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Abstract
Qualitative interviews with six female runners shed light on the gender gap in women’s participation in shorter versus longer road races. The interviews reveal that “mother guilt” and “time constraints” play a significant role in the development of a running identity among women. While the running community promotes a discourse centered around a “disembodied” runner—someone totally and unconstrainedly dedicated to running—the participants in this study experienced conflicts between their roles as mothers and their identity as runners. The conflicts led them to engage in challenging the dominant discourse by actively negotiating a mothering and running identity. The findings suggest that women are redefining the boundaries around running and subsequently—running subculture itself.
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A nyone participating on a regular basis in the running of half marathons would quickly observe that females dominate the event. This observation is borne out by statistics on road race participation. For example, in the United States in 2014, 61 percent of all half marathon participants were female (Runningusa.org 2014a), a dramatic shift from less than 50 years ago when women were not allowed to participate in road races. Yet, in the case of full marathons, the gender balance is reversed, only 40 percent of full marathon participants are female (Runningusa.org 2014b).

These patterns raise questions about the gender gap in marathon running. While the reasons may be complex and involve many factors, a small, qualitative study I conducted of six women’s running experiences generated themes that provide insights and bases for future research. All of the women interviewed were mothers. My main findings were that the decisions women make about their involvement in running could not be separated from their mothering responsibilities, and that the constraints these responsibilities placed on their running affected, in turn, their identities as runners. More specifically, these mothers found themselves grappling with the dominant discourse of an idealized, “real” runner, or what I call a disembodied runner, someone totally committed to extended hours of training and completely dedicated to improving running skills. Aware of the discourse, but also facing serious limitations in terms of their ability to conform to the image of the disembodied runner, these women responded in one of two ways. While some were willing to relinquish the “runner” identity, others challenged the discourse and redefined for themselves what it means to be a “runner.”

In this paper, I look more carefully at these mothers’ experiences of running. In addition to considering the constraints they faced, I explore the strategies they used to try to balance and negotiate their mothering roles, their running goals, and their identities as runners. I begin by briefly contextualizing my study in relation to the existing literature on women’s experiences with sport and leisure, and road racing more specifically. This is followed by a discussion of the methods I used to conduct my study. My findings are organized around three main themes—“running identity,” participants’ experiences of “mother guilt,” and the “time constraints” that acted as barriers to running. I also address women’s responses. Lastly, I consider the implications of my findings for the running subculture.

Conceptual Framework

Conceptually, there were two areas of sociological debate that informed my analysis and oriented the discussion of my findings—first, the concept of boundaries, and second, the literature on identities. A boundary can be understood as an area where something ends and something else begins. Wimmer (2008) defines a boundary in two ways; socially and categorically. Boundaries are used to categorize groups through social classification and social representation. The social dimension of a boundary is established through interactions and acts of connecting oneself to, or distancing oneself from, such categories. A social boundary is “when ways of seeing the world correspond to ways of acting in the world” (Wimmer 2008:975). Boundaries are unique in that they can draw clear lines of distinction, or be “soft and fuzzy” (Wimmer 2008:975).

Lamont and Molnár (2002:167) describe social boundaries as “objectified forms of social differences manifested in unequal access to and unequal distribution of resources (material and nonmaterial) and social opportunities.” In relation to the running community, for example, social boundaries establish who is able to participate in, or be accepted by, the community. How and where boundaries are drawn clearly has implications for...
the identities that social actors are able to adopt. Identities are components of the self that are created by interacting with other social actors (Appiah 2001; Vryan et al. 2003; Collinson and Hockey 2007). An identity is articulated through conceptions (and practices) made available by religion, society, school, and states; and mediated by family, peers, and friends.

Appiah (2001) conceptualized identity as having two dimensions: the collective and personal. The collective aspect involves the intersections of identities (ethnicity, sexuality) that create a kind, or category, of person and which are realized by the attributions others make in terms of who we are—an employee, mother, or a runner. The personal dimensions of identity are the features that are socially important, like intelligence, charm, and greed, but are not the basis for forming a collective identity. The labels of a collective identity (e.g., runner) are the descriptive criteria, which lead to expectations about how individuals possessing that label will behave (Appiah 2001). Therefore, there are conceptions of how one possessing a given label should act, and consequences in terms of how that person is treated based on their ability to perform the acts connected with that label.

