



Anissava Miltenova (Sofia)
 <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1731-3639>

ONCE AGAIN ABOUT THE MULTIFOLD SLAVONIC TRANSLATIONS AND THEIR CONTEXT: ON PRAYER BY EVAGRIUS OF PONTUS (CPG 2452)

Abstract. The article examines the history of the Slavic translations of the work *On Prayer* by Evagrios (Evagrius) of Pontus (CPG 2452). The witnesses are more than 35 – in manuscripts of Russian, Serbian, Bulgarian and Moldavian-Wallachian provenance, from the 12th to the 17th century. Two translations are analysed, which are distributed in monastic collections compiled in different ways. The first of these can be situated in the context of the early paraenetic literature of the First Bulgarian Kingdom (10th c.), and the other is related to the literary tradition and ascetical practices of Hesychasm in the 14th century. A version of the first translation, which appeared in the 14th century in the Bulgarian milieu is also considered. The comparison of the language of the translations with their Greek original allows for extremely interesting observations on the translators' approaches. The reception of the text *On Prayer* is a key to understanding the processes that take place in the Slavic literature over a long period, characterized on the one hand by the continuity, and on the other by the introduction of new phenomena, both in the selection of vocabulary and in the compositions of the manuscripts as a whole. The history of the work *On Prayer* sheds light on the connections of the monastic centres on the Balkans, Russia, and Mount Athos.

Keywords: Slavis literature of the Middle Ages, multifold translations, paraenetic style, Hesychasm, the composition of anthologies

Introduction

E vagrios Ponticos (Evagrius Ponticus) (345–399)¹ is chronologically the third patristic author to dedicate a work to the subject of prayer after Clement of Alexandria (150–215) and Origen (c. 184–c. 253). The work under the title of Περὶ προσευχῆς (*De oratione*) (CPG 2452; PG, vol. LXXIX, col. 1165–1200) is one of his most popular texts attested by the existence of translations into different languages. The tradition of the Greek text, known from about 150 copies in full

¹ This paper is a part of the international project on the monastic hereritage of Slavia Orthodoxa, and in the project the Greek forms of the names are preferred (ending -os, not -us), as is customary in the inventories.

text and excerpts, has been studied well and has been published in a critical edition². The work is an anthology of wise sentences in paraenetic style, planned as a collection of 153 texts – similar to the number of fish in the miraculous catch of Simon Peter (John 21: 11). This reasoning for the composition's relation to the New Testament has been developed in the Prologue, with arguments supporting the intellectual communication with God. The objective of the author is not the liturgical application of prayer or the explanation of its content, but rather an intellectual, contemplative aspect, the rising to God and its achievement in solitude. Underscored by researchers, this peculiarity of the text also determines the context in which it is found in Slavic manuscripts, as we shall see from the descriptions of their characteristics. The language used by Evagrius belongs to *Haute literature*, with purposeful use of symbolism and rhetorical devices (anaphora, epiphora, parallel syntax, and others). Therefore the question about the technique of the Slavic translation and to what extent it follows complements or deviates from the Greek original is important. The present initial study aims to establish the translations and redactions, and their chronology, but a study on the style of the translation is forthcoming.

As has already been established, a large portion of the works of Evagrios was copied under the name of Neilos (Nilus) of Ancyra (of Sinai) (2nd half of the 4th c. – 430), others are encountered with the name of Hesychios (Hesychius) of Jerusalem (2nd half of the 4th c. – ca. 450/451). Pseudo-authorship was made necessary by the fact that Evagrios was declared heretical at the Fifth Ecumenical Council (553) on the charges that he was a follower of Origen (ca. 185 – ca. 254), with views close to Gnosticism, and was thus condemned with Origen himself. The sentence was confirmed by the Sixth Ecumenical Council. Because of the interpretations and the suggestions close to those of Origen, all his texts were anathematised at the Lateran Council of 649, and those who did not want to reject the works of Evagrios were also subject to anathema³.

However, the anathema on Evagrios and the confiscation of his works from the Greek Orthodox libraries after 553 did not diminish the popularity of his works. In addition to Greek, they are also known in translations into Syriac⁴, Coptic, Arabic, Armenian and Georgian⁵, but also in Slavic. I shall note that one of the earliest

² ÉVAGRE LE PONTIQUE, *Chapitres sur la prière*, ed. et trans. P. GÉHIN, Paris 2017 [= SC, 589].

³ Г.И. БЕНЕВИЧ, *Евагрий Понтийский и палестинская философско-богословская традиция*, AEru 23, 2017, p. 21–26.

⁴ J. MUYLDERMANS, *Evagriana Syriaca. Textes inédits du British Museum et de la Vaticane*, Louvain 1952, p. 39–46.

⁵ K. SAMIR, *Evagre le Pontique dans la tradition arabo-copte*, [in:] *Actes du IV^e Congrès Copte. Louvain-la-Neuve, 5–10 septembre 1988*, vol. II, ed. M. RASSART-DERBERGH, J. RIES, Louvain-la-Neuve 1992 [= PIOL, 41], p. 132–133. Evagrius' works have also been translated into Romanian: D. MUTALÂP, *The Structure and Composition of a Proto-Philokalic Romanian Manuscript from 1769*, [in:] *Translations of Patristic Literature in South-Eastern Literature*, ed. L. TASEVA, R. MARTI, Brăila 2020, p. 309;

translated works is a combination of wise sayings, known as *Capita paraenetica* (CPG 6583a and 6583b) under the title: *Прієнаго ѿца нашого Нила. в разумыи оученїа дішепользваа. Incip.: Ст҃рѣ имѣи вѣй и чтомъ къ всѣмъ свѣтельствомъ свѣти своее бѣздоуи.* The *sententiae* has three known translations: (1) in the so-called *Knjažeski Izbornik* (*Princely Collection*) (10th c.) which has reached us in the *Izbornik of 1076*⁶; (2) in manuscripts related to No. 382 of Hilandar monastery, end 13th and the beginning of the 14th century and its protograph⁷; (3) in the traditions of the Slavic *Pčela* (the Bee) miscellany⁸, and (4) a new translation of an excerpt of the wise sayings with a different composition compared with the Greek text, included in miscellanies of ascetic literature⁹. Despite the considerable academic interest in the work of Evagrios Ponticos and its distribution among the Slavs¹⁰, a number of translations remain unstudied, including their chronology and their transmission, and these works include *De oratione*. As I noted above, all copies bear the name of Neilos of Ancyra. The original authorship was already established in the 1930s by father Irénée Hausherr¹¹. A recent comprehensive review of the works, publications and commentaries was made by Joel Kalvesmaki¹².

The distribution of *De oratione* in Slavic translation presents both the interpretation of the subject in different periods of the development of medieval literature and the context of the concrete text.

IDEIM, *Redacția scurtă a unei scrieri asceticico-mistice atribuite lui Evagrie Ponticul în literatura română veche (I)*, LR 69, 2020, p. 31–48.

⁶ У. ФЕДЕР, *Кънажии изборъникъ за възпитание на канартикина*, vol. II, Велико Търново 2008, p. 8–10, 35–48.

⁷ This Bulgarian translation can be attributed to the 10th century. The contents are duplicated in: No. 72, 14th century and No. 310, 16th century of the Romanian Academy Library, Bucharest. Cf. A. MILTENOVA, *Towards the Slavic Translations of Capita Paraenetica Ascribed to either Nilus of Ankyra or to Hesychius of Jerusalem*, [in:] *Σπαράγματα Βυζαντινοσλαβικής Κληρονομίας. (Χαριστήριος Τόμος στον Καθηγητή Ιωάννη Χρ. Ταρνανίδη)*, Θεσσαλονίκη 2011, p. 125–154.

⁸ MS F.п.1.44, parchment, 14th–15th century, contains the sentences of Menandros and Barnabas, cf. В.М. СЕМЕНОВ, *Изречения Иисихия и Варнавы по русским спискам*, ПДП 92, 1892, p. 1–9.

⁹ Published after a Russian manuscript: М.Н. СПЕРАНСКИЙ, *Переводные сборники изречений в славяно-русской письменности. Исследование и текст*, Москва 1904, p. 195–203. The Middle Bulgarian copies are known, but have not been studied, e.g. No. 80 (Ryapov miscellany), BAS Archive, second half of the 14th century.

¹⁰ К.В. ВЕРШИНИН, *Из истории славянских переводов Евагрия Понтийского*, [in:] *Письменность, литература, фольклор славянских народов. История славистики XVI Международный съезд славистов. Белград, 20–27 августа 2018 г. Доклады российской делегации*, Москва 2018, p. 52–61.

¹¹ I. HAUSHERR, *Le traité de l'oraison d'Évagre le Pontique (Pseudo-Nil)*, RAM 15, 1934, p. 34–93, 113–170; IDEM, *Le 'De oratione' d'Evagre le Pontique en syriaque et en arabe*, OCP 5, 1939, p. 7–71; IDEM, *Les leçons d'un contemplatif. Le Traité de l'oraison d'Evagre le Pontique*, Paris 1960. The author notes the presence of the work in the *Bibliotheca* of Patriarch Photios (Photius: *Bibliotheca*, cod. 201).

¹² Cf. <http://evagriusponticus.net/corpus.htm#cp2452> [28 XII 2020]. J. KALVESMAKI, *Evagrius and his Legacy*, Notre Dame, Ind. 2016.

Sources

The copies in Slavic manuscripts of Serbian, Bulgarian, Russian and Slavonic Romanian provenance number over 35, and the most typical examples of the translations and redactions can be given as follows¹³:

1. MS 93, Dečani monastery collection, 12th–13th century, parchment, 192 folia, 4°. Of Russian provenance. F. 179v.–186r: Прп(д)бнго оца нашего ииля о млтвѣ. Incip.: Иже хоуетъ благовоныны тъмъанъ творити. то чистыи ливанъ и касия поноухъ и стактиксъ тъгуно сложитъ по закону. си жи (!) соуть четырество добро-правльемъ. аще бо испланъ и равна боудочть. то непреданъ боудеть оумъ¹⁴.

Explic.: Молтвѣ похвала. не просто колицество. нъ каковъство. и се авлаеть. въехо-дивъшии въ цркви. и вы молаць са не блгословите (sic!) и прорече и прорече. Елма же въ помыслѣ еси тблесынъ. и оумъ ти стбныныша обзходить красоты. нѣси не оу млтвѣ видѣлъ мѣста. нъ и еще далече блжены еа. пжть есть. егда прѣстоа въ млтвѣ. паче всако аности оноя бывааше. тъгда въ истину обрѣте молитвоу.

2. MS 10d (253), Hludov Collection, State Historical Museum, together with fragment No 323, N.K. Nikolsky Collection, Russian Academy Library, parchment, 13th century. Of Russian provenance. F. 125r–151 Прп(д)бнаго оца ииля о млтвѣ. глагвизнъ. рїг. Incip.: Иже хоуетъ благовоныны тъмъанъ творити. то чистыи ливанъ, и касию.¹⁵
3. MS 644, Synodal Collection, State Historical Museum, end-14th – beginning of the 15th century, parchment, 135 folia. Of Russian provenance. F. 96v–114v: Прп(д)бна(г) ѿца нашего ииля о молитвѣ глагвизнъ рї. и й. и гї. Incip.: Иже хоуетъ благовонни тъмъанъ творити¹⁶.

¹³ Acknowledgments: I am very grateful to the monks of the Hilandar Monastery and the Hilandar Research Library for the opportunity to work with manuscripts.

