutas". Der seldschukische Schaupavillion im Grossen Palast von Konstantinopel, B 74, 2004, p. 313–324.

^{93 [...]} οἱ δὲ ἰδόντες

θαύμαζον κατὰ δῶμα διοτρεφέος βασιλῆος ὥς τε γὰρ ἡελίου αἴγλη πέλεν ἡὲ σελήνης δῶμα καθ' ὑψερεφὲς Μενελάου κυδαλίμοιο. [...] δὴ τότε Τηλέμαχος προσεφώνεε Νέστορος υἱόν, ἄγχι σχὼν κεφαλήν, ἵνα μὴ πευθοίαθ' οἱ ἄλλοι- "φράζεο, Νεστορίδη, τῷ ἐμῷ κεχαρισμένε θυμῷ, χαλκοῦ τε στεροπὴν κατὰ δώματα ἠχήεντα

To summarize the remarks on the significance of gold in Byzantine ekphraseis, and at the same time indicate how long-lasting – reaching even beyond the fall of Constantinople – the inclination for gleaming and costly materials, including gold, was, it is proper to cite the passage on the Pammakaristos Church from the History of the Patriarchate of Constantinople from 1454 to 1578 (Πατριαρχική Κωνσταντινουπόλεως ἱστορία ἀπὸ τοῦ ,αυνδ΄ ἕως τοῦ ,αφοη΄ ἔτους Χριστοῦ) which was written by Manuel Malaxos (died ca. 1580):

ἔχει γὰρ ὁ οὐρανός, καθὼς τὸν ἐβλέπομεν, ἥλιον φεγγάρη ἄστρη καὶ τὰ ἄλλα. ἔχει δὲ αὐτὸς ὁ ναὸς τῆς παμμακαρίστου ἀντὶ τοῦ φωτὸς τοῦ ἡλίου τὸ ὡραιότατον καὶ λαμπρότατον χρυσὸν τέμπλον, ἀπάνω μετὰ τοῦ ζωοποιοῦ χρυσοῦ σταυροῦ, ὁποῦ ἔναι εἰς αὐτὸν ἐσταυρωμένος ὁ κύριος Ἰησοῦς Χριστὸς καὶ σωτὴρ παντὸς τοῦ ἀνθρωπίνου γένους, καὶ αἱ εἰκόναι τῶν δώδεκα δεσποτικῶν ἑορτῶν, καὶ κάτωθεν τοῦ τέμπλου ἡ εἰκόνα αὐτοῦ τοῦ κυρίου ἡμῶν Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ, μεγάλη καὶ λαμπροτάτη, καὶ ἐν τῷ δεξιῷ μέρει ἡ εἰκόνα τῆς ὑπεραγίας θεοτόκου, τῆς παμμακαρίστου, ώραιοτάτη καὶ λαμπρή, ἔχοντες πολύτιμες ποδαῖς χρυσαῖς. καὶ βημόθυρον μέγα ἐκλεκτόν, πολλῆς τιμῆς· καὶ αἱ πόρται τοῦ άγίου βήματος πάνχρυσαις, μὲ τὸν θεῖον εὐαγγελικὸν ἀσπασμὸν τῆς πανυπεράγνου θεοτόκου. καὶ ἀντὶ τοῦ φωτὸς τῆς σελήνης καὶ τῶν ἀστέρων ἔχει τὰς ἀργυρᾶς κανδήλας καὶ τὴν λαμπρότητα τῶν θείων εἰκόνων

χρυσοῦ τ' ἠλέκτρου τε καὶ ἀργύρου ἠδ' ἐλέφαντος. Ζηνός που τοιήδε γ' Ὀλυμπίου ἔνδοθεν αὐλή, ὅσσα τάδ' ἄσπετα πολλά· σέβας μ' ἔχει εἰσορόωντα,

Homeri Odyssea, 4.43–46, 4.69–75, ed. P. von der Mühll, Basel 1962 (cetera: Homer);

[...] αὐτὰρ Ὀδυσσεὺς

Άλκινόου πρὸς δώματ' ἴε κλυτά· πολλὰ δέ οἱ κῆρ **ωρμαιν'** ίσταμένω, πρὶν χάλκεον οὐδὸν ἰκέσθαι. ὥς τε γὰρ ἠελίου αἴγλη πέλεν ἠὲ σελήνης δῶμα καθ' ὑψερεφὲς μεγαλήτορος Άλκινόοιο. χάλκεοι μὲν γὰρ τοῖχοι ἐληλέδατ' ἔνθα καὶ ἔνθα, ές μυχὸν έξ οὐδοῦ, περὶ δὲ θριγκὸς κυάνοιοχρύσειαι δὲ θύραι πυκινὸν δόμον ἐντὸς ἔεργονάργύρεοι δὲ σταθμοὶ ἐν χαλκέῳ ἕστασαν οὐδῷ, άργύρεον δ' ἐφ' ὑπερθύριον, χρυσέη δὲ κορώνη. χρύσειοι δ' έκάτερθε καὶ ἀργύρεοι κύνες ἦσαν, ους Ήφαιστος ἔτευξεν ἰδυίησι πραπίδεσσι δῶμα φυλασσέμεναι μεγαλήτορος Άλκινόοιο, άθανάτους ὄντας καὶ ἀγήρως ἤματα πάντα. έν δὲ θρόνοι περὶ τοῖχον ἐρηρέδατ' ἔνθα καὶ ἔνθα ές μυχὸν έξ οὐδοῖο διαμπερές, ἔνθ' ἐνὶ πέπλοι λεπτοὶ ἐΰννητοι βεβλήατο, ἔργα γυναικῶν. ἔνθα δὲ Φαιήκων ἡγήτορες ἑδριόωντο πίνοντες καὶ ἔδοντες· ἐπηετανὸν γὰρ ἔχεσκον. χρύσειοι δ' ἄρα κοῦροι ἐϋδμήτων ἐπὶ βωμῶν **ἔστασαν αἰθομένας δαΐδας μετὰ χερσὶν ἔχοντες,** φαίνοντες νύκτας κατὰ δώματα δαιτυμόνεσσι,