Furthermore, identity provides a source of values for people. To adopt an identity is to make it one’s own, often necessitating a restructuring of one’s life to fit the values associated with the identity (Appiah 2001; Vryan et al. 2003). Certain values are integral to identity; therefore, for those who aspire to that identity, take on the values congruent with that label. Similar ideas concerning identity have been found in running subculture research discussed below.

**Literature Review**

There is a growing body of literature on the subculture of running. Altheide and Pfuhl (1980) found that runners have a high commitment level termed a “running career” which requires a dramatic reorganization of other activities in their lives so as to allow for the time it takes to train and keep the body in shape to run longer distances. The running subculture distinguishes between “runners” and “fun runners” or “joggers.” Smith’s (1998) participants made a clear distinction between running and jogging, and disliked being referred to as joggers. Joggers tend not to train on a regular basis, run only when weather permits, and do not prioritize running in races. Runners, on the other hand, are committed to training, running greater distances, participating in races, and running at higher speeds and race paces. Further, Ogles and Masters (2003:70) concluded that although runners are a heterogeneous group, running and training for marathons, in particular, require training which “necessitates that work, meals, family, and social schedules be organized to accommodate the regime [of running].” Therefore, time with family and friends is often reduced if one wants to be a “real” runner. Here, the subculture is establishing a clear distinction or boundary between different types of runners. Using these boundaries, they have created a hierarchy with “runner” situated at the top, according to Smith’s (1998) participants, and “fun runner” or “jogger” towards the bottom. Such a hierarchy of runners creates boundaries around the running identity and places restrictions around who can lay claim to that identity.

Turning to the literature on mothering, research by Heisler and Butler-Ellis (2008) concluded that women receive messages from peers, family, and media about how to be a good mother. These messages promote putting the interests of their children first, because motherhood is understood as the most important and consuming part of a woman’s life. Other desirable traits of a mother include being patient and always present. Griffith and Smith (2005) argue that the mothering discourse is prevalent and strongly influences the way a woman should be and feel about being a mother. As such, mothers feel pressured to conform to certain expectations of motherhood, where “mother” becomes their master status. As a master status, this identity becomes central to women’s identity and one from which it is difficult to stray (West and Zimmerman 2007). As a result, other roles—wife, employee, and, in this case, runner—conflict with the resources a woman has to dedicate to being a mother. The responsibilities of being a mother affect the amount of leisure time available to women.

Leisure can be a positive experience for women. Kay (2003:5) argues that personal leisure is a “crucial area of experience for the (re)assertion of a sense of self-identity that the demands of paid work and family responsibilities may otherwise overwhelm.” Moreover, leisure helps women to challenge traditional gender relations, find ways to maintain self-care, and bring balance to their lives. Therefore, running as leisure can provide positive benefits to women in terms of creating a self-identity.
researchers tend to have focused most of their attention on understanding how women have become involved in sports in general (Jutel 2003; Patel and O’Neill 2007), and how sport and running oppress women (Choi 2000; Dowling 2000; Abbas 2004). Further, there is a paucity of research on how running as leisure can act as a site for identity formation, particularly that of a running identity, and how this may impact race distance choices of women, particularly mothers. Lastly, more research is needed focusing on the experiences of female runners who are non-elite and middle-aged. The findings of this study contribute to these areas.

Methods

As part of an undergraduate thesis, I conducted interviews with six women involved in running. I specifically targeted women who were accomplished runners within their community (having completed at least one race), worked at paid employment, and had children under the age of 15. I established these criteria because I was interested in how women work running into other responsibilities they have in their lives. In recruiting participants, I used both purposive and snowball sampling. Berg (2009) points out that these methods are effective ways to gain contact with specific populations that one wishes to target. As part of a running community myself, I drew on contacts I had within the community to initiate recruitment. I started by requesting an interview with a fellow runner, Janet.1 Janet put me in touch with five other runners willing to participate.

I conducted semi-structured interviews with the participants, which lasted between 45–90 minutes. I audio-recorded each interview for accuracy with the knowledge and consent of the participants. My goal through the interviewing process was to create a conversational atmosphere rather than a formal interview. A naturalistic interviewing style generates richer, more diverse, and more complex responses from participants (Berg 2009; Lynch 2010). I made references to my own running experience, used humor, and asked questions that showed a general interest in participants.

