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The Galician-Volhynian Chronicle (Kronika halicko-wołyńska) is among the most important historiographical works belonging to the south-Ruthenian group of chronicles, along with the Russian Primary Chronicle and the so-called Kiev Chronicle. It continues to attract readers and scholars alike. Notably, the latest critical edition has been prepared by Polish scholars, which deserves recognition. It should be pointed out that Adrian Jusupović, the author of the work under review here, played a major role in the endeavour, together with prof. Dariusz Dąbrowski.

The discussion in the book centres on two basic matters: the narrative strategy employed by the author of The Galician-Volhynian Chronicle and the question as to whether it functioned "from the beginning as part of a historical collection?" (p. 14), and the chronological method used. The book is divided into five chapters. In the first one, Encomium of Roman Mstislavich and the chronicles of the end of the 12th century and the beginning of the 13th century (Enkomion Romana Mścisłowicza a latopisy końca XII – początku XIII w., p. 19–30), the author analyses the issue of the chronicler’s substituting the encomium of Roman Mstislavich for the information from the years 1198–1205 that was part of the Kiev Chronicle of the Rościsławowicz Dynasty. The second chapter, Kiev Chronicle of the Rościsławowicz Dynasty (Kijowski latopis Rościsławowiczów, p. 31–72), deals with the question of using the Kiev Chronicle of the Rościsławowicz Dynasty as a chronological basis for The Galician-Volhynian Chronicle. In the third chapter, The so-called Daniel’s feint (Tak zwany Zwód Daniela, p. 73–96), the author deals with the issue of employing Daniel’s feint for retaining the dating continuity (p. 17) and for the purpose of introducing additions by the last editor of the Chronicle who functioned in the circle of Vladimir Vasilkovich. The chapter that follows, Inspired chronicling (Kronikarstwo z inspiracją, p. 97–112), deals with the matters of chronology with respect to the topics covered, such as the Yotvingian-Lithuanian or Mongolian


themes. Finally, the fifth chapter, The chronicler of Vladimir Vasylkoivich (Kronikarz Włodzimierza Wasylkoivizca, p. 113–154), is devoted to the author of the Chronicle. The book also includes a concluding section (p. 155–160), an annex: A chronological table of The Galician-Volhynian Chronicle (the Romanowicz Dynasty Chronicle), an English summary (p. 171–174), indexes (of person names, p. 175–182, and of geographical and ethnic names, p. 183–186), as well as a list of abbreviations (p. 187–188), and a bibliography (p. 189–202).

In a profound and erudite fashion the book’s discussion enables Adrian Jusupović to formulate interesting and, importantly, well-grounded conclusions about the issues signalled above.

As far as the first matter is concerned, A. Jusupović concludes that the Chronicle from the very beginning functioned as part of the historiographical chronicle collections (p. 156) and its main narrative strategy relied on justifying the right of Vladimir Vasylkoivich to serve as a high duke in accordance with the monopolical conception provided in the ‘Sermon on Law and Grace’ (p. 157).

When it comes to the issue of dating the events in the Chronicle, the author ascertains the following: in the case of the years 1205–1228 dating was based on the (n.b. unknown) manuscript referred to as the Kiev Chronicle of the Rościsławowicz Dynasty which simultaneously included information about Galician boyars as well as the story told by the widow of Roman Mstisлавich (or someone from her circle); for the years 1228–1244 it was based on the so-called Daniel’s feint (lost as well), arranged annalistically and supplemented with additions from the last editor of the text; for the period of 1245–1259 the dating relied on the analysis of particular aspects of the policy of Volhynian-Galician princes, such as the Mongolian or Polish one (they are portrayed chronologically); for the years 1260–1290 the dating method is similar to that used for the 1228–1244 period. In the author’s view the Chronicle was written in the last two decades of the 13th century and the beginning of the 14th century.

The reviewed book is undoubtedly an important and inspiring voice in the discussion about The Galician-Volhynian Chronicle itself but also more broadly about the Ruthenian chronography.

**Bibliography**

**Primary Sources**


**Secondary Literature**


Translated by Mikolaj Deckert

* University of Łódź, Faculty of Philosophy and History, Department of Byzantine History