Homer, 7.81-102.

καὶ ὅλην τὴν εὐπρέπειαν τοῦ ναοῦ [...] ἔναι δὲ καὶ λέγεται αὐτὸς ὁ ναὸς τῆς μεγάλης ἐκκλησίας τῆς παμμακαρίστου ἐπίγειος οὐρανός, νέα Σιών 94

The sky – when we look at it – has the sun, moon, stars and other [celestial bodies]. In turn, this church of the All-Blessed instead of the light of the sun has the most beautiful and brightest golden templon with a life-giving and golden cross on the top, where the crucified Jesus Christ, Lord and Saviour of all mankind, is set, as well as the representation of the Twelve Great Feasts, and below the image of Our Lord Jesus Christ, great and brightest, and on the right, the image of the All-Blessed Virgin Mary, the most beautiful and bright: both have extremely valuable golden podeai. [There are] also gates to the sanctuary, really excellent and of great value; the door wings of the holy sanctuary [are] all gold and with the evangelical salutation of the Holy Mother of God. Instead of moonlight and stars, it has silver candlesticks and the splendour of sacred images, and all the glory of the shrine. [...] And this church is called the great church of the All-Blessed and is the heaven on earth, the new Zion.

Gold in Byzantine texts appears primarily as one of the most beautiful materials available to artists. Its beauty lies in its glow and colour, although despite the dazzling appearance, some authors stated that multi-coloured marbles are more wonderful. Above all, the variegation (ποικιλία, πολυποικιλία) was valued the most. It was the main feature of the "jeweled aesthetics" developed in late antiquity and carried on by Byzantines. Byzantine writers relatively rarely referred to symbolic issues. For instance, in an ekphrasis of an icon of Virgin and Christ written by John Eugenikos (ca. 1400 - ca. 1453), we read that a gold colour of Christ's cloak indicates his divine nature⁹⁵. A similar interpretation of the significance of gold we find in an epigram associated with Manuel Philes (ca. 1275-1345). He explains there that a silver gilded revetment of an icon designates spiritual features of the depicted Virgin⁹⁶. The same motive is in an epigram on a bronze gilded statue of the charioteer Porphyrios: gold is referred to the merits of the famous athlete⁹⁷. What is more important, for Byzantine authors, wonderful aesthetic properties of gold could also have a symbolic meaning. Nonetheless, they more frequently used to discuss aesthetic questions. Then, it seems that these matters need more attention of researchers because now they are rather neglected. In closing, it should also be added that highly appreciated visual effects created

⁹⁴ MANUEL MALAXUS, Historia politica Constantinopoleos (a 1454 usque ad 1578 annum Christi), 7-22, 7-9, [in:] Historia Politica et Patriarchica Constantinopoleos, ed. I. BEKKER, Bonn 1849 [= CSHB, 32], p. 203-204.

⁹⁵ See Anecdota nova, ed. J.F. Boissonade, Paris 1844, p. 335–340. See as well G. Galavaris, *The Stars of the Virgin. An Ekphrasis of an Ikon of the Mother of God*, ECR 1, 1966, p. 364–369 (reprinted in: G. Galavaris, *Colours, Symbols, Worship. The Mission of the Byzantine Artist*, London 2012).

⁹⁶ See *Manuelis Philae carmina inedita*, 35, ed. A. MARTINI, Napoli 1900. See as well H. MAGUIRE, *Originality...*, p. 110.

⁹⁷ See The Greek Anthology, vol. V, Book 13: Epigrams in Various Metres. Book 14: Arithmetical Problems, Riddles, Oracles. Book 15: Miscellanea. Book 16: Epigrams of the Planudean Anthology Not in the Palatine Manuscript, 15.46, ed. W.R. Paton, London–New York 1918 [= LCL, 86]. See as well A. Cameron, Porphyrius the Charioteer, Oxford 1973, p. 96–116.

on gold surfaces are not only associated with diverse conceptual meanings but also with technical aspects which pertain to, among others, various methods of gilding and polishing. It is very important problem due to its direct impact on a final shape of works of art. This issue, however, is the subject for a different paper.