During these interviews, I had both an insider and an outsider status. An “insider” is defined by Kanuha (2000) as someone who is part of the same population as the participants and may also share the same identity, language, or experience. As a runner myself I was an insider, which helped me to establish rapport with my participants. But, being an insider has its drawbacks. Corbin-Dwyer and Buckle (2009) point out that participants might make assumptions of similarity and not be clear on their experiences when being interviewed by an insider. I found this to be the case when, as a less experienced runner, I had to ask participants for clarification with respect to running terminology they used and race references they made. At the same time, I did not share with them the experience of being a mother or having full-time work responsibilities. My outsider status with respect to these experiences allowed me to pick up on points I might have missed had the interviews focused only on common experiences.

Of the six participants, five had at least one child between the ages of 5 months and 15 years of age. The sixth participant, Janet, has no children under the age of 15, but a 26-year-old child with disability who requires full-time care. I chose to keep Janet in the study even though she did not strictly meet the recruitment criteria because the time and effort that her caregiving responsibilities require make her comparable in many respects to other participants. Five of the participants worked full-time at the time of the interviews.

The length of time the participants had been involved in running ranged from 3 to 13 years. Each runner had completed at least one race. All had completed races of distances between 5 to 10 kilometers. Five of the participants had completed at least one half marathon, four had completed a local thirty kilometer race, and three had completed at least one marathon, with one having completed ten marathons. At the time of the interviews, all were training for a race that would take place between March and May of 2013. I sought women who had different levels of road race experience in order to get at various running experiences.

During these interviews, I had both an insider and an outsider status. An “insider” is defined by Kanuha (2000) as someone who is part of the same population as the participants and may also share the same identity, language, or experience. As a runner myself I was an insider, which helped me to establish rapport with my participants. But, being an insider has its drawbacks. Corbin-Dwyer and Buckle (2009) point out that participants might make assumptions of similarity and not be clear on their experiences when being interviewed by an insider. I found this to be the case when, as a less experienced runner, I had to ask participants for clarification with respect to running terminology they used and race references they made. At the same time, I did not share with them the experience of being a mother or having full-time work responsibilities. My outsider status with respect to these experiences allowed me to pick up on points I might have missed had the interviews focused only on common experiences.

The sixth participant, Janet, has no children under the age of 15, but a 26-year-old child with disability who requires full-time care. I chose to keep Janet in the study even though she did not strictly meet the recruitment criteria because the time and effort that her caregiving responsibilities require make her comparable in many respects to other participants. Five of the participants worked full-time at the time of the interviews.

The length of time the participants had been involved in running ranged from 3 to 13 years. Each runner had completed at least one race. All had completed races of distances between 5 to 10 kilometers. Five of the participants had completed at least one half marathon, four had completed a local thirty kilometer race, and three had completed at least one marathon, with one having completed ten marathons. At the time of the interviews, all were training for a race that would take place between March and May of 2013. I sought women who had different levels of road race experience in order to get at various running experiences.

Analysis

Three major themes emerged out of the analysis of my data, the most prominent being running. Participants identify what being a runner means to them, indicating the clear boundaries that exist around types of running identities. Running identity is, in turn, affected by mother guilt and time constraints. Both being a runner and being a mother make great demands on one’s time and resources, limiting temporal availability for other pursuits. My interviews show that participating in running poses challenges to my participants’ mothering identity, which leads to “mother guilt.” It is here that the boundaries between running and being a mother are in tension.
which affects participants’ running identity. Time constrains also affect running identity as the time it takes to run and train exceeds the time participants have available in their schedules for leisure activity. As a consequence, some participants renegotiated their understanding of the running identity in ways that fit their own lifestyles. They challenged the boundaries around the running identity, being a “real” runner, and created a new running identity.

Running Identity

Identity is developed through interactions with others. Adopting an identity means conforming to the roles that are associated with that identity. For the running subculture, this means being a “career runner” or a “runner” and not a “fun runner” or a “jogger.” Running becomes a commitment that requires time and dedication.

There are clear boundaries around the running subculture and community. Being a “real” runner has specific requirements including the amount of training, speed, and dedication. Feeling like a “real” runner, or having the identity of a runner, is a part of the boundaries of the running community as it is either confirmed or denied based on interactions with other runners. Boundaries exist at both the categorical level and social level. At the categorical level, a “real” runner is a type of person, a category that has been created and socially agreed upon based on mainstream images of runners and interactions within the running community itself. The categorical representation of a runner and the social interactions that reinforce or undermine one’s identity as a runner are what I call a disembodied runner.