¹⁴ Д. Богдановић, Н.Р. Синдик, *Опис ћирилских рукописних књига манастира Високи Дечани*, vol. I, Београд 2011, p. 376–380; W. VEDER, Евајриј Понтијски О Молитве. К вопросу о глаголице на Руси, [in:] *Forma formans. Studi in onore di Boris Uspenskij*, ed. S. BERTOLISSI et al., Napoli 2010, p. 243–266; Т.И. Афанасьева, Д.М. Буланин, У. Федер, *Письменные памятники истории и культуры России в собраниях зарубежных архивов и библиотек*, vol. VII, Берлинский Кормчий. Древнерусский учительный сборник XIV века, ed. Д.М. Буланин, Санкт Петербург 2018.

¹⁵ А. Попов, *Первое прибавление к описанию рукописей и каталогу книг церковной печати библиотеки А.И. Хлудова*, Москва 1875, p. 8–9; Сводный каталог славяно-русских рукописных книг, хранящихся в СССР. XI–XIII вв., Москва 1984, № 229 (Sobolevsky, following A.N. Popov, incorrectly dated the manuscript to the 15th century); a fragment from it – Russian Academic Library, St. Petersburg, Nikolsky Collection, No. 323 (СК XI–XIII, № 308); identification of the parts: Д.М. Буланин, *Реконструкция древнерусского сборника XIII в.*, [in:] *Исследования по древней и новой литературе*, Ленинград 1987, p. 342–345; Каталог памятников древнерусской письменности XI–XIV вв. (Рукописные книги), ed. Д.М. Буланин, А.А. Романова et al., Санкт Петербург 2014 [=SSO, 7], p. 250. The manuscript is not accessible *de visu* to me.

¹⁶ А. Горский, К. Невоструев, *Описание славянских рукописей Московской Синодальной библиотеки*, vol. II, *Писания святых отцов*, pars 3, *Разные богословские сочинения*, Москва 1857,

4. MS Hamilton 381, State Library in Berlin, second half of the 14th century. Of Russian provenance. F. 100v-c-d-110r-a-b: Прп(д)бнаго о́ца нила о матьвѣ гла́вицы. р. и н. Incip.: Иже хощеть блговононыи темыанъ твориги то үс(т)ыи ливанъ и касио и онухъ и стакти тоуено. положить по закону си же суть четыре доброравниемъ. аще бо исполнъ правна будуть. то не преданъ будеть оумъ¹⁷.

Explic.: О матвѣ похвали. не просто количество. но каковъство. и се юавляютъ въходи-шии въ црквь и вы молаще са не бладословите. и прочее. рнг. юлма же в помыслѣ иеси телеснѣмъ. и оумъ ти стѣнныиа объходить красоты. не си оуене матвѣ видѣлъ мѣст(а) но и єще далече блжныи юа путь юесть. рнд. югда престою въ молитвѣ пауе всакою радости иною бываше. тогда во истину обрѣте молитву.

5. MS 1036, National library in Sofia, paper, 297 folia, second half of the 14th century. Of Bulgarian provenance, Middle Bulgarian orthography. F. 196v–203г: Прѣп(д)бнаго ѿ́ца нашого нила въ матьвѣ гла́вицы р. и н. Incip.: Іже хощетъ блговононыя, диміаны творити. тв чистыи ливанъ, и касиа, и смирина, и стакти, тъчно въложитъ по закону. си же сѫтъ четыре дѣбрни прави. аще бо испльни и равна бждегъ оумъ¹⁸.

Explic.: Матвѣ похвали е(с) не просто количъство. нж ко(ли)чъ (sic!) вѣтво и се юавляѣтъ въходащи въ црквь. и вы не бладословите молаще се, и прочее. юлма же еси въ помыслѣ тѣлеснѣмъ. и оумъ ти въхвидитъ стѣнныиа красоты. не оуеси оуевѣдѣлъ мѣста матвѣна красоты. нж и єще далече е(с) поже єж блаженъи. югда прп(д) стоиши въ матвѣ. пауе иној въсѣкия радости бываеши, твгда въ истину вѣрѣте матвѣ. славаще ѿ́ца и сїа и сїго дхя. нинѣ и прино и въ вѣки вѣкомъ а(м).

6. MS 2 (old 13 /29/), State Archive of the Republic of Moldova, Chișinău, paper, 328 folia, the third quarter of 14th c.; semiuncial; without juses, two jers (inconsistent) orthography with traces of Middle Bulgarian; Moldavian provenance, New Neamț monastery¹⁹. F. 23v–30r: Прп(д)бнаго ѿ́ца нила

vol. II, pars 2, № 154, p. 283–287; Предварительный список славяно-русских рукописных книг XV в., хранящихся в СССР, ed. А.А. Турилов, Москва 1986, № 458; СК-2, № 89; Каталог памятников древнерусской письменности XI–XIV вв..., р. 250.

¹⁷ Д.М. Буланин, Древнерусский учительный сборник XIV в. Berlin, Hamilton 381 и его бывший владелец Александр, 10-й герцог Гамильтон, pars 1, RAnt 1 (14), 2017, р. 23–75; pars 2, RAnt 2 (15), 2017, р. 79–98; Берлинский Корчий. Древнерусский учительный сборник XIV века...

¹⁸ М. Стоянов, Х. Кодов, Опис на славянските ръкописи в Софийската народна библиотека, vol. III, София 1964, р. 231–239. Contains redaction γ f of the *Apophthegmata Patrum* – the classification of the manuscripts of the *Svodny Paterik* follows the distribution of И. ЕРЕМИН, “Сводный” Патерик у південно-слов’янських, українському та московському письменствах, ЗІФВУАН 12, 1927, р. 46–77; 15, 1927, р. 50–77; cf. С. Николова, Патеричните разкази в историята на старата българска литература, СЛ 1, 1971, р. 167–191; С. Николова, Патеричните разкази в българската средновековна литература, София 1980, р. 36–37.

¹⁹ No analytical description has been published. Inventory (typewriting): Фонд 2119. Опись № 2 славяно-русских рукописных книг, XIV–XIX вв. Кишинев, 1983. The manuscript has been used *de visu*.

в мѣтвѣ, главъ р и йв. *Incip.*: Їже хощеть благовонныи фїмианы творити. то чистыи ливань, и касію, и смирна и стакти. тъчно въложитъ по закону.

Explic.: Матѣва похвалила ю(с) не просто колицество. ны ко (sic!) вѣство и се іавлѧютъ въходещи въ црквь. и вы не бледословите млеце се, и прочеи. Елма же юси въ помыслѣ тѣблеснѣмъ. и оумъ ти въходить ст҃енныи красоты. не оуеси оувѣдѣль мѣста матѣвныи красоты. ны и се далече ю(с) путь юе блаженыи. Егда прѣ(д) стоиши въ матѣвѣ. паче иною въсакое радости вывающи, тог(д)а въ истину ѿбрѣте матѣвѣ. славеце ѿца и сна и стѣго дхя. никна и пр(с)но и въ вѣкы.

7. MS 151 п, coll. 301, Church Archaeological Museum at the Kyiv Theological Academy – now in Vernadsky National Library of Ukraine, Kyiv, paper, 631 folia, 80–90 years of 14th century, Serbian orthography. From the collection of Archimandrite Antonin Kapustin, monastery St Paul (Mount Athos). 410v–417v: Нила постника главизны въ матѣвѣ. *Incip.*: Иже хощеть благовон'їй дїмїа(м) оустроити²⁰.
8. MS 47, Hilferding Collection, Russian National Library, St. Petersburg, paper, 510 folia, third quarter of the 14th century. Of Bulgarian provenance. 120r–131v: Нила постника. главизны въ матѣвѣ. *Incip.*: Иже хощеть благовонныи дїмїанъ оустроити. ү(с)тно ѿвано. и касіж. и ониха и стакти, равнѣ да съложитъ по закону. сїа же сжть, четвира добродѣтѣлемъ²¹.

Explic.: Матѣва похвалила, не простѡ колицество, ны каѹство. и се іавлѧетъ възьше(д) ши въ стилице. ны и єже и вы молаще са не лихоглите и прочада бѹ же нашемоу слава въ вѣкы вѣкѡ(м), аминъ:-

9. MS 80, Archive of Bulgarian Academy of Sciences (Ryapov miscellany), paper, 394 folia, second half of the 14th century. Of Bulgarian provenance, Middle Bulgarian orthography. F. 188r–194v: Прѣ(д)енаго ѿца нашего нила въ матѣвѣ, главъ, рїв. *Incip.* Иже хощеть благовонныи дїмїаны творити. то чисты ливань. и касія, и смирна, и стакти тъчно въложитъ по закону. си же сжть четыре добри нрави. аще во испльни и равна боудеть, не прѣда(н) ежде оу(м). The text stops after chapter үа – torn folia, no explicit²².

²⁰ Л.А. Гнатенко, О.С. Онищенко, В.В. Нимчук, С.Г. Даневич, Л.А. Дубровина, Н.М. Зубкова, Слов'янська кирилична рукописна книга XIV ст. З фондів Інституту рукописів Національної бібліотеки України імені В. І. Вернадського. Каталог. Кодикологіо-орфографічне дослідження. Палеографічний альбом, Київ 2007, р. 119–127. The manuscript is not accessible *de visu* to me.

²¹ В. Мошин, К датировке рукописей из собрания А.Ф. Гильфердинга Государственной Публичной библиотеки, ТОДЛ 15, 1958, р. 409–417, 414, № 47; Б. Христова, В.М. Загребин, Г.П. Енин, Е.М. Шварц, Славянские рукописи болгарского происхождения в Российской национальной библиотеке, Санкт Петербург 2009, р. 121–126.

²² Х. Кодов, Опис на славянските ръкописи в библиотеката на Българската академия на науките, София 1969, р. 174–188.

10. MS 456, Hilandar monastery, paper, 314 folia, end 14th century. Of Serbian provenance, Raška orthography²³. F. 144v–154v: Нила по(с)ника главизни в млатвѣ. Incip.: Иже хоцетъ блговонныи дїміањь оустроити. ч(с)тноје ливано и касиє и ониха и стакти, равнѣ да съложитъ по закону. ѿа же соу(т), четворица добро(д)тврдите(м). аще во испльнены и равны боу(д)тъ, непрѣданъ боудетъ оумъ.

Explic.: Млатвѣ похвала, не просто количество. нь кауство. и се тавлѧютъ вьшь(д) шеи вь стилице. нь и еже и вы ма'еще се не лихоглите и прѹда.

11. MS 468, Hilandar monastery, paper, 278 folia, end 14th – beginning of 15th century. Serbian orthography²⁴. F. 98r–107v: П(р)ѣпо(д)но(г) шїа н(а) шго нила по(с)тика главызни в млатвѣ. глава 5. Incip.: Иже хоци(т) блговонны димытамъ оустроити. ч(с)тноје ливана касије, и ониха, и стакти. равнѣ да сложить по закону. ѿа же соу(т) четворица добро(д)тамъ. аще во испльненїи и равны(и) боу(д)тъ непрѣданъ боудетъ оумъ.

Explic.: Млатвѣ похвала не просто количество нь кауство. и се тавлѧютъ вьшьдышен вь свѣтилице. нь и еже и вїи молеци се, не лихоглите и прѹда. Directly following the text and added in another hand (?) another work with incip.: Велика врѓжїа мльчечом(ъ) съ трпгвїемъ. въз(д)ръжанїе, и любовь. и млатва. и прочитание, with the right margin containing the note: д(а)л(с)їев(о), and after that: нилово.

12. MS 28, Austrian National Library, Vienna, paper, 544 folia, mid-15th century. Originates from Hilandar monastery, Raška orthography. F. 219r–239v: Нила постника главизны в млатвѣ. сто. и петьдесе(ть). Incip.: Иже хоцетъ блговон'ни дїмїа(м) оустроити. ч(с)тноје ливано и касије и ониха и стакти, равнѣ да сълоожить по закону. ѿа же соуть, четворица добродѣтеле(м). аще оубо испльнен'ни и равны боу(д)тъ, непрѣданъ боудетъ оумъ²⁵.

