This article aims to present the outcomes of research conducted by the author on the Huguenots as educators for the Dutch nobility. This topic has been chosen because during the entire period covering the second half of the 16th century until the mid-18th century, many French Calvinists, also known as Huguenots, were either escaping from religious persecution by Catholic authorities, or emigrating for economic reasons to the United Provinces of the Netherlands. The first wave of emigrants reached its peak in 1572, after the St Bartholomew Day’s massacre. The second wave of migrants peaked in 1686–1687. Research conducted after the 300
year-anniversary of the Revocation of the Edict of Nantes (1685), which demanded that Huguenots convert to Catholicism under pain of death, showed that between fifty- and one-hundred-and-twenty thousand Huguenots left France and settled in Protestant countries across Europe following the Revocation of the edict of Nantes. The United Provinces became the main place of refuge for fleeing Huguenots, from which some of them continued their journey into the Holy Roman Empire, Ireland, England, and even beyond the sea to North America.

It is important to note that Huguenot emigration was not a single event, but a long process which created Huguenot communities outside of France. Usually such communities were established around a church, or ‘Temple’ as the Huguenots used to call their prayer house. In the United Provinces, migrants of the first wave were called ‘Walloons’, as also among them were residing in the Southern Netherlands’s region called Wallonia, and their churches were subsequently called ‘Walloon churches’ (fr. Eglise Wallone). In England, it was Edward VI who allowed construction of Strangers Reformed Churches in 1550. There were several churches of this kind in London, and each one had its own parishioners.

Who formed the majority of the Huguenots-émigrés? Although the majority were to some extent literate because of the Calvinist doctrine which stressed the importance of reading the Bible, only a small percentage were educated: craftsmen, doctors, lawyers, religious ministers – these were the graduates of the Huguenot academies, such as Puylaurens, Saumur, Sedan, Montauban, and Namur, where several generations of Huguenot scholars were
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educated. Within two decades following the Edict of Nantes (1598), which granted Huguenots some religious liberty, many such academies had been opened. The graduates of these institutions were of particular interest for parents seeking tutors of various skills for their children.

The study of Huguenot educational impact on European culture is important, since Huguenots were employed in different Protestant countries across Europe. Yet until now the topic of Huguenot education has been surprisingly absent from the agenda of prominent researchers of the Huguenot diaspora. Evidence for this is the recently published A Companion to the Huguenots, edited by Raymond A. Mentzer and Bertrand Van Ruymbeke, which despite aiming to present ‘an encompassing portrait of the Huguenots’ does not dedicate any space to Huguenot educational practices and ideas among its twenty chapters. Nevertheless, in the past ten years three collective volumes were published with the goal of introducing the academic world to the problems faced by French-speaking tutors and schools in the 16th–19th centuries. However, the United Provinces are absent from these volumes altogether. The first of these volumes, edited by Geraldine Sheridan and Vivien Rosen-Prest, Les éducateurs Huguenots dans l’espac...
broad title, most articles are dedicated to the history of French tutors in Russia and a smaller part once again dedicated to England and the German principalities. Therefore, despite the significant impact of these books on bringing the Huguenot tutors to light, they completely leave out the United Provinces of the Netherlands, where a significant number of such tutors was present. Moreover, the individual studies present only a fragmented picture based mostly on case-studies, making it impossible to reach broader conclusions. Therefore, I would like to fill this gap and introduce the reader to the Huguenot tutors in the Netherlands, their noble employers, and the private relationship they established.