I have derived the concept of disembodied runner from Joan Acker’s (1990) “disembodied worker.” Acker (1990) contends that many workplaces require a worker to have no outside commitments, with full dedication to their work. As a result, a disembodied worker ideally “cannot have other imperatives of existence that impinge upon the job. Too many obligations outside the boundaries of the job would make a worker unsuited for the position” (Acker 1990:149). Due to the traditional division of labor still prevalent within Western society, men are, in fact, the disembodied worker, while women maintain the private world of the household, children, and community, thereby leaving men the freedom to participate in the public sphere.

A disembodied runner is similar to a disembodied worker in the sense that being a runner requires the majority of one’s time and dedication with few outside distractions. Margaret describes a runner and highlights the criteria of a disembodied runner: “A definition of a runner would be someone who definitely dedicates their life to mainly running, and nothing else. Not gyms, not circuit training. I just picture them out there running all the time.” Another example of a disembodied runner is evident in media and advertising like Nike’s “Just Do It” slogan, emphasizing that one should “just do it.” I now turn to two themes that make being a disembodied runner difficult for mothers.

Mothering, Running, and the Renegotiation of Running Identity

I will show, my participants must constantly renegotiate their time in order to accommodate all of their responsibilities and their running goals. This work of renegotiation may not be recognized within the larger running discourse. Instead, there is a conception that women, if they are truly dedicated to running, should “just do it.”

Some of the participants felt conflicted about the amount of time their running takes and the time spent away from their children. Moria joked that her running was “pure selfishness,” despite the fact this is the only time she takes for herself. Carrie described the conflict she experienced between her running and being a mother as “mother guilt,” and claimed that this conflict figured prominently in the decision she faced about whether to train for another marathon:

[After discussing if she will do the Toledo half marathon or full marathon race]

Me: Are you concerned about the time constraint over training for a marathon versus a half marathon? Carrie: Yes, that would be the biggest thing. Um, it’s not that I don’t think physically and mentally I could do it, because I know I just did it ... It’s more that extra, um ... I find Saturday mornings, if I didn’t have children at home, no problem I’d be running a marathon every, twice a year. Um, but it’s that extra seven, eight kilometers that takes another hour, two hours, depending of your Saturday, and mother guilt starts setting in.

For Carrie, not spending enough time with her kids was a challenge. The time it takes to run long
with women's other roles or identities. In some cases, it may prevent women from dedicating their time to other interests, as seen with the participants who are hesitant to commit the extended amount of hours needed to complete a marathon.

The experience of mother guilt among the participants is an expression of the broader problem of gender inequality within the context of leisure and sports. Running was important to the participants, but not as important as their domestic responsibilities. If it was as important, then in many cases, the participants had difficulty finding time to engage in a satisfying amount of running. This is not only a barrier in terms of juggling being a “good mom” with being a runner, but such gendered issues also affect women’s ability to compete, or perception of their ability to compete in longer distance races. Carrie states:

We [her running group] are going to do Toledo. Some are doing the half and some are doing the full. Sometimes, I don’t go on very many of those [races out of town] ‘cause it is a weekend away from the family … I don’t want to take a weekend away from the family to do a run. You know? I love running, but while the kids are really little it’s more important for me to be home, whereas my husband, he loves running like he loves it as well, but he is so dedicated to his training schedule with his marathon group that he, it’s well worth it to take a weekend away from the family to go and do his race.

For Carrie, it was not worthwhile to leave her children for a weekend because she was “less” dedicated to running than her husband. It may not be that she is less dedicated, Carrie has run a marathon, rather, she felt like less of a “real” runner because she finds it harder to balance her running with domestic responsibilities. Beyond mother guilt, but not fully unrelated, are the time constraints that can make running difficult.

Time Constraints

As discussed in the literature review, women who are married and have children have the least amount of leisure time (Bittman 2004). This theme came through in my interviews with participants. For Moria, the barrier to longer road races is clear, time is not on her side. For her, the maximum amount of time to run per week was four hours, a small amount compared to participants like Janet and Kathy who trained eight to ten hours a week for a marathon. This lack of time for training is not a unique experience; all participants wished they had more time to run. Time, then, is a distinct barrier.

In addition to the lack of time available to compete in longer races, not having time to train for longer distances can affect one’s identity as a runner. This is true if the boundaries around being a “real” runner require the individual to accomplish a certain mileage a week in order to meet their goals.