Explic.: Млатвѣ похвала, не просто количество, нь кауство. и се тавлѧю(т) вьшь(д) шеи вь стилице. нь и еже и вы ма'еще се не лихоглите и прѹда.

²³ Р. МАТЕЈИС, Н. ТHOMAS, *Manuscripts on Microform of the Hilandar Research Library (The Ohio State University). Catalog*, vol. I-II, Columbus 1992, p. 548; Д. Богдановић, *Каталог ћирилских рукописа манастира Хиландара*, vol. I, Београд 1978, p. 175: Нила Посника о молитви у 150 глав. The description wrongly registers a copy in MS 475 Hilandar, ca. 1320–1330, Raška orthography. 42b–50: Нила Постника 150. In fact, this is a *Stoslovens* (*Centuria de fide*) ascribed to the Patriarch of Constantinople, Genadios.

²⁴ Р. МАТЕЈИС, Н. ТHOMAS, *Manuscripts on Microform of the Hilandar Research Library...*, p. 558; Д. Богдановић, *Каталог ћирилских...*, p. 179: Нила Посника о молитви глави 150. W. VEDER, *Евагрий Понтийский О Молитве...* allows reconstruction of the photograph of the copy in Dečani 93 of manuscripts 456 and 468 from Chilandar, but they constitute another translation of the work.

²⁵ G. BIRKFELLNER, *Glagolitische und kyrillische Handschriften in Oesterreich*, Wien 1975 [= SB.LA, 23], p. 132–137.

13. MS 426 (31) National Library of Serbia, Belgrade (destroyed in World War II). Convolute. *Scala Paradisi* by John Sinaites, 15th–16th century. The main part dates from the 15th century. F. 407v–414r: Стаго нила постника главизны в м(л)твѣ. Incip.: Иже хоџет благовонный диміан оустроити честное ливано и касіа и ониха и стакти равнѣ да сложить по закону сїа же соут четворица добродѣтель²⁶.
14. MS 159, Romanian Academy Library, Bucharest, paper, 322 folia, end 14th – beginning of 15th century. Brought from Mount Athos by Paisios Velichkovsky (copyist Davud and others), Middle Bulgarian orthography. F. 115v–128v: Нила постника. главизны в м(л)твѣ рѣ. Incip.: Иже хоџе(т) благовонный диміан оустроити. честное ливано. и касіј. и ониха и стакти, равнѣ да съложи(т) по закону сїа же сѫ(т) четворица добродѣтельем...²⁷.
15. MS 315, Romanian Academy Library, Bucharest, paper, 257 folia, the second half of the 14th – 15th century, of Bulgarian provenance. Middle Bulgarian orthography. Convolute. F. 1r–140r: (without title or incipit) Нила постника. главизны в м(л)твѣ рѣ. Contains chapters 1–7, 12, 14, 17, 24–29, 33, 35–36, 43, 48–49, 53, 60–61, 80–88²⁸.
16. MS 165 (1720) Homilies of Dorotheos of Gaza with additions, 1414, Holy Trinity – St. Sergius Lavra, Russian State Library, Moscow. Two-jus, two-jer orthography. F. 236r–251r: Нила постника. главизны о мат(в)ѣ. Incip.: Иже хоџе(т) благовонный диміан оустроити. честное ливано и касіа и ониха и стакти, равнѣ да сложи(т) по закону, сїа же сѫ(т), четворица добродѣтель(м). аще во исполнены и равны вѣдѹть. непреданъ вѣде(т) оумъ.

Explic.: Матвѣ похвала. не просто колицство, но каѹство. и се іавлають вѣзше(д)ши въ стилище. но и еже и вы млаще са не лихоглите и прѡ(ч)а.

17. MS 116 (91) Interpretative apostolos with added articles, 15th century, Holy Trinity – St. Sergius Lavra, Russian State Library, Moscow. Two-jus, two-jer orthography. F.321v–330v: Нила по[стника] главизны о м(л)твѣ. Incip.: Иже хоџе(т) благовонный диміан оустроити, честное ливано и касіа и ониха и стакти. равнѣ да съложить по закону. сїа же сѫ(т), четворица добродѣтель, а(ще) во исполнены и равны вѣдѹть. непреданъ вѣдѹть оумъ.

No explic.: [П]ѣниє оубо, стр(с)ти оуталѧе(т). и растворенїє тѣлесное без'малъв'ствовати съдѣловажть. матвѣ же дѣ... (incomplete).

²⁶ Ј. Стојановић, Каталог Народне библиотеке у Београду, Београд 1982, p. 174–179.

²⁷ I.-R. MIRCEA, P. BOIČHEVA, S. TODOROVA, Répertoire des manuscrits slaves en Roumanie. Auteurs byzantines et slaves, Sofia 2005, p. 141; P.P. PANAITESCU, Manuscrisle slave din Biblioteca Academiei R.P.R., vol. I, Bucureşti 1959, p. 236–237.

²⁸ I.-R. MIRCEA, P. BOIČHEVA, S. TODOROVA, Répertoire des manuscrits slaves en Roumanie..., p. 141; P.P. PANAITESCU, Catalogul manuscriselor slavo-române și slave din Biblioteca Academiei Române, vol. II, ed. D.-L. ARAMĂ, G. MIHAILĂ, G. ŠTREMPPEL, Bucureşti 2003, p. 66–71.

18. MS 167 (1673) *Scala Paradisi* by John Sinaites and homilies of Dorotheos of Gaza with additions, 1423, Holy Trinity – St. Sergius Lavra, Russian State Library, Moscow. F. 515r–527v: Нила постника главизны в м(а)твѣ. гла(в)а. Incip.: Иже хоцеть блговнъни диміанъ оустроити. ү(с)тное ливано и касїа и шниха и стакти, равнѣ да сложить по законоу, сїа же соуть, үтворица добродѣтел(м). аще бо исполнены и равны боудоуть.

Explic. (written partly in the right margin): Матвѣ похвала. не просто коли(ч)ество. но и се юавлѧю(т) възш(д)ши въ стилище. но и еже и вы млаще (с) не лихоглите и прѹада.

19. MS 183 (1675) *Scala Paradisi* by John Sinaites and homilies of Symeon the New Theologian with additions, 14th–15th century. Holy Trinity – St. Sergius Lavra, Russian State Library, Moscow. F. 332v–343r: Стго нила постника. главизны в м(а). Incip.: Иже хоцє(т) блговоннии димианъ оустроити, ү(с)тное ливано и касїа и шниха и стакти равнѣ да сложи(т) по законоу. сиа же соу(т) үтворица добродѣтел(м). аще бо исполнены боудоут(т), непреданъ боудет(т) оумъ.

Explic.: Матвѣ похвала. не просто коли(ч)ество, но каѹство. и се юавлѧютъ възш(д)ши въ стилище. но и еже и вы млаще са не лихоглите и прѹада.

20. MS 756 (1637) Miscellany, 15th century. Holy Trinity – St. Sergius Lavra, Russian State Library, Moscow. F. 89r–103r: Нила постника. главизны в м(а)твѣ. Incip.: Иже хоцеть блговоннии фиміанъ оустроити. ү(с)тное ливано и касїа и шниха и стакти. равнѣ да сложить по законоу, сїа же соуть, үтворица добродѣтелемъ. аще бо исполнены(и) и равны боудоутъ. непрѣданъ боудетъ оумъ.

Explic.: Матвѣ похвала. не прѡстѡ каѹиѹсътво. нк каѹство. и се юавлѧютъ възш(д)ши въ стилище. но и еже и вы млаще са не лихоглите и прѹада.

21. MS 1054, Pogodin Collection, Russian National Library, St. Petersburg. *Scala Paradisi* by John Sinaites and homilies of Dorotheos of Gaza, mid-14th century and the beginning of the 15th century. Tärnovo two-jus, two-jer orthography²⁹. Of Bulgarian provenance up to f. 327, from there on the text is written by a Russian scribe who used a Middle Bulgarian source. F. 343r–344v: missing incipit and folia until chapter Ще ѿс – Любан єа тѡмъ яко шїд пр(с)но съвседде(т). ювраа(ци) в'єко помышленїе кр(с)тно.

Explic.: рн. М(а)твѣ похвала, не прѡстѡ коли(ч)ество, нж каѹство. и се юавлѧет(т) възш(д)ши и въ стилище. нж и еже и вы млаще са не лихоглите и прѹада:–

22. MS 49, Homilies of Symeon the New Theologian, Moscow Theological Academy, Russian State Library, Moscow, end-14th – beginning of 15th century,

²⁹ К. ИВАНОВА, *Български, сръбски и молдо-влахийски кирилски ръкописи в сбирката на М.П. Погодин*, София 1981, р. 308–325.

parchment, 245 folia. Of Russian provenance with traces of Middle Bulgarian protograph. F. 126r–138v. Нила постника главизны о молитвѣ. Incip.: Иже хоцеть блговонный диміанъ оустроит ү(с)тное лівано и касіа и шниха и стакти, равнъ да сложить по закону, сїа же соуть, четворица добродѣтвлемъ. аще во испльненый равны боудоуть— непрѣданъ боудеть оумъ³⁰.

Explic.: Млтвѣ похвала. не просто количство, но качество, и се іавлѧютъ възшес(д)ше и въ стилице. но и еже и вы молаще са не лихоглите и прѹада:—

23. MS 1044, Pogodin Collection, Russian National Library, Moscow. From the beginning of the 15th century. One- jer Serbian orthography. F. 149r–165v: Нила постника гла[в]зни в молитвѣ. Иже хоцеть, блговонныї диміанъ оустроити, үстное лівано касіе, и шниха и стакти, равнъ да съложить по закону, сїа же соуть четво-рица добродѣтвлемъ, аще испльненый равны бъдууть, непрѣданъ бъдуть оумъ³¹.

24. MS 323, Mazurin (196), Russian State Archive, Moscow, first quarter of 15th century. F. 429v–443, f. 429v–443: Главизны в млтвѣ. Иже хоце(т) блг-вонный думіанъ оустроити³².

25. MS 269 (1134/1244), Solovetsky Collection, Russian National Library, St. Petersburg, second half of the 15th century³³. Catechetical sermons of Theodore the Studite³⁴. F. 110–120v: Прг(д)на(г) оца наше(г) нила в млтвѣ главизна рїг. Incip.: Иже хоце(т) блг(о)ванный (!) темъанъ творити. то ү(с)тыи ливанъ и касію и онðхъ. и стакти. ү(с)тно сложить по закону си(ж) сдѣть четырѣство добронравіемъ. аще во испольнъ и равно бъду(т). да непреданъ бъде(т) оумъ.

Explic.: Млтвѣ похвала не просто количство. но какоъство и се іавлають. възходивши въ цркви и вы молаще(с) бъслвте и прѹада. ёлма же въ помыслѣ еси тѣлесне(м). и оумъ ти стѣнъя обходити красоты. нѣ си(не) (sic!) въ млтвѣ видѣль мѣста. но и еже далече блжнъ сѧ пѣть есть. єгда престоа въ млтвѣ. па(у) всакоа ра(до)сти сиса бываши. тог(д)а въ истину ѿбрѣте млтвѣ. The final formula is missing, what follows is a text from another text about the spiritual vices.

³⁰ Леонид архим (Л.А. Кавелин), *Сведение о славянских рукописях, поступивших из книгохранилища св. Троицкой Сергиевой лавры в библиотек, Троицкой Духовной семинарии в 1747 году. Ныне находящихся в библиотеке Московской Духовной Академии*, Москва 1887, р. 5–7 (№ 3).

³¹ К. Иванова, *Български, срѣбъски и молдо-влахийски...,* р. 374–381.