Bibliography

Primary Sources

Accounts of Medieval Constantinople. The Patria, trans. A. Berger, Cambridge Mass.–London 2013 [= Dumbarton Oaks Medieval Library, 24].

Anecdota nova, ed. J.F. Boissonade, Paris 1844.

Anthologia Graeca, vol. I, ed. H. ВЕСКВУ, München 1965.

Basile de Césarée, Homélies sur l'hexaéméron, ed. S. Giet, Paris 1968 [= Sources chrétiennes, 26 bis].

- Choricii Gazaei opera, ed. R. Foerster, E. Richtsteig, Leipzig 1929 [= Bibliotheca scriptorum Graecorum et Romanorum Teubneriana].
- Chronographiae quae Theophanis Continuati nomine fertur Liber quo Vita Basilii Imperatoris amplectitur, ed. I. Ševcenko, Berlin-Boston 2011 [= Corpus fontium historiae byzantinae. Series Berolinensis, 42].
- CLAUDIAN, On Stilicho's Consulship 2–3, [in:] CLAUDIAN, On Stilicho's Consulship 2–3. Panegyric on the Sixth Consulship of Honorius. The Gothic War. Shorter Poems. Rape of Proserpina, vol. II, ed. M. Platnauer, Cambridge Mass. 1922 [= Loeb Classical Library, 136], https://www.loebclassics.com/view/claudian_claudianus-shorter_poems/1922/pb_LCL136.175.xml
- CLAUDIAN, Panegyric on Probinus and Olybrius, [in:] CLAUDIAN, Panegyric on Probinus and Olybrius. Against Rufinus 1 and 2. War against Gildo. Against Eutropius 1 and 2. Fescennine Verses on the Marriage of Honorius. Epithalamium of Honorius and Maria. Panegyrics on the Third and Fourth Consulships of Honorius. Panegyric on the Consulship of Manlius. On Stilicho's Consulship 1, vol. I, ed. M. Platnauer, Cambridge Mass. 1922 [= Loeb Classical Library, 135].
- CLAUDIAN, Panegyric on the Fourth Consulships of Honorius, [in:] CLAUDIAN, Panegyric on Probinus and Olybrius. Against Rufinus 1 and 2. War against Gildo. Against Eutropius 1 and 2. Fescennine Verses on the Marriage of Honorius. Epithalamium of Honorius and Maria. Panegyrics on the Third and Fourth Consulships of Honorius. Panegyric on the Consulship of Manlius. On Stilicho's Consulship 1, vol. I, ed. M. Platnauer, Cambridge Mass. 1922 [= Loeb Classical Library, 135].
- CLAUDIAN, Panegyric on the Sixth Consulship of Honorius, [in:] CLAUDIAN, On Stilicho's Consulship 2–3. Panegyric on the Sixth Consulship of Honorius. The Gothic War. Shorter Poems. Rape of Proserpina, vol. II, ed. M. Platnauer, Cambridge Mass. 1922 [= Loeb Classical Library, 136], https://www.loebclassics.com/view/claudian_claudianus-shorter_poems/1922/pb_LCL136.175.xml
- CLAUDIAN, Rape of Proserpina, [in:] CLAUDIAN, On Stilicho's Consulship 2–3. Panegyric on the Sixth Consulship of Honorius. The Gothic War. Shorter Poems. Rape of Proserpina, vol. II, ed. M. Platnauer, Cambridge Mass. 1922 [= Loeb Classical Library, 136], https://www.loebclassics.com/view/claudian_claudianus-shorter_poems/1922/pb_LCL136.175.xml
- Constantine of Rhodes, *On Constantinople and the Church of the Holy Apostles*, ed. L. James, I. Vassis, trans. V. Dimitropoulou, L. James, R. Jordan, Farnham 2012.