The training program that I had was given to me through one of the running groups and, um, I think their methodology is run more. So, I did, and felt that I had to or otherwise I just wouldn’t be prepared for the marathon. Um, but I think realistically it really isn’t suited to the average person. And so, I even though I had already been running for a few years, it was still too much. (Kathy)

These standards for what it means to be a “runner” may impact one’s desire to do a marathon if they do not feel that they can accomplish being a “real” runner. Moreover, it may impact whether one perceives oneself as capable of doing a marathon. When full marathons came up in interviews, there were mixed responses. Theresa has no desire to run a marathon and was happy running a local 30k road race and half marathons:

I have never done a marathon … It just does not interest me … Um, I think actually because of the amount of training time it takes for me. Especially when working … And I, for me, yeah, it’s just a matter of time. ’Cause I know how much time, I mean the long runs take up a lot of your Saturday, and plus you are exhausted for the rest of your Saturday. And then your other runs also need to be longer, it’s not just a 30-minute run a couple times a week. It’s another hour run and then another 45-minute run, you know, so. For me, I’ve just found I am not willing to commit the time.

Similarly, the other two participants who had not run a marathon identified time as the chief constraint. Even for participants who had completed marathons, there was hesitation about committing to marathons in the future. Janet, who was 57 at the time of the interview, had completed over ten marathons, including three Boston Marathons, but was hesitant to fully commit to another one:

… if I run a marathon again, I have no desire to get any longer than four and a half hours. I probably won’t
Time availability for these women is a palpable constraint that prevents them from participating in the ideal amount of training necessary to be a disembodied runner. For these women, whose lives are spread thin with their familial and work commitments, time is relentlessly ticking away. When asked about leisure time, Margaret, Moria, and Kathy said that the only leisure time they had was the time not dedicated to family or work. Joining running groups has allowed them to set in stone their running time—time that was previously not recognized as leisure. Most participants feel that they do not have enough time to run, wish they could train more, and—ironically—have to spend considerable time managing their time in order to feel like they are not “on duty.” Women tend to participate in leisure that is removed from their home and family. Running is part of establishing a running identity with established values and family responsibilities have the potential to overwhelm (Kay 2003). Women find it challenging to carve out guilt-free leisure time. Similar to the issues of mother guilt, women struggle to persuade themselves to feel a sense of entitlement to leisure time because they tend to prioritize others’ needs over their own.

Additionally, Kay (2003) concluded that when women do secure leisure time, they do it in less direct ways. In order to feel like they are not “on duty,” women tend to participate in leisure that is removed from their home and family. Joining running groups is one way for women to remove themselves from the home. Their respective running groups have allowed them to set in stone their running time—their leisure—without feeling the guilt or pressure to change it for others. Though these group runs are “rarely missed,” most participants said they have to get creative when it comes to scheduling the rest of their runs each week. In some cases, runs which were not part of a group run were not completed.

It is clear that the biggest barrier to women’s running is time. All of the participants feel that they do not have enough time to train, wish they could train more, and—ironically—have to spend considerable time managing and organizing their time. Time management becomes an essential asset to the participants’ attaining running goals. Literature on women and sports seem to overlook the importance of time management. The time constraints, the struggles these participants face in terms of meeting their running goals, are a part of a larger gendered society that still unloads a second shift onto women, leaving them with less leisure time and a higher requirement to time manage (Hochschild and Machung 1989).

Renegotiation of Running Identity

Part of establishing a running identity is conforming to the roles and social boundaries attached to that identity (Appiah 2001; Vryan et al. 2003). If those cannot be met, it is logical to assume that identity may not be taken on. I found this with my participants. If the participants do not hold both running and mothering identities in the way demanded by the running subculture, they are put in a position where they must prioritize one or the other. It is clear from the interviews that the mothering identity takes precedence and is less subject to accommodations. All participants felt strongly that family comes first. The other option, then, is to adapt and renegotiate their running identity. In discussing their understandings of what it means to be a runner, three of the participants felt uncomfortable calling themselves a runner. Moreover, there appeared to be no real consensus on what it meant to be a runner, three of the participants felt uncomfortable calling themselves a runner. Moreover, there appeared to be no real consensus on what it meant to be a runner.