³² И.Л. Жучкова, Л.В. Мошкова, А.А. Турилов, *Каталог славяно-русских рукописных книг XV века хранящихся в РГАДА*, Москва 2000, р. 214–218. I had no access to the manuscript.

³³ [И.Я. Порфириев, А.В. Вадковский, Н.Ф. Красносельцев], *Описание рукописей Соловецкого монастыря, находящихся в библиотеке Казанской Духовной Академии*, Казань 1881, р. 409–419.

³⁴ Д.С. Ищенко, *Огласительные поучения Феодора Студита в Византии и у славян*, ВВ 40, 1979, р. 164.

26. MS 1320, Sofia Collection, Russian National Library, St. Petersburg. Russian. Great Reading Menaion, February, 16th century. F. 234r–238г: Нила постника. глави(з)ны в мѣтвѣ. Incip.: Иже хощеть блговонъи фиміанъ оустроити. честное ливано и каства и шниха и стакти. равнѣ да сложить по закону, сїа же суть. четверица добродѣтелемъ. аще бо исполнены правны вѣдѣть. непреданъ вѣдѣть оумъ.
27. MS 189 (1613) Theological miscellany, end-16th–17th century. Holy Trinity – St. Sergius Lavra, Russian State Library, Moscow. F. 270r–278г: Нила постника. главизны в мѣтвѣ. Incip.: Иже хощеть блговонъи фиміанъ оустроити. честное ливана и каства и шниха и стакти. равнѣ да сложить по закону, сїа же суть, четверица добродѣтелемъ. аще бо исполнены и равны вѣдѣть. непреданъ вѣдѣть оумъ.
- On f. 614r–617v: the same redaction, without title. Incip.: Иже хощеть блговонъи фиміанъ оустроити. честное ливана и каства и шниха и стакти. равнѣ да сложить по закону, сїа же суть, четверица добродѣтелемъ. аще бо исполнены и равны вѣдѣть. непреданъ вѣдѣть оумъ.
28. MS 297 (597), Solovetsky Collection, Russian National Library, St. Petersburg, 16th–17th century³⁵. Homilies of Nil Sorsky with added works. Among these: f. 367–373: Глava al. Нила постника главизны о мѣтвѣ. The same anthology in MS 298 (598), 17th century and 299(599), end-18th century.
29. MS 398 (85), Solovetsky Collection, Russian National Library, St. Petersburg, 16th–17th century³⁶. Collection named ‘Glavnik’ (ascetic miscellany composed of chapters). F. 149–163v: Нила постника главизны о мѣтвѣ. The description notes that the copy is the same as in No. 276.
30. MS 276 (797), Solovetsky Collection, Russian National Library, St. Petersburg, 17th century³⁷. Gregory of Sinai et al., ascetic works. F. 227–252: Нила постника главизны о молитвѣ. Incip.: Иже хощетъ благовонъи фиміанъ оустроити.
31. MS 406 (471), Solovetsky Collection, Russian National Library, St. Petersburg, 17th century³⁸. Collection named ‘Koinobion’ (ascetic miscellany). Cursive. F. 2506–265: Преп. отца нашего Нила постника главы о молитвѣ. The description notes that the copy is the same as the one in No. 276.

In this listing, I do not include a copy in a 15th century manuscript from the State Humanitarian and Pedagogical University “K.D. Ushinskiy” in Perm

³⁵ [И.Я. ПОРФИРЬЕВ, А.В. ВАДКОВСКИЙ, Н.Ф. КРАСНОСЕЛЬЦЕВ], *Описание рукописей Соловецкого монастыря...*, p. 461–463.

³⁶ *Ibidem*, p. 463.

³⁷ *Ibidem*, p. 463–464.

³⁸ *Ibidem*, p. 743–745.

(Russia), of Bulgarian provenance³⁹, regrettably lost today⁴⁰. The manuscript is a quarto, 442 folia, written in schooled semi-uncial, incipit missing. Middle Bulgarian orthography – two-*jer*, two-*jus* (with typical mixing of nasals); contains the homilies of Isaac of Nineveh (f. 2–396) and other articles, among which a copy of *On Prayer* by Neilos of Ancyra (414r–428v), the work of Patriarch Germanos I (634–733) *The kindest poems to the tearful guilt* (*Смиси добреийши къ вине слъзней*) (f. 428v–430), as well as Evagrios' *Of the eight spirits of wickedness* (430v–433r) again with the name of Neilos of Ancyra. Then come excerpts from chapters of Abba Isaiah and Abba Mark (f. 433–442v) probably from the *Apophthegmata Patrum*. The copies of *On Prayer* and *Of the eight spirits of wickedness* deserve special attention in respect to the chronology of the translation of the text, as they are among the earliest as regards the overall content. *The kindest poems* are known in Slavic copies from the beginning of the 14th century, the language and style of the translation indicating ascetic literature related to Hesychasm. Parchment miscellany No. 49 from the Moscow Theological Academy Collection, Russian State Library (here No. 21) is close in content with this manuscript book. A subsequent publication of N.S. Demkova and I.P. Medvedev⁴¹ follows the Slavic tradition of the work of Patriarch Germanos I in detail, the commentary remarking on the value of the Perm manuscript. In the 15th century, it belonged to an educated family and later fell into the hands of Ural peasants. Interestingly, 19th-century marginal notes call the book an 'adviser': *Светникъ книга. Хорошо. Сия книга Съветникъ, деревни Неверова*⁴².

Slavic translations and versions

The copies listed above can be grouped into two translations – there are differences both in the title of the work and in its content. The title in the earliest recorded sample in MS Dečani 93 and the copies of the first translation (hereinafter A) is the following: *Прп(д)бнаго оца нашего ииля о матвѣ*, or in more detail: *Прп(д)бнаго оца ииля о матвѣ. главицинъ. рнг.* A version with editorial changes is observed in MS 80 (Ryapov miscellany), Archive of Bulgarian Academy in Sofia, MS 1036, National Library in Sofia: *Прп(д)бнаго ѿца нашего ииля въ матвѣ, главы, рнв; Пртвп(д)бнаго ѿца нашего ииля въ м(л)твѣ главы ѕ и йв*, and in the MS 2 from State Archive

³⁹ Н.С. ДЕМКОВА, С.А. СЕМЯЧКО, “Смиси добреийши” патриарха Германа в южнославянской рукописи середины XV в. из собрания Пермского педагогического института, [in:] Грузинская и русская средневековые литературы, Тбилиси 1992, p. 93–99.

⁴⁰ The researchers worked with the manuscript in 1984, they dated it according to watermarks and underscored its great value. It disappeared after 1986. I am exceedingly grateful to Svetlana Semyachko for the information about this manuscript, as well as for providing access to the publication.

⁴¹ Н.С. ДЕМКОВА, И.П. МЕДВЕДЕВ, «Смиси добреийши къ винъ слъзней» византийского патриарха Германа (VIII в.) в славянских и древнерусских рукописях, Psl 10.1, 2002, p. 36–53.

⁴² Н.С. ДЕМКОВА, С.А. СЕМЯЧКО, “Смиси добреийши” патриарха Германа..., p. 95.

of Republic Moldova. In the copies of the second translation (hereinafter B) the title almost everywhere includes the definition “hermit” – see, for example, the record in MS Vienna 28: **Нила пштника главизны в мѣтвѣ. сто. и пѣтьдесе(ть),** with variant: **Нила постника. глави(з)ны в мѣтвѣ.**

The incipit is distinguished with differences that indicate a different Greek source for the two translations:

	Dečani 93	Hamilton	ABAS 80	NL 1036	Hilferding 47	Vienna 28
	ПРИБІНГО ОЦА НАШЕГО НІЛА О МАТВѢ.	Пріп(д)внаго оця нила. о матвѣ гла- визи(з)р. и н.	Пріп(д) внаго вѣца нашего нила. о матвѣ, глазъ, рѣ.	Пріп(д)внаго вѣца нашего нила. о матвѣ глазъ. и н.	Нила постника. главизны о матвѣ.	НИЛА ПШТНИКА ГЛАВИЗНЫ о матвѣ. сто. и пѣтьдесе(ть)
А'. Еї тїс бѡўлого єѡн- дес ѡѹміаца скенáса, тѡн диафакнї ліб- нон, каї тїх кассіон каї тѡн ѿнху, каї тїх стактн էїсіон сунѹт- сеи катà тѡн нѹмов. таїта дё ёстїн ї тे- трас тѡн аре- тѡн. єан ўѓр плпрестатат, каї ѹсаи ту- ханѡтін, оў продобїстета о воѹс.	Иже хоциеть благовоньыи тъмъланъ творити. то <u>чистыи ли- ванъ и касна</u> и ону- и ону- и стакти тъгъуно сложить по закону. си жи (<u>sic!</u>) соуть честырство доброправъемъ. аще бо испла- ни и равна боудеть. то непреданъ боудеть оумъ.	Иже хоциеть благовонъи тъмъланъ творити. то <u>чистыи ли- ванъ и касна</u> и смѣрна, и стакти. тъгъ- уно въложить по закону. си же соуть честыре доброправъ- ниль, аще бо исполнъ и рав- на будуть. то непреданъ будеть оумъ.	Иже хоциеть благовонъи димъланъ творити. тѡ <u>чистыи ли- ванъ и касна</u> , и смѣрна, и стакти, тъгъуно въло- жить по закону. си же сѫтъ, честыре доброправъ- ниль, аще бо исполнъ и рав- на будуть, непрѣ- данъ въдѣтъ) оумъ.	Иже хоциеть благовонъи димъланъ остроити. <u>чес-</u> <u>тие ливано.</u> и каснѣ и ону- ха. и стакти, равнъ да сълоожить по закону. сїа же сѫтъ, честы- ре ворица до- броправъемъ. аще бо испла- ни и равны въдѣтъ, непрѣданъ въдѣтъ) оумъ.	Иже хоциеть благовонъи димъланъ остроити. <u>чес-</u> <u>тие ливано.</u> и каснѣ и ону- ха. и стакти, равнъ да сълоожить по закону: сиа же соуть, честорица до- броправъемъ.	Иже хоциеть благовонъи димъланъ остроити. <u>чес-</u> <u>тие ливано.</u> и каснѣ и ону- ха. и стакти, равнъ да сълоожить по закону: сиа же соуть, честорица до- броправъемъ.

The volume of the chapters is different: in the first translation, they are 153 (sometimes the last chapter is presented in the form of a conclusion), while in the second translation the chapters number 150 and there is no conclusion.

The differences between the two groups of witnesses can be described as follows:

Translation A was made in the earliest period of Old Bulgarian literature, probably at the end of the 9th – beginning of the 10th century. In the 12th and 13th centuries, the translation was attested in Russian manuscripts, with the following witnesses: Decani 93, Khludov 10d (253), Synod 644, Hamilton 381 and Solov 269 (1134/1244). The text, published after a Russian copy in MS Dečani 93 by W. Veder, preserves, according to his research, traces of a Glagolitic prototype. The language is characterized by several ancient features, about which even A.V. Gorsky and K.I. Nevostruev, describing Synod. 644 (ascetic works of Maximos the Confessor,

Theodore of Edessa and Neilos of Ancyra, as well as *Enchiridion* by Epiktetos⁴³), they underscored the following: *рассматриваемая рукопись сохранила даже некоторые выражения в древнейшем виде, нежели Изборник* [the manuscript in question even preserved some expressions in the oldest form, as if coming from the Izbornik] (p. 284). The authors dwell on the following peculiarities of the archaic translation: ἀρετὴ is translated by **доброправие**; ἐνάρετος – **доброправныи** (такта δέ έστιν ἡ τετράς τῶν ἀρετῶν· – сиа же соуть, честворица добродѣтеліе(м).); θυσιαστή-ριον – **требникуз**; ἐγκαλεῖν – **поимы творити**; πάντως, παντελῶς – **въхма, въшиж** and others.