- Diēgēsis peri tēs Agias Sophias, [in:] Scriptores originum Constantinopolitanarum, vol. I, ed. T. Pre-GER, Leipzig 1901.
- DIGENIS AKRITIS, *The Grottaferrata and Escorial versions*, ed. E. Jeffreys, Cambridge 1998 [= Cambridge Medieval Classics, 7].
- *Etymologicum Gudianum*, vol. I, ed. E.L. DE STEFANI, Leipzig 1909 [= Bibliotheca scriptorum Graecorum et Romanorum Teubneriana].
- Etymologicum Magnum, ed. T. GAISFORD, Oxford 1848.
- Etymologicum Symeonis (Γ –E), ed. D. Baldi, Turnhout 2013 [= Corpus christianorum, Series graeca, 79].
- Eugenius Panormitanus, *In imaginem Chrysostomi*, [in:] *Versus Iambici*, ed. M. Gigante, Palermo 1964 [= Testi e Monumenti, 10].
- Euphémie de Chalcédoine. Légendes byzantines, ed. F. Halkin, Bruxelles 1965 [= Subsidia hagiographica, 41].
- Eusebius Werke, vol. I.1, Über das Leben des Kaisers Konstantin, ed. F. Winkelmann, Berlin 1975 [= Die griechischen christlichen Schriftsteller der ersten [drei] Jahrhunderte].
- FLAVIUS CRESCONIUS CORIPPUS, In laudem Iustini Augusti minoris. Libri IV, ed. Av. Cameron, London 1976.
- The Greek Anthology, vol. V, Book 13: Epigrams in Various Metres. Book 14: Arithmetical Problems, Riddles, Oracles. Book 15: Miscellanea. Book 16: Epigrams of the Planudean Anthology Not in the Palatine Manuscript, ed. W.R. Paton, London–New York 1918 [= Loeb Classical Library, 86].
- Gregorius Nyssenus, *De sancto Theodoro*, [in:] *Patrologiae cursus completus, Series graeca*, vol. XLVI, ed. J.-P. Migne, Paris 1863, col. 735–748.
- Homeri Odyssea, ed. P. von der Mühll, Basel 1962.
- The Homilies of Photius, Patriarch of Constantinople, trans., praef. C. Mango, Cambridge Mass. 1958 [= Dumbarton Oaks Studies, 3].
- Iohannis Zonarae lexicon ex tribus codicibus manuscriptis, vol. I, ed. J.A.H. TITTMANN, Leipzig 1808.
- Leonis VI Sapientis Imperatoris Byzantini Homiliae, ed. T. Antonopoulou, Turnhout 2008 [= Corpus christianorum, Series graeca, 63].
- LIUDPRAND DE CRÉMONE, Antapodosis, [in:] LIUDPRAND DE CRÉMONE, Œuvres, ed. F. BOUGARD, Paris 2015 [= Sources d'histoire mediévale publiées par L'Institut de Recherche et d'Histoire des Textes, 41].
- Lucian, The Hall, [in:] Lucian, Phalaris. Hippias or The Bath. Dionysus. Heracles. Amber or The Swans. The Fly. Nigrinus. Demonax. The Hall. My Native Land. Octogenarians. A True Story. Slander. The Consonants at Law. The Carousal (Symposium) or The Lapiths, vol. I, ed. A.M. Harmon, Cambridge Mass. 1913 [= Loeb Classical Library, 14], https://doi.org/10.4159/DLCL.lucian-hippias_bath.1913
- Mango C., *The Art of the Byzantine Empire 312–1453*, Toronto–Buffalo–London 2013 [= Medieval Academy Reprints for Teaching, 16].
- Manuel Malaxus, Historia politica Constantinopoleos (a 1454 usque ad 1578 annum Christi), [in:] Historia Politica et Patriarchica Constantinopoleos, ed. I. Bekker, Bonn 1849 [= Corpus scriptorum historiae byzantinae, 32].
- Manuelis Philae carmina inedita, ed. A. MARTINI, Napoli 1900.
- Michaelis Pselli Chronographia, ed. D.R. Reinsch, Berlin-Boston 2014 [= Millennium-Studien. Studien zu Kultur und Geschichte des ersten Jahrtausends n. Chr. / Studies in the Culture and History of the First Millennium C.E., 51].

- NICEFORO BASILACE, *Progimnasmi e monodie*, ed. A. PIGNANI, Napoli 1983 [= Byzantina et Neohellenica Neapolitana, 10].
- Nicetae Choniatae historia, pars prior, ed. J. VAN DIETEN, Berlin 1975 [= Corpus fontium historiae byzantinae. Series Berolinensis, 11.1].
- NICOLA CALLICLE, Carmi, ed. R. ROMANO, Napoli 1980 [= Byzantina et Neohellenica Neapolitana, 8].
- Nicolai progymnasmata, ed. J. Felten, Leipzig 1913 [= Rhetores Graeci, 11; Bibliotheca scriptorum Graecorum et Romanorum Teubneriana].
- NIKOLAOS MESARITES, *Die Palastrevolution des Johannes Komnenos*, ed. A. Heisenberg, Würzburg 1907 [= Programm des K. Alten Gymnasiums zu Würzburg für das Studienjahr 1906–1907].
- Paulus Silentiarius, Descriptio Sanctae Sophiae, [in:] Paulus Silentiarius, Descriptio Sanctae Sophiae, Descriptio Ambonis, ed. C. de Stefani, Berlin–New York 2010 [= Bibliotheca scriptorum Graecorum et Romanorum Teubneriana], https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110239072
- Plotini opera, vol. I, Porphyrii vita Plotini et enneades I–III, ed. P. Henry, H.-R. Schwyzer, Leiden 1951 [= Museum Lessianum. Series philosophica, 33].
- Procopii Caesarensis opera omnia. De aedificiis, vol. IV, ed. J. HAURY, rec. G. WIRTH, Leipzig 1964 [= Bibliotheca scriptorum Graecorum et Romanorum Teubneriana].
- Procopii Caesarensis opera omnia. De bellis libri, vol. II, ed. J. HAURY, rec. G. WIRTH, Leipzig 1963 [= Bibliotheca scriptorum Graecorum et Romanorum Teubneriana].
- Procopii Caesarensis opera omnia. Historia quae dicitvr arcana, vol. III, ed. J. Haury, rec. G. Wirth, Leipzig 1963 [= Bibliotheca scriptorum Graecorum et Romanorum Teubneriana].
- PROCOPIUS, On Buildings, trans. H.B. DEWING, G. DOWNEY, Cambridge Mass.-London 1954 [= Loeb Classical Library, 343].
- Progymnasmata. Greek Textbooks of Prose Composition and Rhetoric, trans., praef. G.A. Kennedy, Atlanta 2003 [= Writings from the Greco-Roman World, 10].
- The Progymnasmata of Ioannes Geometres, ed. A.R. LITTLEWOOD, Amsterdam 1972.
- Rhetores Graeci, vol. I, ed. C. WALZ, Stuttgart 1832.
- The Rhetorical Exercises of Nikephoros Basilakes. "Progymnasmata" from Twelfth-Century Byzantium, ed., trans. J. Beneker, C.A. Gibson, Cambridge Mass.–London 2016 [= Dumbarton Oaks Medieval Library, 43].
- Sidonius, *Poems and Letters*, vol. I, ed. W.B. Anderson, Cambridge Mass. 1936 [= Loeb Classical Library, 296], https://doi.org/10.4159/DLCL.sidonius-poems.1936
- The Suda on Line, http://www.stoa.org/sol/
- Theodoros Prodromos, *Historische Gedichte*, ed. W. Hörandner, Wien 1974 [= Wiener byzantinistische Studien, 11].
- Tou autou agiōtatou Phōtiou archiepiskopou Kōnstantinoupoleōs homilia, rētheisa ōs en ekphrasei tou en tois basileiois periōnymou naou, [in:] Phōtiou Homiliai, ed. B. LAOURDAS, Thessalonikē 1959 [= Ελληνικά Περιοδικόν Σύγγραμμα Εταιρείας Μακεδονικών Σπουδών Παράρτημα / Ellēnika Periodikon Syggramma Etaireias Makedonikōn Spoudōn Parartēma, 12].
- Tou autou peri noētou kallous, [in:] Michaelis Pselli philosophica minora, vol. II, ed. D.J. O'MEARA, Leipzig 1989 [= Bibliotheca scriptorum Graecorum et Romanorum Teubneriana].