As Appiah (2001) suggests, if one is to conform to a given identity, they must embody the values of that identity. Part of the identity process is to conform to the boundaries that surround the symbolic category of a disembodied runner. In this case, these women cannot, so their alternative is to create a running identity with established values.
that fit their sense of self and are compatible with their mothering. As a result, participants have created definitions of a “runner” that best suited their needs, lifestyle, and commitment to running. Some participants defined running identity as follows:

Um, I would say, I would say, yeah, it’s something about a love of the sport. ‘Cause I think there are ex-runners too, there are maybe people who can’t run anymore because of whatever circumstances or physical, but I think there’s a, yeah, there’s a certain element of craziness [laughs]. (Kathy)

Janet has chosen to view running in a different way. Running, for her, is not about competitiveness but rather about doing it consistently and choosing it as a main form of exercise. She applies this definition to herself but also to those in her running group. Janet’s ability to successfully renegotiate what being a runner is has helped her to construct and maintain a running identity for herself.

Um, I mean, it’s interesting ‘cause everybody who knows you run asks you if you are still running, or about your running. For me, ‘cause I don’t have like another “job,” a paid job, that’s my identity with people. It’s weird. Do your people ask you if you’re still doing yoga? Are you still going to Goodlife? You know [laughs] kind of do a bit, but running is a funny thing. Even if they are not runners at all. So it’s kind of a sense of identity I guess and a sense of accomplishment that I can do that.

Um, I always think these little ones [races] are just things people do because they want to stay healthy and whatever. I think, I look at someone like Janet and who, to me she is a runner. She is my motivator. She’s the one that got me kind of thinking, “Oh, I can do this, too.” I just don’t want to do it with her [laughter from both]. She goes too far! (Margaret)

Some of these participants, despite defining a runner in ways that challenge the dominant running discourse, still do not feel like a “runner.” Theresa recognizes that she fits her own definition of a runner, but struggles to say, “I am a runner.” Carrie also realizes that she, by her own definition, is in fact a runner, but feels a runner should perform with ease and grace, and be thin, which she does not consider herself to embody. The struggle for both of these participants to call themselves a runner indicates that self-defined categorical identities can be difficult to sustain.

In some instances, these participants choose not to commit themselves to the running identity and say, “I run” instead of “I am a runner.” This speaks to the influence the dominant running discourse has on women who run. Margaret and Carrie explain why they choose to say, “I run” instead of “I am a runner”:

Um, just because I’m not one that goes the distances. I always think these little ones [races] are just things people do because they want to stay healthy and whatever. I think, I look at someone like Janet and who, to me she is a runner. She is my motivator. She’s the one that got me kind of thinking, “Oh, I can do this, too.” I just don’t want to do it with her [laughter from both]. She goes too far! (Margaret)
more of a “runner,” despite Margaret's own running accomplishments. Margaret also refrains from calling herself a runner because she mostly does shorter distances. It may be that Margaret views herself as more of a “fun runner” or “jogger,” as described by Smith (1998).

Additionally, one may refrain from using the term “runner” for fear of not being able to conform to that identity, and being recognized by the running community as a “fake.” Saying “I run” instead of “I am a runner” removes the responsibility and expectations of being a runner. McLuhan and colleagues (2014) have recently found individuals can take on a cloak of incompetence, that is, present themselves to others as inept or less than capable in some way, as a way of managing others’ expectations. For example, in some situations, those with disabilities may choose to highlight those disabilities rather than hide them as a way of signaling what they can and cannot do. Or, a stutterer may make an obvious show of their stuttering at the beginning of a conversation so as not to set up expectations of verbal fluency they would not be able to meet. In terms of running, by avoiding the label of runner and saying simply “I run,” my participants choose not to challenge this by stating she would rather be on her own bus with friends celebrating the fact that she was “still upright.”

For Around the Bay [local race] there’s a bus of people that go to the race and I sat on my husband’s bus … I sat beside a guy who ran Around the Bay, 30k in an hour and fifty something minutes, and I ran it in 3 hours and 36 minutes. I was almost embarrassed to tell my time right? [laughs]. And again, he’s the tall skinny running guy and here I am sitting beside him [laughs], so I said I don’t want to sit on that bus again because it’s a little intimidating, I don’t care, that’s wonderful all you people did that great times and, uh, I was like you know what … I just want to be over here on my bus with my friends just saying, “Yay! We did it, we’re still upright!” You know?

In this instance, Carrie did not fit the requirements, or values, of what it meant to be a runner in her husband’s group; she ran more slowly than her male peers, did not look “thin” and “fit,” and was exhausted after her three and a half hour race. Such experiences in a running group may reinforce why one does not feel like a “real” runner. This quote also highlights why Carrie enjoys her running group where being a runner is not about being fast, but about the process and experience. As Shipway and colleagues (2012) discuss, Carrie’s own running group is a site of positive experiences. In some cases, it takes a certain running community to affirm one’s identity as a runner, particularly when using definitions divergent from the mainstream running culture. As a result, Carrie recognizes the boundary between those types of runners and herself. Carrie chooses not to challenge this by stating she would rather be on her own bus with friends celebrating the fact that she was “still upright.”