In translation A, after analysis, a branch is found with additional editorial changes in two Middle Bulgarian manuscripts: NL 1036, ABAS 80 (Ryapov miscellany) and one Slavic-Romanian MS 2 (old 13 /29/), State Archive of the Republic of Moldova. Examples will be discussed below. Solov from the 15th century. 269 (1134/1244) is an interesting case, as it retains archaic features characteristic only of Decani 93; but at the end there is another text in the form of questions and answers, contaminated without a separate title⁴⁴.

Translation B was made at the beginning of the 14th century in Bulgaria or a Bulgarian environment on Mount Athos. It includes the following manuscripts: Hilandar 456, Kiiv 151, Gilferding 47, Moscow Theological Academy 49, MS from the State Humanitarian and Pedagogical University in Perm (today lost), Hilandar 468, Vienna 28, Belgrade 426 (31), Bucharest 159, Bucharest 315, Trinity-Sergius Lavra 116 (91), Trinity-Sergius Lavra 165 (1720), Trinity-Sergius Lavra 167 (1673), Trinity-Sergius Lavra 183 (1675), Trinity-Sergius Lavra 189 (1613) (two copies), Trinity-Sergius Lavra 756 (1637), Pogodin 1054, Pogodin 1044, Mazurin 323, Solov. 276 (797), Solov. 297 (597), Solov. 298 (598), Solov. 299 (599), Solov. 398 (85), Solov. 406 (471), Sof. 1320 (Great Reading Menaion). The language of translation B follows all distinctive features of the Middle Bulgarian period. There are differences in comparison with translation A regarding specifics of grammar and lexis, while changes in respect to composition are minimal.

Some typical differences in the tradition of the text can be illustrated with the following examples⁴⁵:

⁴³ Д.М. Булянин, *Античные традиции в древнерусской литературе XI–XVI вв.*, München 1991 [= SBe, 278], p. 96–137 (research), 301–327 (publication).

⁴⁴ I am very grateful to Zhana Levshina for her help with access to this manuscript.

⁴⁵ I use the Greek text according to *Patrologiae cursus completus, Series graeca*, vol. LXXIX, ed. J.-P. MIGNE, Paris 1865, col. 1165–1200. *Thesaurus Linguae Graecae*® (TLG®), <http://stephanus.tlg.uci.edu/Iris/Cite?4110:024:42799> [25 II 2021]. The numbering of the wise saying differs in the translations, as well as in the individual copies, for this reason I quote it according to the Greek text. In the parallels translation A follows the text of Dečani 93, redaction of A – NL 1036, and translation B – Vienna 28.

Н'. Μὴ οὖν εἰς πάθος τρέψης τὸ τῶν παθῶν ἀλέξημα, ἵνα μὴ πλέον παροργίσῃς τὸν δεδωκότα τὴν χάριν.

Translation A: **не възвратноу-боу на стъреть страстногу ѧбѹбу да не пауе прог(н)аваеши да-вашаго елѓдть.**

Redaction: **не възврати са оубо на страстниа врачбы. да не прогнїваеши пауе давшадаго.**

Translation B: **да не оубо на стъреть въратише страстемъ врачевание. иако да не множате прогнїваеши давшаго ти ба(д)утъ.**

ІВ'. Ήνίκα ἄν ἀπαντήσει σοι πειρασμὸς, ἢ ἀντιλογία, ἢ διερεθίσῃ πρὸς τὴν δι' ἐναντίας ἅμυνα κινῆσαι θυμὸν, η τινὰ ἀσημὸν ρήξαι φωνήν, μνήσθητι τῆς προσευχῆς καὶ τοῦ κατ' αὐτὴν κριματος· καὶ εὐθέως ἡ ἐν σοὶ ἀτακτὸς κίνησις ἥρεμήσει.

Translation A: **Егда срацнет та напасть или ънвѣщаніе. или дражи или къ противникоу врань. двигнути аростъ или нѣкиси изврѣци гѣсъ. помани мѣтвѹ. и еже неосоужение. и**

абиє соѫщее въ тебѣ. бесчинное движение оумлъкнетъ.

Redaction: **Егда срацнет та напасть или ънвѣщаніе. или раздраженіе, или къ противникоу врань двигнѣти ѹарусть, или нѣкиси, изврѣци гла(с). помани мѣтвѹ. и еже въ неи всаж(д)еніе. и абиє скїщее въ тебѣ бесчинное движение, оумлъкнетъ.**

Translation B: **Вънегда аще прїдете^т ти икоуожение или прѣѣканіе. или раздражале^(т) къ съпротивномоу ънмъщающоу подвигнути ѹаро^(с). или нѣкисе бесчиннъ реци слово. помени мѣтвѹ и юже въ неи соѫдбоу. и абиє же въ тебѣ бесчиннъ подвижение оугтиши^(т) се.**

ІІ'. “Οσα ἄν ποιήσῃς πρὸς ἅμυναν ἀδελφοῦ ἡδικηκότος (42) σε, ἀπαντα εἰς σκάνδαλον σοι γενήσεται ἐν καιρῷ προσευχῆς

Translation A: **Елико же аще твориши на соуправное (!) братоу по обидѣвшомоу та. все то на влазинъ ти боудеть въ (в)ремя мѣтвѹ.**

Redaction: **Елико аще сътвориши на съпротивие братъ прѣобидѣвшоу та. всес та на съблазнъ ти вѣдѣтъ. въ врѣма мѣтвѹ.**

Translation B: **Елико аще сътвориши къ ънмъщению братоу въидѣвшомуу та, все не на добро тебѣ боудуетъ въ врѣме мѣтвѹ.**

ІЕ'. Прозеухъ єсті χарасъ кай εўхаристіасъ прόблъема.

І҃'. Прозеухъ єсті лўпъцъ кай атхуміасъ альеъзъма.

Translation A: **Мѣтвѧ есть пеуали и оунъинна л(в)уба. Мѣтвѧ есть радости и в(л)гдти податель.**

Redaction: **Мѣтвѧ е(c), радости, и влѓдѣти подател. Мѣтвѧ е(c), оунъиню, и пеуали ѧбѹба.**

Translation B: **Мѣтвѧ єстъ радости влѓдѣренія гананіе. Мѣтвѧ єстъ пеуали и скръби прѣмѣниеніе**

ІИ'. Еи βούλει ἐπαινετῶς προσεύχεσθαι, ἄρνησαι ἑαυτὸν καθ' ὠραν, καὶ πάμπολα δεινὰ πά-μπολα ὑπὲρ προσευχῆς φιλοσόφει.

Translation A: **Аще хощеши похвалнѣ молити са. ънвързи са сеbe на все часы (Лк 9:23) и много здо сѣрдца въ мѣтвѣ прѣбываи.**

Redaction: **Аще хощеши бесперѣланѣ молити са. ънвързи са сеbe на всяка часы. и много въ здо сѣрдца, трупи прѣбываи въ мѣтвѣ.**

Translation B: Αἰψε χοιρεῖς ποχβαλήνε πομῆτη σε, ὄντες σε σέβε να κάждο γας. Ι πρέμνωγαλ λιοταλ στράζε ω ματέβε λιοβομογάρυστεογι.

ΚΔ'....πάστη μηχανή χρῆσαι πρὸς τὸ μὴ ρῆσαι θυμόν

Превод А: Κδ въсъмь оубо. запън(е)немъ държи са не испоустити гнъбва

Redaction: йг въсъмь оубо запън(е)немъ (!) държи са не испоустити гнъбва

Превод Б: єι всакъ оубо къзънъ сътвори иеже (не)изнести гаростъ

Λξ'. Εἰ προσεύξασθαι ποθεῖς, ἀπόταξαι τοῖς σύμπασιν, ἵνα τὸ πᾶν κληρονομήσῃς

Translation A: Αἰψε μολити са χοιρεши отъвръзи са всакъхъ да все прирастиси.

Redaction: Αἰψε μολити са χοιρεши. ὄντες са всесего, да все наслѣдиши.

Translation B: Αἰψε μάλιτη се желаети, ὄντци се всадъръскии(х) яко да все наслѣди(ш).

MZ'. "Οταν πολλὰ ποιήσας ὁ πονηρότατος δαίμων

Translation A: Εγδα много сътворивъ· пронъривъи бъсъ

Redaction: Егда много сътворитъ пронъривъи бъсъ.

Translation B: Егда многа сътворивъ лоукавнъиши бъсъ

ΜΘ'. ἡ περὶ πνευματικῆς προσευχῆς λίαν γὰρ πολέμιος αὐτοῖς ἐστι

Translation A: ή ο ΔѢХВНБИ МАТВЕ. зъло бо супостатъ имъ есть. и на таготу

Redaction: иж в дхбнби матв. зъло бо съпостатъ намъ есть на таготъ.

Translation B: развѣ в дхбнби матв. зъло бо ратникъ тѣмъ есть и ненавистъ на.

ΝΑ'. Τὰς ἀρετὰς μετερχόμεθα διὰ τοὺς λόγους τῶν γεγονότων, καὶ τούτους διὰ τὸν οὐσιώσα-
ντα Κύριον, οὗτος δὲ ἐν τῇ καταστάσει τῆς προσευχῆς ἀναφάίνεσθαι εἴωθε.

Translation A: Доброправна проходимъ словесъ ради възванихъ и соуцистровавшаго дѣла єа
съ же въ съвръшении молитевъ авлати са обгъдан имат

Redaction: Доброправя проходимъ, словесъ дѣлѣ бывши(х). несѫщъствовавшаго дѣлѣ єа. съи
же обгъдан съвръшении ми(ли)твъ, явити са иматъ.

Translation B: Добротѣвли проходи(м), ради словесъ бывши(х), и си(х), ради соуцистъвънаго
словесе. Сие же въ оустроении матвънъмъ обгъдалати се обгъде.

РЛВ'. Όμηρενέτωσάν σοι αἱ σωματικαὶ ἀρεταὶ πρὸς τὰς ψυχικὰς, καὶ αἱ ψυχικαὶ πρὸς τὰς
πνευματικάς. Καὶ αὗται πρὸς τὴν ἄյλον γνῶσιν.

Translation A: Да таңдють. телесынаа бѣгнравиа· къ дішевныимъ· и сиа къ чистомъ· и соуцистъвномоу разоумоу.

Redaction: Да та задаватъ тѣлеснаа доброправя, къ дішевныимъ. и сиа къ чистомъ, и сѫщъст-
вномоу разоумоу.

Translation B: Да не паретъти тѣлесныи добротѣвли, къ дішевныимъ. и дішевъние къ дхбнныимъ.
и дхбнныи паисы къ неуцистъвномъ разоумоу.

PM'. Μή παραιτοῦ τοὺς κναφεῖς· εἰ γὰρ καὶ τύπτουσι πατοῦντες, καὶ τείνοντες ξαίνουσι, ἀλλά γε διὰ τούτων λαμπρὰ ἡ αἴσθησίς σου γίνεται.

Translation A: Νέ εὐζημνήσα οτζ εβλανιλνικζ· αἰψε βο βιούτε περογψε· и протажоуψе гребоуть. нъ сиχз дѣла свѣтъла риза твоя. εὐιβαετъ.

Redaction: Νέ εὐζημνα са Ѹ εβλанлиникз. αἰψε бв вијкту перожпс. и протажажпс вїјкту. нж си(х) дѣла (sic!) свѣтъла риза твоя бываеетъ.

Translation B: Νέ Ѹрицаи се περογψи(х). αἰψε βο βιούτε περογψе, и протежоуψе строужжеть, нъ оуго си(х) ради свѣтъла ѿдежда твоя бываеетъ.