Secondary Literature

- ASUTAY-EFFENBERGER N., "Muchrutas". Der seldschukische Schaupavillion im Grossen Palast von Konstantinopel, "Byzantion. Revue internationale des études byzantines" 74, 2004, p. 313–324.
- AWIERINCEW S., Złoto w systemie symboli kultury wczesnobizantyjskiej, [in:] S. AWIERINCEW, Na skrzyżowaniu tradycji. Szkice o literaturze i kulturze wczesnobizantyjskiej, trans. et ed. D. ULICKA, Warszawa 1988, p. 175–201.
- ВАКІЯТZIS C., Rotunda, [in:] Mosaics of Thessaloniki 4th-14th, ed. C. BAKІЯТZIS, trans. A. Doumas, Athens 2012, p. 51-117.
- BAKIRTZIS C., MASTORA P., Are the Mosaics in the Rotunda into Thessaloniki Linked to its Conversion to a Christian Church?, "Ниш и Византија" / "Niš i Vizantija" 9, 2011, p. 33–46.
- Bartsch S., Elsner J., *Introduction. Eight Ways of Looking at an Ekphrasis*, "Classical Philology" 102.1, 2007, p. I–VI, https://doi.org/10.1086/521128
- Betancourt R., Sight, Touch, and Imagination in Byzantium, Cambridge 2018, https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108344067
- BOLMAN E.S., *Late Antique Aesthetics, Chromophobia and the Red Monastery, Sohag, Egypt,* "Eastern Christian Art" 3, 2006, p. 1–24, https://doi.org/10.2143/ECA.3.0.2018699
- BOLMAN E.S., Painted Skins. The Illusions and Realities of Architectural Polychromy, Sinai and Egypt, [in:] Approaching the Holy Mountain. Art and Liturgy at St Catherine's Monastery in the Sinai, ed. S.E.J. Gerstel, R.S. Nelson, Turnhout 2010 [= Cursor mundi, 11], p. 119–140.
- Bosselmann-Ruickbie A., Byzantinischer Schmuck des 9. bis frühen 13. Untersuchungen zum metallenen dekorativen Körperschmuck der mittelbyzantinischen Zeit anhand datierter Funde, Wiesbaden 2011 [= Spätantike Frühes Christentum Byzanz, 28].
- Brubaker L., Perception and Conception. Art, Theory and Culture in Ninth-Century Byzantium, "Word & Image" 5, 1989, p. 19–32, https://doi.org/10.1080/02666286.1989.10435392
- BRUBAKER L., *Talking about the Great Church. Ekphrasis and the "Narration on Hagia Sophia*", "Byzantinoslavica. Revue internationale des études byzantines" 69.3, 2011, p. 80–87.
- Byčkov V.V., Malaja istorija vizantijskoj estetiki, Kiev 1991.
- Byčкоv V.V., Vizantijskaja èstetika. Teoretičeskie problemy, Moskva 1977.
- CAMERON A., Porphyrius the Charioteer, Oxford 1973.
- Carruthers M., *The Experience of Beauty in the Middle Ages*, Oxford 2013, https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:osobl/9780199590322.001.0001
- CICHOCKA H., Teoria retoryki bizantyńskiej, Warszawa 1994.
- Constantinople. Archaeology of a Byzantine Megapolis. Final Report on the Istanbul Rescue Archaeology Project 1998–2004, ed. K. Dark, F. Özgümüş, Oxford-Oakville 2013.
- Cox Miller P., The Corporeal Imagination. Signifying the Holy in Late Ancient Christianity, Philadelphia 2009, https://doi.org/10.9783/9780812204681
- Cox MILLER P., "The Little Blue Flower Is Red". Relics and the Poetizing of the Body, "Journal of Early Christian Studies" 8.2, 2000, p. 213–236, https://doi.org/10.1353/earl.2000.0030
- Durand J., *Precious-Metal Icon Revetments*, [in:] *Byzantium. Faith and Power (1261–1557)*, ed. H.C. Evans, New York–New Haven 2004, p. 243–251.
- EASTMOND A., An Intentional Error? Imperial Art and "Mis"-Interpretation under Andronikos I Komnenos, "The Art Bulletin" 76, 1994, p. 502–510, https://doi.org/10.1080/00043079.1994.10786600