For other participants, it took the recognition of the running community before they could confidently validate themselves as “real” runners. This relates back to Appiah’s (2001) argument that identity can only be shaped through interactions with other people. In terms of boundaries, internal identification with a subgroup requires the recognition of those within the community and those outside the group (Lamont and Molnár 2002). Further, Wimmer (2008) notes that boundaries are also relevant based on social networks as social networks may impose a category onto someone. That imposed category may eventually be adopted as a self-identification. Kathy explains how she began to see herself as a runner, despite her speed:

You can be a little elitist just in your thinking [about being a runner] … but, I don’t really feel that way. I think it’s an accomplishment, like someone who would take six hours to do a marathon is actually putting themselves out more than someone who runs it in two hours and thirty minutes. I mean, if you can commit to anything for six hours, then frankly I think that deserves an extra shirt and medal … it was a faster runner that pointed that out to me, and I really appreciated his perspective on that … but, thinking for myself, well, you know, “I’m not really accomplished enough compared to you,” and he just turned and looked at me and said, “Are you kidding me? Like, you ran four and a half hours! I would have walked off the course if I knew it would have taken me that long, I just wouldn’t do it.” So, yeah, good perspective.

Moria, who recognized she was a runner after frequently visiting a local running store, expressed a similar sentiment:

I, uh, had walked into the, actually it was the [running store] and a gentleman there knew me by name because I had been there so many times to earn my running shoes and, uh, I was like you know what … I’m a runner. He recognized me, he knows what my issues are, he knows that I am consistent, and he is acknowledging that.

In these two examples the running community helped confirm participants’ experiences and identities as a “real” runner. If a new running identity can
be accomplished, the benefits become clear. For these women, renegotiating what it means to be a runner is a vital part of feeling they are runners. It has allowed them to have more pride in their running, and to be more confident in their running abilities. When I asked these runners if they were confident in their running, they all said yes, and were excited and proud to talk about their running accomplishments. Kathy recognizes that when she began to look at herself as a runner through her own lens, and not the dominant discourse, she became a more confident runner:

Running is a great source of pride for these women. It is an integral part of their identity and how they view themselves and their accomplishments. Carrie brought her marathon medal and race bib to the interview. Theresa spoke of a shadow box full of her race medals, and Janet has all of her race medals hanging up in the entrance to her home. Despite participants’ reservations about calling themselves runners, running is clearly an important and intrinsic part of their lives.

Discussion

What emerged from these three themes are experiences of boundaries and the difficulties of negotiating boundaries. Boundaries around being both a mother and a runner can make it difficult for the participants to put the necessary time into running longer distances, such as a marathon. Boundaries around time constraints and running make it difficult for the participants to find enough time to train. Attending to boundaries makes it clear why some women choose to complete smaller distances road races instead of marathons. Lastly, recognizing the boundaries around running subculture and choosing not to conform to the dominant running identity can lead to more positive experiences of running and a new sense of running identity.

Within the running community there are subcultures of runners that create a hierarchy of runners with “real” runners at the top and “fun runners” at the bottom. Running subcultures, in addition to the public image of runners, require one to dedicate time, energy, and additional resources to running. Running is not simply “to run,” but rather a way of being; running must “become part of one’s daily life. One must become a runner, one must be a runner” (Altheide and Pfuhl 1980:132). Further, Tulle (2007) suggests that running becomes something that takes importance over other aspects of everyday life. It is not enough to be a “fun runner” who occasionally runs, weather permitting (Smith 1998), but rather a “runner” is dedicated to training, improving, and completing races. In order to become this kind of runner, a “career runner,” one must be emancipated from other responsibilities. My participants are not emancipated from other responsibilities and thus experience boundaries around their running and ability to be a “real” runner in the hierarchy of runners.