PMZ'. Εἰ τὸν μετὰ δώρου προσελθόντα ἐπὶ τὸ θυσιαστήριον οὐκ ἔδέξατο, ὁ ἀνενδεῆς, καὶ ἀδέκαστος ἔως τοῦριον οὐκ ἔδέξατο, ὁ ἀνενδεῆς, καὶ ἀδέκαστος ἔως τοῦ διαλλαγῆναι τῷ πλησίον λυπουμένω πρὸς αὐτὸν, σκόπει πόσης φυλακῆς καὶ διακρίσεως χρεία, ἵνα εὐπρόσδεκτον δῶμεν τῷ Θεῷ θυμίᾳμα ἐν τῷ νοητῷ θυσιαστηρίῳ.

Translation A: Αἰψε съ даромъ приходацааго къ требынику не приа. обианги (sic!) и везмъ-дныни. дондеже съмириТЬ са. съ ближнинъимъ. опеуальниимъ съмотри колика хранини и расужде-ниа потрева есть. да блгоприатиенъ дамы бѹи тъмъланъ. на разоумнии требыкъ. (sic!)

Redaction: Аиψе съ даромъ при(х)одицааго къ жрътвенику не приинциаъ. и без' мъздни. дондеже съмириТЬ са съ ближнинъимъ. съмиштри колико хранини, и расожж(д)енія потрева е(с). да блгоприатиенъ дамы бѹи на разоумнии ѿлтаръ.

Translation B: Аиψе иже съ даромъ прииш(д)шаго къ жрътв'нику не прие(т) не тръбовуен ииу'— тоже и не мъздо прииемни, дон' деже съмирити се искрын'иемоу пеуалогююю на нъ. съмотри коли-коу хранинию потрева и разоумж(д)енію, тако да блгоприет'ни боуде(м) бви кадило приносцеие на мыслни жрътвеникъ.

The end of the work in both translations reads in the following way:

PNA'. Προσευχῆς ἔπαινος, οὐχ ἀπλῶς ἡ ποσότης, ἀλλ' ἡ ποιότης, καὶ τοῦτο δηλοῦσιν οἱ ἀναβάντες εἰς τὸ ἱερὸν, καὶ τὸ, «Үμεῖς προσευχόμενοι, μὴ βαττολογήστε» καὶ τὰ ἔξῆς.

PNB'. Еф' ѿсон тѣ аналогија просењеи тој сѡматоц, кај ѿ νојс сон та тїс скїнїц пеијеи тेरпна, оудѣпо тїс просењеи єѡраакас тóпон. јллѧ ма- краи апѡ сон ѡ макаріа таутїс ѡдѡс тууҗанеи.

PNГ'. Отан паристаменос єис просењи ѿпера пâсан ѡллїн ҳаран генї, тóте ѡллїтѡс єўрї-кас просењи.

Translation A

Мо(л)тевѣ похвала. не просто колиѹство. нъ какоѹство. и се авлаєть. въходивши въ цркви. и вы молаџе са не блгословите (sic!) и прогее. и прогее. Ѱелма же въ помыслаѣ си тѣлеснѣмъ. и оумъ ти стѣнныиа объходитъ красоты. нѣви не оу млтвѣ видѣла мѣста. нъ и еце далеуге бл-жены еа. пжть есть. егда престоа въ млтвѣ. паже всако а frosti иноа бывааше. тѣгда въ истину ѿбрѣте молитвоу. (Dečani 93)

Ҧ. О млтвѣ похвала. не просто колиѹство. но какоѹство. и се іавлајути въходивши въ цркви и вы молаџе са не бладословите. и прогеи. Ҧ. Ѱелма же въ помыслаѣ си телеснѣмъ. и оумъ ти стѣнныиа объходитъ красоты. не си оу же млтвѣ видѣла мѣст(а) но и еце далеуге блжнии юа путь есть. Ҧ. егда престоа въ молитвѣ паже всакоа радости иноа бывааше. тогда во истину ѿбрѣте молитву. (Hamilton 381)

Redaction of translation A

Рн. Млтвъ похвалила е(с) не просто колицъство. нж ко^слиуь sic! встѣво⁴⁶ и се юавлѧйтъ въходащем въ црквъ. и вы не бладословите молаще се, и проуе.

Рн. Елма же еси въ помыслѣ тѣлеснѣмъ, и оуми ти юехъдигъ стѣнныя красоты. не очеси очевѣдѣль мѣста матвныя красоты. нж и еще далече е(с) пожть еж блаженныи.

Рнв. Егда прѣ(д)стоши въ матвѣ. пауе иниж вѣскыя радости бываши, тѡгда въ истинѣ юбрѣте матвѣ. славащи ѿца и сна и стго джда. нинѣ и прино и въ вѣкы вѣкомъ а(м). (NL 1036)

Translation B

Рн. Млтвъ похвалила, не просто колицъство, нь каѹство. и се юавлѧетъ въшъ(д)ши въ стїлицие. нь и єже и вы млѣще се не лихоглите и проуада. (Vienna 28)

Млтвѣ похвалила, не простѡ колицъство, нь каѹство. и се юавлѧеть възъшъ(д)ши въ стїлицие. нь и єже и вы молаще са не лихоглите и проуада боу же нашемоу слава въ вѣкы вѣкѡ(м), аминъ:- (Hilferding 47)

Рн. Млтвѣ похвалила, не простѡ коли(у)ство, нж каѹство. и се юавлѧетъ възъшъ(д)ши и въ стїлицие. нж и єже и вы молаще са не лихоглите и проуада. (Pogodin 1054)

The copies of the early translation A are not numerous and, as I have shown, have reached us mainly in Russian manuscripts whose contents are connected with either the *Scete Paterikon* (Dečani 93) or with the so-called *Menaion Izbornik*, introduced to science by D.M. Bulanin who studied it in depth (Hludov 10d, State Historical Museum, Moscow and the fragment Nikolskiy 323, Library of Russian Academy, St. Petersburg, 13th century)⁴⁷. Without any doubt, the translation of *On Prayer* corresponds to the tradition of 9th–10th century Old Church Slavonic texts. Compared with the tradition of the 14th century, it underwent development only in the two Middle Bulgarian manuscripts NL 1036 and ABAS 80 (Ryapov miscellany), and in one Slavonic Romanian MS 2, State Archive of the Republic of Moldova, so far not introduced in academic circulation. In most cases, they preserve the archaic readings, but along with that (as seen from the examples above) some new translations of Greek lexemes are introduced, as well as corrections of the archaic text, for example:

- ονουχъ in translation A, the Gk. ὄνυξ, ó⁴⁸ in the 14th-century redaction is substituted for смѣра, and the entire phrase from the Old Testament quotation (Sir 24: 17–18, Exod 30: 34) sounds in the following manner: то чистыи ливанъ, и касіа, и смѣра, и стаксти.

⁴⁶ The syllable лиуь is superscribed above the word between the row, in an attempt to correct the word каکовъство which the scribe could not understand.

⁴⁷ Д.М. БУЛАНИН, Реконструкция древнерусского сборника..., р. 342–345; ИДЕМ, Античные традиции..., р. 132.

⁴⁸ One of the components of holy frankincense burnt only in the sanctuary.

- Четыре в слове доброправьемъ in translation A, the Gk. ἡ τετρὰς τῶν ἀρετῶν is substituted for the combination четыре добри нрави, while everywhere in translation B ἀρετή, ἡ it is translated with доброта and the derivatives of this lexeme (see above).
- At places, the 14th-century redaction has restored early forms in comparison with the early Russian copies. For example, instead of ради it introduces дѣла, but elsewhere the text has not been understood;
- In separate cases, in the South Slavic copies of translation B (also at places in the redaction of translation A) lexemes from the early Russian copies are substituted for older lexemes, as for example: Молацю ти са въ лѣпотоу. сица ти са сърациоутъ веци да мниши праведно соуце все съ гнѣвъмъ дајати. а нѣсть праведно гнѣвъ на ближнаго отиоудъ (translation A); Молецио же ти се по(д)бнѣ, такови приидоу(т) тевѣ веци, яко да мниши праведно быти всако разыарити се. нѣ(с) же правед, на юростъ на искрынаго юноудъ (translation B).
- The example with the adverbs вышик / бѣшина and бѣхма is indicative:

	Dečani 93	Hamilton	ABAS 80	NL 1036	Hilferding 47	Vienna 28
ЛА'. Мὴ про- σεύχου τὰ σὰ θελῆμα- τα γενέσθαι, οὐδὲ γὰρ πάντως συ- μωνοῦν τῷ βουλῆμα- τι τοῦ θεοῦ ἀλλὰ μᾶλλον καθὼς ἐδιδά- χθης, προσεύ- χου λέγουν. <Генηθήτω τὸ θελημά σου> ἐν ἐμοί. Καὶ ἐπὶ παντὶ ¹ δὲ πράγματι οὕτως αὐτὸν αἴτει, ἵνα τὸ αὐτοῦ γένη- ται θελῆμα- θελει γὰρ τὸ ἀγαθὸν καὶ συμφέρον τῇ ψυχῇ σού- σού δὲ οὐ πά- ντως τοῦτο ζητεῖς.	Не моли са твоимъ воламъ быти. не бо и <u>бѣхма</u> съглагасиоутъ. съглагетъ бѣжио. и нѣ пауе яко же еси наоученъ. моли са гла. да боудеть воля тво (Мт 6:10) на ми ^в (Мт 26:42) и о всакон же веци таico негро проси да того боудеть воля. хощеть бо блгому и полезномоу и полъзъному дни(!) тзы же вѣхма не того просинши.	лѣ. Не моли са твоимъ волѣ- ми быти. не съглагасиоутъ' бо бѣшикъ, съвѣ- тугъ бѣжио, нѣ пауе яко же еси наоученъ гла, моли са гла. да бѣдеть воля твод на ми ^в . и о вѣс- кон же веци кои веци таico тако проси шн негро. да того(бѣ)деть воля. хощеть бо блгому и полезномоу и полезному дни. ты же тъчик не того просинши.	кѣ. Не моли са твоимъ волѣ- ми быти. ни бо съглагасиоутъ бѣшикъ, съвѣ- тугъ бѣжио, нѣ пакъ наоудъ- ешикъ еси нао- ученъ гла, да да бѣдеть воля твод на ми ^в . и о вѣс- кон же веци кои веци таico тако проси шн негро. да того(бѣ)деть воля. хощеть бо блгому и полезномоу и полезному дни. ты же тъчик не того просинши.	лѣ. Не мѣи са твоимъ волѧ- ми быти, ни бо съглагасиоутъ бѣшикъ. нѣ пауе яко же наоудъ- ешикъ мѣи нѣ вѣс- кон же веци. сици кои веци таico тако проси шн негро. да того(бѣ)деть воля. хощеть бо блгому и полезномоу и полезному дни. ты же тъчик не того просинши.	Не мѣи се твоимъ волѧ- ми быти, ни бо съглагасиоутъ бѣшикъ. хотѣнио бѣшикъ. нѣ пауе яко же наоудъ- ешикъ мѣи нѣ вѣс- кон же веци. сици кои веци таico тако проси шн негро. да того(бѣ)деть воля. хощеть бо блгому и полезномоу и полезному дни. ты же тъчик не того просинши.	

At places, the redacted text shows relocation in the order of the wise sayings, e.g.: Млтва есть кротости и безгнвнию прозавение. Млтва есть певали и оунъиниа л(в)уба. Млтва есть радости и б(л)гдти податель (translation A) in the redaction reads as: Молитва е(с) кротости, и безгнвю прозавение. Млтва е(с), радости, и багдти подание. Млтва е(с), оунъиню, и певали л(в)уба (National Library 1036). There are also other insignificant differences, which give reason to conclude that the initial translation served as the basis for the redaction.