- ELSNER J., *Introduction. The Genres of Ekphrasis*, "Ramus. Critical Studies in Greek and Roman Literature" 31.1–2, 2002, p. 1–18, https://doi.org/10.1017/S0048671X00001338
- ELSNER J., Late Antique Art. The Problem of the Concept and the Cumulative Aesthetic, [in:] Approaching Late Antiquity. The Transformation from Early to Late Empire, ed. S. SWAIN, M. EDWARDS, Oxford 2006, p. 271–309, https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199297375.003.0011
- GALAVARIS G., The Stars of the Virgin. An Ekphrasis of an Ikon of the Mother of God, "Eastern Churches Review" 1, 1966, p. 364–369.
- Glossarium ad scriptores mediae et infimae latinitatis, vol. VI, ed. C. du Fresne du Cange, Niort 1883–1887.
- GOLDHILL S., What Is Ekphrasis for?, "Classical Philology" 102.1, 2007, p. 1–19, https://doi.org/10.1086/521129
- Grabar A., Plotin et les origines de l'esthétique médiévale, [in:] A. Grabar, Les origines de l'esthétique médiévale, ed. G. Dagron, Paris 1992, p. 29–87.
- GRABAR A., Les revêtements en or et en argent des icônes byzantines du Moyen Âge, Venise 1975.
- Grotowski P.Ł., O sztuce cytowania chresis jako źródło w badaniach nad recepcją idei obrazu w Bizancjum, [in:] Hypomnemata Byzantina. Prace ofiarowane Maciejowi Salamonowi, ed. J. Bonarek, S. Turlej, Piotrków Trybunalski 2017, p. 55–94.
- Grünbart M., Zur Kulturgeschichte des Goldes, [in:] Gold und Blei. Byzantinische Kostbarkeiten aus dem Münsterland, ed. M. Grünbart, Wien 2012, p. 53–66.
- Gurtler G.M., *Plotinus and Byzantine Aesthetics*, "The Modern Schoolman. A Quarterly Journal of Philosophy" 66.4, 1989, p. 275–284, https://doi.org/10.5840/schoolman198966453
- HALDANE J., Medieval Aesthetics, [in:] The Routledge Companion to Aesthetics, ed. B. GAUT, D. McIver Lopes, London 2013 [= Routledge Philosophy Companions], p. 25–35.
- The Holy Apostles A Lost Monument, a Forgotten Project, and the Presentness of the Past, ed. M. Mullett, R.G. Ousterhout, Washington D.C. 2020 [= Dumbarton Oaks Byzantine Symposia and Colloquia].
- Intelligible Beauty. Recent Research on Byzantine Jewellery, ed. C. Entwistle, N. Adams, London 2010.
- IVANOVICI V., Divine Light through Earthly Colours. Mediating Perception in Late Antique Churches, [in:] Colour and Light in Ancient and Medieval Art, ed. C.N. Duckworth, A.E. Sassin, New York–London 2018, p. 81–91, https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315167435-7
- Jacoby D., Silk Production, [in:] The Oxford Handbook of Byzantine Studies, ed. E. Jeffreys, J. Haldon, R. Cormack, Oxford 2008, p. 421–428.
- JAMES L., Color and Meaning in Byzantium, "Journal of Early Christian Studies" 11.2, 2003, p. 223–233, https://doi.org/10.1353/earl.2003.0027
- James L., Constantine of Rhodes's Poem and Art History, [in:] Constantine of Rhodes, On Constantinople and the Church of the Holy Apostles, ed. L. James, I. Vassis, trans. V. Dimitropoulou, L. James, R. Jordan, Farnham 2012, p. 131–222.
- JAMES L., Mosaics in the Medieval World. From Late Antiquity to the Fifteenth Century, Cambridge 2017, https://doi.org/10.1017/9780511997693
- James L., Webb R., "To Understand Ultimate Things and Enter Secret Places". Ekphrasis and Art in Byzantium, "Art History" 14.1, 1991, p. 1–17, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8365.1991.tb00420.x
- JANES D., God and Gold in Late Antiquity, Cambridge 2010.
- Jenkins R.J.H., Mango C., The Date and Significance of the Tenth Homily of Photius, "Dumbarton Oaks Papers" 9/10, 1956, p. 125–140, https://doi.org/10.2307/1291094

Lange J., Et blad af koloritens historie (1893), [in:] Udvalgte Skrifter af Julius Lange, ed. G. Brandes, P. Кøвке, København 1901, p. 136–156.