The boundary between being a runner and being a mother is clear in my interviews. Mothering requires the majority of the participants’ time and resources and interrupts their ability to run on a regular basis or for the desired amount of time. The participants must create a division between their mothering and running. This is why the majority of them run outside of the home with a running group. It is this division between the home and a running group that allows the participants time to accomplish running goals. Participants might not call themselves a “runner” because being a mother is a more important identity to them. Running and mothering both require the majority of one’s finite resources, and all of my participants stated mothering as more important. Additionally, the participants may recognize the boundaries of being a “runner” are not compatible with being a mother, and therefore refrain from calling themselves runners. Participants that have redefined what it means to be a runner have done so to accommodate a compatible mothering identity and a running identity. Time constraints are a part of motherhood and being a proper mother. In performing proper mothering, the majority of one’s resources go to mothering, and therefore little leisure time is left over for activities such as running. This is usually seen as a personal problem, and my participants have framed it as such. Participants did not address the lack of time as a broader gendered inequality within leisure itself.

The participants who do not call themselves runners may do so for various reasons. As seen above, parenting time constrains and mother guilt may prevent them from fully dedicating themselves to a running identity. Despite being recognized by the outside community as runners, they may not feel they fully embody a running identity. Researchers have studied running identity specifically, as a conceptualized “commitment” that has explicit implications for identity. If identity is a commitment, once one claims an identity, they must act accordingly (Leonard and Schmitt 1987). There are certain behaviors and roles one must fill in order to accomplish that identity. It could be that my participants do not call themselves runners because they do not want to fill the requirements of that role. Fitting the dominant running role would mean they have to
become a disembodied runner, which is not desirable. For the women in this study, the mothering role is more important and conflicts with that runner identity.

Participants may also refrain from calling themselves a runner because they are operating under the “cloak of incompetence.” Using the disclaimer of “I am not a runner; I run” relieves them of expectations that follow the label of a “runner.” In considering their running, my participants recognize that there are boundaries around being a runner, and actively refrain from engaging those boundaries. For participants who choose to redefine what being a “runner” means, they, too, are choosing not to engage the boundaries that established what makes a “real” runner. Instead, they are creating their own subgroup of running that involves different boundaries and defining aspects. These boundaries may be similar to a “real” runner, such as running as a main form of exercise, but are more lenient. For example, my participants believe that being a runner is someone who runs consistently, as running as a main form of exercise, but are more lenient. For example, my participants believe that being a runner is someone who runs consistently, without redefining themselves to “joggers” at the bottom of the hierarchy.

There are still boundaries around the types of runners that my participants conceive of as appropriate. These runners, however, are still different and distinct from a “fun runner.” My participants are, in fact, redistributing themselves within the hierarchy of runners. Wimmer (2008) argues that this is often done by those within boundary hierarchies as a way of recreating the boundaries of a category to allow themselves a place higher up within the hierarchy. Though my participants do not consider themselves disembodied runners, they have established themselves as having higher status than a “fun runner.”

Running identity is complex, with many influencing factors. Despite the fact these women actively seek to transform what running means to them, accomplishing that transformation is dependent on many aspects, including validation from the running group, outside peers, and themselves. In some cases, the creation of new criteria for running identity may be applied to other runners, but may not be applied to oneself, like as in the cases of Theresa, Carrie, and Margaret. These three participants have redefined what it means to be a runner, but still refrain from using the running label themselves, demonstrating these newly formed running identities are still fragile, and constantly in conflict with the dominant running discourse that promotes the disembodied runner. Further research could explore how such running identities are challenged, developed, transformed, and maintained within the running community. What may be more important to further explore is why some runners subscribe to the “runner” label, while others choose not to.

Research addressing groups of runners that are often overlooked, such as middle-aged amateur females, offers insights into identity formation in instances of conflicting categories of identity. Running experiences and, by extension, running identity may be vastly different for other understudied types of runners, such as various representations of ethnicity, disability, economic class, or single parenthood. Including participants of minority or marginalized groups is just one way that researchers can continue to learn about running, identity, leisure, and the boundaries that surround these three components.

This research contributes to the growing body of literature on sport, running, and identity. Further research can be done on runners who do not fit the runner ideal (i.e., white, male, elite, and under the age of 30). This article begins to fill-in that gap by focusing on women who are middle-aged, non-elite, and mothers. It also contributes to an understanding of how identities work for and against each other, and the way that identities can be formed, rejected, and even contested. Understanding running identity, mother guilt, and time constraints can also be used to understand the continued gender gap in road racing—my original research question.

Beyond sport and leisure this work on boundaries and identity could be extended to other areas, including boundaries between identities of parenthood and leisure generally, but also identities pertinent to the work role, parenthood, and other master statuses. Whichever research questions may arise, the results of my interviews are just one stepping stone to understanding the world of leisure, mothering, sport, boundaries, and identity.
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