The copies indicate different versions of the Byzantine tradition, but the prologue, in which allegory is used to explain the number of chapters of wise sayings (153), the ratio of the numerical values and their symbolism⁴⁹ is missing. A most general comparison between translations A and B makes it clear that the second translation uses that branch of the Greek tradition which contains additional changes in respect to the ending. The work in translation B is definitely imbued with the ideas of Hesychasm and its main guidelines for ‘intelligent’ asceticism. The style and language follow certain rhetorical techniques typical of the 14th century. Without a doubt, translation B did gain some authority and that was why it spread in manuscripts of an origin both like the Tărnovo school or Balkan literary centres and from Hilandar monastery on Mount Athos. It was precisely this remake of the work that spread quite widely in manuscript tradition of 14th–15th century South Slavic, Moldo-Wallachian and Russian ascetic miscellanies, also being accepted and included in the Great Reading Menaion of Makarios.

Hilferding 47 of the third quarter of the 14th century is a representative Middle Bulgarian manuscript preserving translation B. At the end of the manuscript, there is a marginal note stating that it was in the Orthodox monastery of Piva in Montenegro, where it was found later on. The works of Gregory of Sinai, Symeon the New Theologian, the *Chapters on Love* by Maximos the Confessor, Diadochos of Photike, the 40 chapters of Thalassios the Libyan to presbyter Paul, chapters by Abba Macarios, Abba Mark, chapters by Theodore of Edessa, Abba Isaiah, questions and answers of Athanasios of Alexandria to Prince Antioch, *Stoslovens* (*Centuria de fide*) attributed to Genadios, the Patriarch of Constantinople, and other texts, characterize the contents. As I have already pointed out, the 15th century manuscript from the Perm State Humanitarian and Pedagogical University, of Bulgarian provenance, mainly contains part of the sermons for hermits of Isaac of Nineveh, as well as excerpts with the names of Abba Isaiah and Abba Mark. The content of Kiiv 151 is similar: the ascetic sermons of Isaac of Nineveh, the sermons of Stephen of Thebes⁵⁰, chapters by Abba Macarios, Abba Mark, chapters by Theodore

⁴⁹ C. JOEST, *Die arithmetische Feinstruktur im Traktat De oratione des Evagrios Pontikos*, VC 72, 2018, p. 21–40.

⁵⁰ The first translation is contained in Dečani 93; the second revised translation is preserved in the group of manuscripts which contain the second translation of *De oratione*, cf. W. VEDER, *The Commandments of Stephen of Thebes*, [in:] ПКШ, vol. XII, София 2012, p. 165–190.

of Edessa, Gregory of Sinai, Abba Isaiah, Hesychios of Jerusalem to Theodosius, chapters by Niketas Stethatos, questions and answers of Athanasios of Alexandria to Prince Antioch, etc. The content of MS 1054, Pogodin Collection, *Scala Paradisi* by John Sinaites and homilies of Dorotheos of Gaza, works of Gregory of Sinai and others, is no less important. The similarity between the Bulgarian part of the book with the hand and the design of the miscellany came from the pen of the scribe Lavrentii – No. F.I.376 from the collection of the Russian National Library in St. Petersburg (known as the miscellany of Ivan-Alexander of 1348) – is well known and equally well studied⁵¹. The copy is a good presentation of *De oratione* in a didactic content of monastic type. The Russian scribe copied the text of *De oratione* (preserved in part because of missing folia) from a Middle Bulgarian photograph. K. Ivanova assumed that the manuscript, written in the capital of Tarnovo, was already in Russia by the beginning of the 15th century⁵². The copy of the translation B in MS 1044 of the Pogodin collection (with one-jer, Serbian orthography, probably originating in Western Serbia or Bosnia⁵³), which is similar in content to the Ryapov miscellany, is evidence of how widespread it was in the Balkans and of its connection with the Athonite monasteries.

MS 159 and 315 from the Romanian Academy Library, Bucharest, are ascetic miscellanies. In addition to the listed authors, No. 159 includes the treatise of Hesychios of Jerusalem to Theodosius, chapters by Niketas Stethatos and others, and No. 315 – excerpts from Anastasios of Sinai, Athanasios of Alexandria, Ephrem the Syrian, Makarios of Egypt, and others. The manuscripts of Serbian provenance from Hilandar obviously follow the tradition of ascetic miscellanies – No. 28 is probably the most distinguished example, written by a highly educated scribe, containing works of Diadochos of Photike, Philotheos Monachos, Symeon the New Theologian, Niketas Stethatos, *Stoslovens* of Genadios with accompanying short florilegia, chapters of Thalassios the Libyan, the treatises of Gregory of Sinai, the chapters of Maximos the Confessor, as well as of Hesychios of Jerusalem to Theodosius. The miscellanies from Hilandar No. 456 and No. 468 are also included in the group, with works belonging to Gregory of Sinai, Symeon the New Theologian, the chapters of Maximos the Confessor about love, of Hesychios of Jerusalem to Theodosius, the “acting” chapters of Theodore of Edessa, Diadochos of Photike, Macarios of Egypt and excerpts from the *Paterikon*.

This shortlisting makes it clear that the second translation of *De oratione* by Evagrios Ponticos (with the name of Neilos of Ancyra) has been incorporated into a permanent context. M. Scarpa thinks that its formation was completed around

⁵¹ А.А. Турилов, *К истории Тырновского “царского” скриптория XIV в.*, [in:] Межславянские культурные связи эпохи средневековья и источниковедение истории и культуры славян. Этюды и характеристики, Москва 2012, p. 318–319, 539 (note 26/1).

⁵² К. ИВАНОВА, Български, сръбски и молдо-влахийски..., p. 325.

⁵³ *Ibidem*, p. 381.

the middle to the end of the 1360s, Hilferding 47 being quoted as an example together with the closely related National Library in Sofia 672⁵⁴. In his quest for a Greek source of appropriate content, he cites the miscellany quoted by Italian researcher A. Rigo as written in an environment close to Patriarch Kallistos the First: Matsouki Ecclesiae S. Paraseuae (olim monasterii Bylizas 5) of the third quarter of the 14th century⁵⁵ and Mosqu. Synod. 509 (Vladimir 247) of the 15th century, written at the Iviron monastery⁵⁶. To this period M. Scarpa adds the Ryapov miscellany No. 80, NL 1036, and now I am adding the newly discovered No. 2 from the State Archives of the Republic of Moldova, from New Neamț monastery. I can hypothesize that the archetype of these three manuscripts may have been written before the middle of the 14th century, but this is the subject of relevant research.

In Russian 15th–16th-century tradition, translation B is part of contents of identical type. Interesting cases include the manuscripts with traces of a Middle Bulgarian photograph, in which the discussed work is included together with the text of the Epistles with interpretation (Solov. 116 (91), which is an exception), with the homilies of Dorotheos of Gaza (Solov. 165 (1720)) and the *Scala paradisi* by John Sinaiites and homilies of Dorotheos of Gaza (Solov. 167 (1673), 183 (1675)). More examples can be given related to the distribution of translation B, topical from the end of 14th through the 16th–17th century, such as the formation of the ascetic collection called “Glavnik” by Metropolitan Daniel (2nd half of the 15th century – 1547) who gathered in one book the translations of authors, read and popularized by monks in the era of Hesychasm⁵⁷. An important attestation to the transmission of *De oratione* is its inclusion in the contents of the Great Reading Menaion for February. As T. Chertoritskaya⁵⁸ has underscored, on the one hand, the contents for this month as a whole reveals continuity compared with the topical trends in the 14th century, and on the other – the perception of the works as complex.

⁵⁴ М. СКАРПА, Аскетико-монашеские сборники XIV в.: содержание и среда составления, Рбг 36.2, 2012, р. 46; IDEM, Славянские переводы аскетико-монашеских сборников в XIV в.: между Болгарией и Святой горой, [in:] Афон и славянский мир. Сборник 3. Материалы международной научной конференции, посвященной 1000-летию присутствия русских на Святой горе. Киев 21–23 мая 2015 г., Афон 2016, р. 311–316; IDEM, Аскетико-монашеские сборники между България и Атон в XIV столетие, [in] Сребърният век. Нови открития, София 2016, р. 221–227.

⁵⁵ Description of the manuscript and bibliography: [https://pinakes.irht.cnrs.fr/notices/cote/40509/\[26 II 2021\].](https://pinakes.irht.cnrs.fr/notices/cote/40509/[26 II 2021].)

⁵⁶ A. RIGO, *Il monaco, la Chiesa e la liturgia. I Capitoli sulle gerarchie di Gregorio il Sinaita*, Firenze 2005 [= MCOO, 4], p. XXI–XXIV, XXVI–XXXIII; IDEM, *Callisto I patriarca, I 100 (109) capitoli sulla purezza dell'anima. Introduzione, edizione e traduzione*, B 80, 2010, p. 333–407.

⁵⁷ MS 134 (489), 16th c., collection of Joseph Volokolamsk Monastery (113), Russian State Library, Moscow. Иосиф иером., Опись рукописей перенесенных из библиотеки Иосифова монастыря в библиотеку Московской духовной академии, Москва 1882, р. 101–107.

⁵⁸ Т.В. ЧЕРТОРИЦКАЯ, Четыре сборника в составе Великих Миней Четырех митрополита Макария, ТОДЛ 46, 1993, р. 100–101.

The transmission of *De oratione* turns over a new leaf in the entire account of connections and exchange of translated works, both in initial and new forms, between Tărnovo, Mount Athos, the Balkan literary centres and Russia. The continuity between the ages, on the one hand, and the reconsideration of the genre of didactic “chapters” (κεφάλαια) in the different periods, on the other, are a distinctive accent in the panorama of Orthodox literature. The translated anthologies of rich content are proof of a wide circle of sources used by scribes. The veritable boom of ascetic literature strengthens the ideological and cultural principles in the spiritual life of Orthodox Christians.

Bibliography

- AFANAS'eva T.I., BULANIN D.M., FEDER U., *Pis'mennye pamjatniki istorii i kul'tury Rossii v sobranijah zarubežnyh arhivov i bibliotek*, vol. VII, *Berlinskij Kormčij. Drevnerusskij učitel'nyj sbornik XIV veka*, ed. D.M. BULANIN, Sankt Peterburg 2018.
- BENEVIČ G.I., *Evagrij Pontijskij i palestinskaja filosofsko-bogoslovskaja tradicija*, “Acta Eruditorum” 23, 2017, p. 21–26.
- BIRKFELLNER G., *Glagolitische und kyrillische Handschriften in Oesterreich*, Wien 1975 [= Schriften der Balkankommission. Linguistische Abteilung, 23].
- BOGDANOVIĆ D., *Katalog čirilskih rukopisa manastira Hilandara*, vol. I, Beograd 1978.
- BOGDANOVIĆ D., SINDIK N.R., *Opis čirilskih rukopisnih knjiga manastira Visoki Dečani*, vol. I, Beograd 2011.
- BULANIN D.M., *Antičnye tradicii v drevnerusskoj literature XI–XVI vv.*, München 1991 [= Slavistische Beiträge, 278].
- BULANIN D.M., *Drevnerusskij učitel'nyj sbornik XIV v.* Berlin, Hamilton 381 i ego byvšij vladelec Aleksandr, 10-jercog Gamil'ton, pars 1, “Rossica Antiqua” 1 (14), 2017, p. 23–75; pars 2, “Rossica Antiqua” 2 (15), 2017, p. 79–98.
- BULANIN D.M., *Rekonstrukcija drevnerusskogo sbornika XIII v.*, [in:] *Issledovanija po drevnej i novoj literature*, Leningrad 1987, p. 342–345.
- ČERTORICKAJA T.V., *Čet'i sborniki v sostave Velikih Minej Čet'ih mitropolita Makarija*, “Труды Отдела древнерусской литературы” / “Trudy Otdela drevnerusskoj literatury” 46, 1993, p. 98–108.
- DEMKOVA N.S., MEDVEDEV I.P., «*Stisi dobreiši k' vine sl'znei* vizantijskogo patriarha Germana (15 v.) v slavjanskikh i drevnerusskikh rukopisjakh, “Palaeoslavica” 10.1, 2002, p. 36–53.
- DEMKOVA N.S., SEMJAČKO S.A., “*Stisi dobrejši* patriarha Germana v južnoslavjanskoj rukopisi serediny 15 v. iz sobranija Permskogo pedagogičeskogo instituta, [in:] *Gruzinskaja i russkaja srednevekovye literatury*, Tbilisi 1992, p. 93–99.
- EREMIN I., “*Svodnyj* Paterik u piwdennno-slov'jans'kih, ukraїns'komu ta moskovs'komu pis'menstvah, “Записки Історично-Філологічного Відділу Української Академії Наук” / “Zapiski Istorichno-Filologichnogo Viddilu Ukrains'koj Akademii Nauk” 12, 1927, p. 46–77; 15, 1927, p. 54–101.
- ÉVAGRE LE PONTIQUE, *Chapitres sur la prière*, ed. et trans. P. GÉHIN, Paris 2017 [= Sources chrétiennes, 589].