From early in the fourth decade of the 17th century until the first decades of the 18th century, many Huguenots were employed in educating the Princes of Orange and Counts of Nassau, the Stadtholders of various Dutch provinces. Let us first examine what the position of the Stadtholder meant. In his monumental work on Dutch history, Jonathan Israel states that the position had been in existence from the time of the Spanish rule in each of the provinces, and was similar to the role of a royal representative. Stadtholders were given military command as their main purpose was to defend the territories. After the Dutch Revolt at the end of the 16th century, which was partly led by William I of Orange, Stadtholder of Holland, all of the Stadholders’ positions across the United Provinces were occupied by members of the Orange-Nassau dynasty; in Holland, the Orange branch was based in the largest province with its administrative centre in The Hague, and the more junior Nassau branch were based in Friesland, with their administrative centre in Leeuwarden. Not solely focusing on military tasks, the Stadtholder was responsible for defending the Reformed faith. Due to his military rank, the Stadtholder of Friesland was under command of the Stadtholder of Holland. The

10 One other volume in Russian published in 2018, *Ideal of Noble Education in Europe: Seventeenth through Nineteenth Centuries* [Idieał wospitanija dworianstva w Jewropie: XVII–XIX wieka], eds V. Rjéoutski, I. Fediukin, W. Berelowitch, Moscow 2018, also largely focuses on Russia, with some references to France, but not to the United Provinces.

childless William III (1650–1702), Prince of Orange, named Johan Willem Friso of Nassau-Dietz (1687–1711) to succeed to his title, thus uniting the two branches of the family. According to J.L. Price, the Stadtholder was particularly important as a unifying factor in Dutch society\textsuperscript{12}. As we will see below, both branches, despite their different status, shared similar standards for the education of their children\textsuperscript{13}.

In the 17\textsuperscript{th} century, the French court was considered the model for noblemen around Europe. Therefore, the aristocracy considered it imperative that their children knew French courtly manners and had fluent command of the language\textsuperscript{14}. The latter gained particular importance when Frederik Hendrik of Orange (1584–1647) established the princely court at The Hague in the first quarter of the 17\textsuperscript{th} century\textsuperscript{15}. The Stadtholder was no longer just a military commander; he was the centre of the court, the first noble of the land, and who needed to be surrounded by courtiers who knew how to behave accordingly\textsuperscript{16}. Willem Frijhoff notes that previously in 1608 an anonymous poem had been published, which mentioned that every Dutch daughter was dreaming of a gallant ‘monsieur’, its author complaining of the necessity to know manners and language that were foreign to him\textsuperscript{17}. Frijhoff also states that French manners, together with the Republic of Letters (an unofficial scholarly society at the time), were the main catalysts for the popularisation of the French language in high society\textsuperscript{18}. Here we should not forget the


\textsuperscript{15} For general information on the Orange-Nassau dynasty, see the classic work of N. Japikse, \textit{De Geschiedenis van het Huis van Oranje-Nassau}, vol. I–II, Hague 1938.


\textsuperscript{18} Idem, \textit{Des origines à 1780: l’émergence d’une image}, ‘Histoire de la diffusion et de l’enseignement du français dans le monde’ 1998, pp. 8–20; idem,
role of French in trade and science. The importance of French grew even more during the mid-reign of Louis XIV (1643–1715) when the French court became the most important centre of high-society in Europe, attracting not only noblemen but also artists, writers, and scholars. One should keep in mind, as Norbert Elias has shown, that the French king kept his status both through distribution of financial benefits and regulating access to himself among others through etiquette\textsuperscript{19}. Even so, despite the republican character of the United Provinces, the princely courts both in The Hague and Leeuwarden were important cultural and political centres\textsuperscript{20}.

**Huguenot ideas on education**

Having become acquainted with the context of high society in the 17\textsuperscript{th} century, we will now turn our attention to the use that Dutch nobility made of Huguenots in the field of education. Perhaps the most important question concerns the educational programme proposed by the Huguenots for children of the elite. Unfortunately, a lack of sources means that answering this question poses a serious problem. Nonetheless, there is at least one source that tells in detail how such an educational programme for a noble child would have looked.