LAZAREV V.N., Istorija vizantijskoj živopisi, vol. I, Moskva 1947.

L'Orange H.P., Art Forms and Civic Life in the Late Roman Empire, Princeton 1965.

MAGUIRE H., Art and Eloquence in Byzantium, Princeton 1981.

MAGUIRE H., Art and Text, [in:] The Oxford Handbook of Byzantine Studies, ed. E. Jeffreys, J. Haldon, R. Cormack, Oxford 2008, p. 721–730.

MAGUIRE H., *The Asymmetry of Text and Image in Byzantium*, "Perspectives médiévales" 38, 2017, https://doi.org/10.4000/peme.12218

MAGUIRE H., Nectar & Illusion. Nature in Byzantine Art and Literature, Oxford 2016 [= Onassis Series in Hellenic Culture].

MAGUIRE H., Originality in Byzantine Art, [in:] Originality in Byzantine Literature, Art and Music. A Collection of Essays, ed. A.R. LITTLEWOOD, Oxford 1995 [= Oxbow Monograph, 50], p. 101–114.

MAGUIRE H., *The Realities of Ekphrasis*, "Byzantinoslavica. Revue internationale des études byzantines" 69.3, 2011, p. 7–19.

MAGUIRE H., Truth and Convention in Byzantine Descriptions of Works of Art, "Dumbarton Oaks Papers" 28, 1974, p. 113–140, https://doi.org/10.2307/1291357

MANGO C., Antique Statuary and the Byzantine Beholder, "Dumbarton Oaks Papers" 17, 1963, p. 64–70, https://doi.org/10.2307/1291190

MANGO C., PARKER J., A Twelfth-Century Description of St. Sophia, "Dumbarton Oaks Papers" 14, 1960, p. 233–245, https://doi.org/10.2307/1291152

MARIEV S., Introduction. Byzantine Aesthetics, [in:] Aesthetics and Theurgy in Byzantium, ed. S. Mariev, W.-M. Stock, Berlin-Boston 2013 [= Byzantinisches Archiv, 25], p. 2–11, https://doi.org/10.1515/9781614512615

MATHEW G., Byzantine Aesthetics, London 1963.

MICHELES P.A., Aisthētikē theōrēsē tēs byzantinēs technēs, Athēna 2006.

MICHELIS P.A., L'esthétique d'Hagia-Sophia, Faenza 1963.

MICHELIS P.A., Neo-Platonic Philosophy and Byzantine Art, "The Journal of Aesthetics and Art Criticism" 11, 1952, p. 21–45, https://doi.org/10.2307/426617

MULLETT M., OUSERHOUT R., *The Holy Apostles. Dumbarton Oaks Symposium*, 24–26 April 2015, "Dumbarton Oaks Papers" 70, 2016, p. 325–326.

MUTHESIUS A., Byzantine Silk Weaving AD 400 to AD 1200, Vienna 1997.

MUTHESIUS A., Essential Processes, Looms, and Technical Aspects of the Production of Silk Textiles, [in:] The Economic History of Byzantium. From the Seventh through the Fifteenth Century, vol. I, ed. A.E. LAIOU, Washington 2002 [= Dumbarton Oaks Studies, 39], p. 147–168.

MUTHESIUS A., Studies in Silk in Byzantium, London 2004.

Nelson R.S., To Say and to See. Ekphrasis and Vision in Byzantium, [in:] Visuality before and beyond the Renaissance. Seeing as Others Saw, ed. R.S. Nelson, Cambridge 2000, p. 143–168.

New Research on Late Byzantine Goldsmiths' Works (13th-15th Centuries). Neue Forschungen zur spätbyzantininischen Goldschmiedekunst (13.–15. Jahrhundert), ed. A. Bosselmann-Ruickbie, Mainz 2019 [= Byzanz zwischen Orient und Okzident, 13].