- GNATENKO L.A., ONIŠENKO O.S., NIMČUK V.V., DANEVIČ S.G., DUBROVINA L.A., ZUBKOVA N.M., *Slov'jans'ka kirilična rukopisna kniga XIV st. Z fondiv Institutu rukopisu Nacional'noi biblioteki Ukraïni imeni v. I. Vernads'kogo. Katalog. Kodikologo-orfografične doslidžennja. Paleografičnij al'bom*, Kiiv 2007.
- GORSKIJ A., NEVOSTREUVE K., *Opisanie slavjanskikh rukopisej Moskovskoj Sinodal'noj biblioteki*, vol. II, *Pisanija svjatyh otcov*, pars 3, *Raznye bogoslovskie sočinenija*, Moskva 1857.
- HAUSHERR I., *Le 'De oratione' d'Evagre le Pontique en syriaque et en arabe*, "Orientalia Christiana Periodica" 5, 1939, p. 7–71.
- HAUSHERR I., *Les leçons d'un contemplatif. Le Traité de l'oraison d'Evagre le Pontique*, Paris 1960.
- HAUSHERR I., *Le traité de l'oraison d'Évagre le Pontique (Pseudo-Nil)*, "Revue d'ascétique et de mystique" 15, 1934, p. 34–93, 113–170.
- HRISTOVA B., ZAGREBIN V.M., ENIN G.P., ŠVARC E.M., *Slavjanske rukopisi bolgarskogo proishoždenija v Rossiijskoj nacional'noi bibliotekе*, Sankt Peterburg 2009.
- IOSIF IEROM, *Opis' rukopisej perenesennyh iz biblioteki Iosifova monastyrja v biblioteku Moskovskoj duhovnoj akademii*, Moskva 1882.
- IŠENKO D.S., *Oglasitel'nye poučenija Feodora Studita v Vizantii i u slavjan*, "Византийский временник" / "Vizantijskij vremennik" 40, 1979, p. 157–171.
- IVANOVA K., *Bălgarski, srăbski i moldo-vlahijski kirilski răkopisi v sbirkata na M.P. Pogodin*, Sofija 1981.
- JOEST C., *Die arithmetische Feinstruktur im Traktat De oratione des Evagrios Pontikos*, "Vigiliae christianae" 72, 2018, p. 21–40, <https://doi.org/10.1163/15700720-12341329>
- KALVESMAKI J., *Evagrius and his Legacy*, Notre Dame, Ind. 2016, <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctvpj7dsk>
- Katalog pamjatnikov drevnerusskoj pis'mennosti XI–XIV vv. (*Rukopisnye knigi*), ed. D.M. BULANIN, A.A. ROMANOVA et al., Sankt Peterburg 2014 [= Studiorum Slavicorum Orbis, 7].
- KODOV H., *Opis na slavjanskite răkopisi v bibliotekata na Bălgarskata akademija na naukite*, Sofija 1969.
- LEONID ARHIM (KAVELIN L.A.), *Svedenie o slavjanskikh rukopisjah, postupivših iz knigohraniliša sv. Troickoj Sergievoj lavry v bibliotek, Troickoj Duhovnoj seminarii v 1747 godu. Nyne nahodjašihja v bibliotekе Moskovskoj Duhovnoj Akademii*, Moskva 1887.
- MATEJIC P., THOMAS H., *Manuscripts on Microform of the Hilandar Research Library (The Ohio State University). Catalog*, vol. I–II, Columbus 1992.
- MILTEHOVA A., *Towards the Slavic Translations of Capita Paraenethica Ascribed to either Nilus of Ankyra or to Hesychius of Jerusalem*, [in:] *Sparágmata Vyzantinoslavikīs Klíronomiās. (Xaristírios Tómos ston Kathigīti Iōannī Xr. Tarnanidi)*, Thessaloniki 2011, p. 125–154.
- MIRCEA I.-R., BOČHEVA P., TODOROVA S., *Répertoire des manuscrits slaves en Roumanie. Auteurs byzantines et slaves*, Sofia 2005.
- MOŠIN V., *K datirovke rukopisej iz sobranija A.F. Gil'ferdinga Gosudarstvennoj Publičnoj biblioteki, "Труды Отдела древнерусской литературы" / "Trudy Otdela drevnerusskoj literatury"* 15, 1958, p. 409–417.
- MUTALĂP D., *Redacția scurtă a unei scrieri ascetico-mistice atribuite lui Evagrie Ponticul în literatura română veche (I)*, "Limba Română" 69, 2020, p. 31–48.
- MUTALĂP D., *The Structure and Composition of a Proto-Philokalic Romanian Manuscript from 1769, [in:] Translations of Patristic Literature in South-Eastern Literature*, ed. L. TASEVA, R. MARTI, Brăila 2020, p. 301–333.
- MUYLDERMANS J., *Evagriana Syriaca. Textes inédits du British Museum et de la Vaticane*, Louvain 1952.

- NIKOLOVA S., *Pateričnite razkazi v bālgarskata srednovekovna literatura*, Sofija 1980.
- NIKOLOVA S., *Pateričnite razkazi v istorijata na starata bālgarska literatura*, “Старобългарска литература” / “Starobulgarska literatura” 1, 1971, p. 167–191.
- PANAITESCU P.P., *Catalogul manuscriselor slavo-române și slave din Biblioteca Academiei Române*, vol. II, ed. D.-L. ARAMĂ, G. MIHĂILĂ, G. STREMPER, București 2003.
- PANAITESCU P.P., *Manuscisele slave din Biblioteca Academiei R.P.R.*, vol. I, București 1959.
- POPOV A., *Pervoe pribavlenie k opisaniju rukopisej i katalogu knig cerkovnoj pečati biblioteki A.I. Hludova*, Moskva 1875.
- PORFIR'EV I.Ja., VADKOVSKIJ A.V., KRASNOSEL'CEV N.F., *Opisanie rukopisej Soloveckogo monastyrja, nahodjašihsja v biblioteke Kazanskoj Duhovnoj Akademii*, Kazan' 1881.
- Predvaritel'nyj spisok slavjano-russkih rukopisnyh knig 15 v., hranjašihsja v SSSR*, ed. A.A. TURILOV, Moskva 1986.
- RIGO A., *Callisto I patriarca, I 100 (109) capitoli sulla purezza dell'anima. Introduzione, edizione e traduzione*, “Byzantium” 80, 2010, p. 333–407.
- RIGO A., *Il monaco, la Chiesa e la liturgia. I Capitoli sulle gerarchie di Gregorio il Sinaita*, Firenze 2005 [= Mistica cristiana tra Oriente e Occidente, 4].
- SAMIR K., *Evagre le Pontique dans la tradition arabo-copte*, [in:] *Actes du IV^e Congrès Copte. Louvain-la-Neuve, 5–10 septembre 1988*, vol. II, ed. M. RASSART-DERBERGH, J. RIES, Louvain-la-Neuve 1992 [= Publications de l'Institut Orientaliste de Louvain, 41], p. 132–133.
- SEMENOV V.M., *Izrečenija Isihija i Varnavy po russkim spiskam*, “Памятники древней письменности” / “Pamjatniki drevnej piš'mennosti” 92, 1892, p. 1–25.
- SKARPA M., *Asketiko-monašeski sbornici meždu Bālgarija i Aton v 14 stoletie*, [in:] *Srebărniyat vek. Novi otkritija*, Sofija 2016, p. 221–227.
- SKARPA M., *Asketiko-monašeskie sborniki HIV v.: soderžanie i sreda sostavlenija*, “Palaeobulgarica / Старобългаристика” 36.2, 2012, p. 45–52.
- SKARPA M., *Slavjanske perevody asketiko-monašeskikh sbornikov v xiv v.: meždu Bolgarije i Svjatojgoroj*, [in:] *Afon i slavjanskij mir. Sbornik 3. Materialy meždunarodnoj naučnoj konferencii, posvjashennoj 1000-letiju prisustvija russkih na Svjatoj gore. Kiev 21–23 maja 2015 g.*, Afon 2016, p. 311–316.
- SPERANSKIJ M.N., *Perevodnye sborniki izrečenij v slavjanorusskoj piš'mennosti. Issledovanie i tekst*, Moskva 1904.
- STOJANOV M., KODOV H., *Opis na slavjanskite rākopisi v Sofijskata narodna biblioteka*, vol. III, Sofija 1964.
- STOJANOVIĆ L., *Katalog Narodne biblioteka u Beogradu*, Beograd 1982.
- Svodnyj katalog slavjano-russkih rukopisnyh knig, hranjašihsja v SSSR. XI–XIII vv.*, Moskva 1984.
- TURILOV A.A., *K istoriji Tyrnovskogo “carskogo” skriptorija 14 v.*, [in:] *Mežslavjanske kul'turnye svjazi èpohi srednevekov'ja i istočnikovedenie istorii i kul'tury slavjan. Ètudy i karakteristiki*, Moskva 2012, p. 316–336.
- VEDER W., *The Commandments of Stephen of Thebes*, [in:] *Preslavská knižovna škola*, vol. XII, Sofija 2012, p. 165–190.
- VEDER W., *Evagrij Pontijskij O Molitve. K voprosu o glagolice na Rusi*, [in:] *Forma formans. Studi in onore di Boris Uspenskij*, ed. S. BERTOLISSI et al., Napoli 2010, p. 243–266.
- VEDER W., *Kǎnažii izbor'nik za väzpitanie na kanartikina*, vol. I–II, Veliko Tärnovo 2008.

VERŠININ K.V., *Iz istorii slavjanskikh perevodov Evagrija Pontijskogo*, [in:] *Pis'mennost', literatura, fol'klor slavjanskih narodov. Istorija slavistiki XVI Meždunarodnyj s'ezd slavistov. Belgrad, 20–27 avgusta 2018 g. Doklady rossijskoj delegacii*, Moskva 2018, p. 52–61.

ŽUČKOVA I.L., MOŠKOVA L.V., TURILOV A.A., *Katalog slavjano-russkikh rukopisnykh knig XV veka chranjaččichsja v RGADA*, Moskva 2000.

Anissava Miltenova

Bulgarian Academy of Sciences

Institute for Literature

B. Šipčeski Prohod 52, blok 17

1113 Sofia, Bulgaria

amiltenova@gmail.com



© by the author, licensee University of Lodz – Lodz University Press, Lodz, Poland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)