This source is *Projet pour l’éducation d’un jeune seigneur* by Jean Rou (1638–1711), a Huguenot scholar, written in around 1690–91 for the use of the future head tutor, or *gouverneur*, of Johan Willem Friso, Count of Nassau\textsuperscript{21}. Rou came from a Parisian bourgeois family. His parents were Jacques Rou, a *procureur* at the Parliament of Paris, and Isabelle Toutin, who came from a family of artists. After the murder of his father and the death of his mother, at the age of fourteen Rou became an orphan under the care of his uncle who sent him to the Academy of Saumur. In 1659, he became magister of philosophy and half a year later passed his

\textsuperscript{19} N. Elias, *The Court Society*, 2\textsuperscript{nd} ed., Oxford 2006.
\textsuperscript{21} It was impossible to determine the identity of the tutor Rou had in mind. On the identification of the pupil, see M. Green, *The Huguenot...*, chapter 4.
law exams, as was customary in France at the time. He worked as a lawyer at the Parliament of Paris for several years but soon enough quit his stable job and solid income in favour of the writing pen. In the following years, he authored several translations of Spanish authors and one extremely popular original work entitled: *Tables de l’histoire universelle ancienne* (1672) which was dedicated to the French Dauphin and recognised by no less than Louis XIV himself\(^\text{22}\). Commissioned by the King himself, the sequel to this book extended the scope of covered historical periods to his own day, brought Rou’s career in France to an abrupt end; the book was banned and the author thrown into the Bastille, following accusations of anti-Catholic sentiments and the misuse of royal privilege. After his release, with the help of his patron, the Duke de Montausier, Rou began to tutor, at first in France and then in England and the United Provinces where in 1689 he was appointed translator of the Estates General. He died in The Hague in 1711\(^\text{23}\).

The memoirs that he wrote at the end of his life provide not only information on himself, but also contain many unpublished or lost works – either his own, or those of his friends, as well as some of his own correspondence. These memoirs shed light both on aspects of daily life and the intellectual climate at the time. The memoirs were edited by Francis Waddington and published in France in 1857, by the Société pour l’histoire du Protestantisme Français\(^\text{24}\).

The text of the *Projet* contains the following idea on education, as expressed by Rou: ‘The goal is to get the child to know his Creator and get him accustomed to prayer, to fear Him and serve Him. It is the first and the main principle of good upbringing, after which there will be advancement in all the other subjects’\(^\text{25}\). This thesis can be considered one of the most important principles of Rou’s educational vision. It corresponds with one of the principles of Christian humanism as propagated by Erasmus of Rotterdam\(^\text{26}\). In his text, Rou more or less follows Erasmian principles. Mornings and evenings the child must pray so that he has a constant reminder of
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23 See M. Green, *The Huguenot…*, chapter 1.


the Divine presence in his life. In the mornings, the child should read chapters of the Bible. These should be explained by his tutor. Fear of God, explicitly mentioned by Rou, was particularly important for the Calvinists, as is evident in *Confessio Belgica* (widely used in the United Provinces) and in the diaries of Willem Frederick of Nassau (1613–1664), the grandfather of Johan Willem Friso. After lunch the child can proceed with ‘secular’ subjects, among them history, literature, geography, and heraldry. For Rou, just as for Erasmus, it was important to use the study material for moral instruction and to find the connections between various study subjects. In this way, history can help in studying heraldry, the Bible in morals and virtue, even geography and literature in the development of personality, and so on.

At the same time, although Rou based his own thought on humanistic principles, there was certainly a contemporary aspect to his educational vision. He assumed that the child needs to ‘get to know the world’, in contradiction to Erasmian ideas but closely related to those of the English philosopher John Locke, who spent several years in the United Provinces. As did Locke, Rou endorsed focusing attention on subjects that would be helpful for the young nobleman in his future tasks, instead of learning only for the sake of learning. Latin and Greek should be learned on the basis of personal need, and one should never to overuse them in a conversation. Here Rou in fact differs from Locke, who considered Greek to be irrelevant for a nobleman and Latin as useful only for those who wanted to dedicate themselves to science. Curiously, the immensely popular and highly criticised book of Baltassare Castiglione, *Il libro del cortegiano*, read that the courtier should not only have a good command of Latin, but also Greek, since much has been written in both of these languages about different subjects. Rou also stressed, somewhat echoing Erasmus, that lessons should be made interesting, making study more of a game than hard labour.
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30 Desiderius Erasmus, *op. cit.*
**Personal relationship with the tutor**

