

## Review form

Mr/Mrs .....

We kindly ask you for a substantive opinion (within two weeks) of the attached material, to be published in the **Review of Historical Sciences**.

Title of reviewed article: .....

Please tick the appropriate indication (number of points) in the table :

Definitely yes	- 5
Rather, yes	- 4
Yes after improvement	- 3
Rather, no	- 2
Definitely not	- 1

		Result
1.	Is the title formulated precisely and corresponds to the content?	
2.	Is the paper an original study?	
3.	Does the problem being presented in the work is significant for science?	
4.	Is the aim of article clearly specified and realized?	
5.	Does the work reflects the current state of knowledge?	
6.	Has the question been presented in a comprehensive way?	
7.	Was the literature of the subject accurately selected and used?	
8.	Is the method, interpretation and conclusions correct?	

The form of the work:

1.	Is the layout of the work correct?	
2.	Is the text correct in terms of language?	
3.	Is the illustration of the text (tables, charts, etc.) relevantly selected and worked out?	

Detailed comments for the Author of the work:

**CONCLUSION: (please choose one of the below)**

1. The article is worth publishing in author's version.
2. The article is worth publishing after corrections suggested by the reviewer.
3. The article is not suitable for publication in the **Review of Historical Sciences**.

Signature of the Reviewer