Onians J., *Abstraction and Imagination in Late Antiquity*, "Art History" 3, 1980, p. 1–24, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8365.1980.tb00061.x

- Papaioannou S., *Byzantine Enargeia and Theories of Representation*, "Byzantinoslavica. Revue internationale des études byzantines" 69, 2011, p. 48–60.
- Papamastorakis T., The Display of Accumulated Wealth in Luxury Icons. Gift-Giving from the Byzantine Aristocracy to God in the Twelfth Century, [in:] Byzantines eikones. Technē, technikē kai technologia. Diethnes Symposio, Gennadeios Bibliothēkē, Amerikanikē Scholē Klasikōn Spoudōn, 20–21 Phebrouariou 1998, ed. M. Basilakē, Ērakleio 2002, p. 35–49.
- PATTERSON ŠEVČENKO N., Vita Icons and "Decorated" Icons of the Komnenian Period, [in:] Four Icons in the Menil Collection, ed. B. DAVEZAC, HOUSTON 1992, p. 57–69.
- Pentcheva B.V., Hagia Sophia, Sound, Space, and Spirit in Byzantium, University Park 2017.
- Pentcheva B.V., The Sensual Icon. Space, Ritual, and the Senses in Byzantium, University Park 2010.
- ROBERTS M., The Jeweled Style. Poetry and Poetics in Late Antiquity, Ithaca 1989.
- Różycka Bryzek A., Focjusz, patriarcha Konstantynopola, "Homilia X", "Znak" 466.3, 1994, р. 57.
- Schibille N., Hagia Sophia and the Byzantine Aesthetic Experience, Farnham 2014.
- SMORĄG RÓŻYCKA M., *Miejsce ekfrazy w bizantynistycznej historiografii artystycznej*, "Vox Patrum. Antyk Chrześcijański" 70, 2018, p. 471–484, https://doi.org/10.31743/vp.3217
- SQUIRE M., Ecphrasis. Visual and Verbal Interactions in Ancient Greek and Latin Literature, [in:] Oxford Handbooks Online, 2015, https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199935390.013.58
- SQUIRE M., Image and Text in Graeco-Roman Antiquity, Cambridge 2015.
- STRZYGOWSKI J., Orient oder Rom. Beitrag zur Geschichte der spätantiken und frühchristlichen Kunst, Leipzig 1901.
- THOMAS T.K., The Medium Matters. Reading the Remains of a Late Antique Textile, [in:] Reading Medieval Images. The Art Historian and the Object, ed. E. Sears, T.K. Thomas, Ann Arbor 2002, p. 39–49.
- Torp H., Considerations on the Chronology of the Rotunda Mosaics, [in:] The Mosaics of Thessaloniki Revisited. Papers from the 2014 Symposium at the Courtauld Institute of Art, ed. A. Eastmond, M. Hatzaki, Athens 2017, p. 35–47.
- TORP H., La rotonde palatine à Thessalonique. Architecture et mosaïques, vol. I, Athènes 2018.
- WALKER A., Middle Byzantine Aesthetics of Power and the Incomparability of Islamic Art. The Architectural Ekphraseis of Nikolaos Mesarites, "Muqarnas. An Annual on the Visual Culture of the Islamic World" 27, 2010, p. 79–101, https://doi.org/10.1163/ej.9789004185111.i-448.30
- Webb R., The Aesthetics of Sacred Space. Narrative, Metaphor, and Motion in "Ekphraseis" of Church Buildings, "Dumbarton Oaks Papers" 53, 1999, p. 59–74, https://doi.org/10.2307/1291794
- Webb R., *Ekphraseis of Buildings in Byzantium. Theory and Practice*, "Byzantinoslavica. Revue internationale des études byzantines" 69.3, 2011, p. 20–32.
- Webb R., Ekphrasis Ancient and Modern. The Invention of a Genre, "Word & Image" 15.1, 1999, p. 7–18, https://doi.org/10.1080/02666286.1999.10443970
- Webb R., Ekphrasis, Imagination and Persuasion in Ancient Rhetorical Theory and Practice, Farnham 2009.
- ZARRAS N., A Gem of Artistic Ekphrasis. Nicholas Mesarites' Description of the Mosaics in the Church of the Holy Apostles at Constantinople, [in:] Byzantium, 1180–1204. 'The Sad Quarter of a Century'?, ed. A. Simpson, Athens 2015, p. 261–282.

Abstract. The abundance of gilding is considered to be a particularly characteristic feature of Byzantine art. This attribute can be confirmed by even a cursory analysis of works of art. In short, Byzantine artists used gold on a large scale, showing great technical skill. It is therefore quite surprising that this issue has not yet received a separate, comprehensive study. Admittedly, researchers recognize the presence of gold but unfortunately, they almost do not go beyond general observations. On the one hand, they emphasize the primary role of the symbolic meanings of gold, and, on the other, they indicate the high material value of this precious metal. These comments are usually very general and their authors rarely refer to specific primary sources. Their observations, however, speak more about present-day ideas about Byzantine culture than about it itself. The indicated problem is an important and extensive task to be done, hence this paper is only an outline of the most important questions, each of which requires a separate and in-depth study. Therefore, this synthetic article introduces the most basic points associated with the understanding of gold in Byzantium. For this purpose, selected examples of Byzantine texts in which their authors referred to gold in a strictly artistic context are analysed. Thus, the main thesis is as follows: in Byzantine painting, gold, one of the most important devices of artistic expression, was used on a large scale primarily for aesthetic reasons.

Keywords: Byzantine aesthetics, ekphrasis, gilding, mosaic, marble

Magdalena Garnczarska

Jagiellonian University Institute of History of Art ul. Grodzka 53 31-001 Kraków, Polska/Poland magdalena.garnczarska@gmail.com