The most striking difference between Rou’s educational vision and those of other prominent educators of the early modern period is in his attitude towards the relationship between tutor and pupil. Here we enter the sphere of privacy, since according to Rou, a relationship between pupil and tutor would need to be very close. The tutor should treat his pupil as a brother. Erasmus, on the contrary, viewed the relationship as that of father and son. The relationship between brothers is assumed to be a very close one, and therefore Rou’s proposal of brotherly treatment towards the pupil can be seen as an attempt to construct a private zone of trust with the latter. Considering private human relations, one can define such privacy zones, by starting with the self and then move into various private circles – the nuclear family, the household, and so on. Therefore, the tutor-pupil relationship that Rou aims to establish contains a great measure of trust. Thus, he creates an idea of what can be defined as a new private zone, standing right behind the one of the child and his immediate family\(^3\).

**Education of Dutch noblemen**

Having examined an educational treatise written by a Huguenot, we can draw our attention to several examples that show the extent to which Rou’s ideas corresponded with the demands of parents and with the ideas of other educators. First of all, it is necessary to point out that the most prominent and well-known Huguenot educator in the United Provinces was the theologian André Rivet (1572–1651). He was employed as head tutor to William II (1626–1650), son of Frederik Hendrik (1584–1647), Prince of Orange and Stadtholder of Holland, and Amalia van Solms (1602–1675). As mentioned above, this couple established a princely court in The Hague and promoted the use of French language. Along the same lines, they invited Rivet, who was working at the time at the University of Leiden.
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as chair of Theology, to become head tutor to their son\textsuperscript{32}. Samuel Chappuizeau (1625–1701), a renowned Huguenot scholar, held the position of tutor to the future Stadtholder William III of Orange (1650–1702). Other known examples of Huguenot tutors include three generations of the Nassau’s: Jean de Morel, head tutor to Hendrik Casimir II (1657–1696); Jean Lemonon, head tutor to Johan Willem Friso (1687–1711)\textsuperscript{33}, and the minister Isaac Lamigue, who acted as a personal preacher to Johan Willem Friso and taught French to his son, William IV (1711–1751).

The main sources on a head tutor’s responsibilities are the directives given by parents when appointing a new person to this position. In 1632, Frederik Hendrik wrote in his appointment of Rivet that it was the head tutor’s responsibility to teach William II the fear of God, good manners and modesty, which were imperative to him by birth. ‘[H]e will design a method of exercises [...] that William will follow, and maintain discipline that will be necessary to achieve this result, as well as guide him and go with him everywhere, where needed’\textsuperscript{34}. As is clearly seen from the text, Frederik Hendrik’s ideas correspond with the principles of Christian humanism that were also reflected in Rou’s work. While still a small child, William II wrote his motto, most likely under the scrupulous eye of his head tutor Rivet: ‘Nothing without God’ (fr. Rien sans Dieu) which shows that the Christian message of his teaching was well received by the pupil\textsuperscript{35}.

Furthermore, the emphasis that Frederik Hendrik put on the duty of the head tutor to guide and follow his pupil brings us back to the zones of privacy. In fact, Frederik Hendrik decided that Rivet would become part of the close circle surrounding the prince, a person of trust and confidence. Therefore, the placement of the head tutor into what we called the zone of privacy immediately following that of the child-immediate family is supported by the father’s words.


\textsuperscript{34} Commission from Frederik Hendrik to André Rivet, February 12, 1632, Royal House Archives [hereinafter: RHA], MS. A15-V-1.

\textsuperscript{35} Rien sans Dieu, 1637, RHA, A15-XII-3-9.
Similar ideas can be traced in the appointment of Frederik van Nassau van Zuylenstein (1624–1672), illegitimate uncle and head tutor to William III, which was made by William’s mother Mary Stuart, widow of William II, and his grandmother, Amalia van Solms36. Here the head tutor was to educate the young prince in the Reformed tradition of virtues and good manners, as well as to teach him Latin and other study subjects, and once again to accompany him in everything he does or everywhere he goes. Here we also see that the head tutor is located within the third private circle after the self and the family. Two Huguenots were employed with William III: Samuel Chappuizeau taught him French and Abraham Raguineau, a painter, taught him reading, writing, mathematics and drawing.

Religious education, as we have seen above, played an important role, as the Stadtholder was to defend the Reformed faith37. Of course, this emphasis on religion was not unique to the Dutch Reformed Church. A young Englishman, Philip Perceval, undertook a Grand Tour in France, where according to a letter sent by his Huguenot tutor, Alexandre de Rasigade, the young man attended a Synod in Saumur and listened to religious debates of Reformed theologians such as Etienne de Bray38.

Curiously enough, the large amount of time dedicated to religious education was not well-received by the parents, who demanded to reduce it. A vivid example is described by Jean Rou in his memoirs, when in 1680, during his tutorship of the sons of Cornelis van Aerssen van Sommelsdijk (a close supporter of William III) and the Huguenot noblewoman Marguerite du Puys de Saint André Montbrun, he entered into a conflict with the mother. Despite demonstrating to Rou her vivid interest in religion, by inviting him to join her in attending various preachings, she claimed that he devoted too much time to religion at the expense of other disciplines. Pierre Jurieu, a famous Huguenot theologian and a close friend of Jean Rou, tried to resolve the conflict but was unsuccessful. Rou was dismissed and turned to an administrative career for the time being.

While the Dutch families who hired Huguenot tutors hoped that these Frenchmen would teach their children courtly manners, only
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36 Commision pour le Sr. De Suylesteyn comme gouverneur de son Altesse, September 27, 1659, RHA, MS. B12-27.
37 J. Israel, op. cit., p. 305.
a few had a true idea of how the French Court functioned. André Rivet was among those who had actually served in a noble household in France, as a pastor to the Duke de Le Trémouille and his wife up until 1620, when he was invited to teach at Leiden University. He therefore had hands-on experience with courtly manners. Jean Rou also had access to the court until the ban on his *Tables de l’histoire moderne* (1675). The conclusion that can be drawn here is that Dutch families would often be satisfied with a tutor who was French and knew the culture, if a person with proper courtly experience was unavailable to them. It is worthwhile to note that not everyone in the United Provinces was particularly happy with the spread of French culture, however. Jean de Morel was criticised by Johan Maurits, uncle of Hendrik Casimir II, for being ‘too French’ and therefore not a good example for a Dutch nobleman.

Indeed, besides French language, religion and courtly manners, young aristocrats who were educated by Huguenots studied the usual *studia humanitatis*; William II read Caesar’s *De bello Gallico*, a Latin history book, thus following the Erasmian principle of combining different subjects, while Rou was reading fables with his pupils to teach them both morals and literature. In such, all prominent humanistic elements were present in their education.

**Conclusions**

It can be clearly seen that Huguenots were influenced by Christian humanism as much in their educational ideas as in the practical side of education. The popularity of Huguenots as tutors can be explained by the Huguenot presence in large numbers in Protestant countries, together with the vast importance to high society of the French language, culture and courtly manners. As is evident from the example from the highest Dutch nobility, having at least one Huguenot tutor at the household was almost a given option.
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41 Willem II to Frederik Hendrik, no place, no day, August 1639, Ick ben bedroef..., RHA, MS A-14-XI-A-16.

The fact that Huguenots functioned as tutors to noble families over a long period of time (from late 16th and until early 18th centuries) shows that they acted as intercultural intermediaries between their own culture and that of the countries in which they were employed. As I have shown in my works dedicated to Huguenot education in other countries, they had a unique combination of skills and qualities that were not available in any other group within the population⁴³.
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