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Dracula – non omnis moriar
Facts and myths from the life of Vlad III the Impaler

S TRESZCZENIE
Dracula – non omnis moriar. Fakty i mity z życia Włada III Palownika

Nie ma wiele osób, które nie słyszały o okrutnym Draculi, wampirze wychodzącym z grobu i żyjącym w krwi żywców, by żyć wiecznie. Kim jednak był pierwowzór postaci, która stała na szczycie kultury popularnej? Aby odpowiedzieć na to i inne pytania, w niniejszym artykule opisane zostaną najważniejsze fakty z życia włoskiego hospodara Włada III Palownika. Następnie przedstawione zostaną najbardziej znane legendy o Draculi, mające wpływ na stałe rozrastający się mit transylwańskiego aristokraty-vampira, zrodzonego w XIX w. na kartach powieści irlandzkiego pisarza Bram Stokera.
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A BSTRACT
Almost everyone has heard of the cruel Dracula, the vampire coming out of the grave and feeding on the blood of the living to live forever. But who was the prototype of the character who has permanently settled in the contemporary popular culture? In order to answer this and other questions, the presented article will describe the most important facts from the life of the Wallachian voivode, Vlad III the Impaler. Then, the most famous legends about Dracula will be presented, as they still influence the ever-growing myth of the Transylvanian vampire aristocrat, born in the nineteenth century on the pages of the novel by Irish writer Bram Stoker.
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Introduction

Dracula – Prince of Darkness, powerful vampire, bloody beast and terrifying creature described by the Irish writer Bram Stoker in his book of 1897 that quickly conquered all Europe\(^1\). When looking for an idea for a novel, Stoker carefully analysed the library’s sources and had numerous interviews with the Hungarian orientalist, Arminius Vambéry. Thus he found information about the prince Dracula who ruled in Wallachia\(^2\) in the fifteenth century and, according to some researchers, also the story of the controversial Elizabeth Báthory\(^3\), a Hungarian countess with sadistic tendencies. As in times of the Victorian England, death and disease were surrounded by a fog of superstition and all over the world vampire legends were an attempt to explain things incomprehensible to humans, the Irish writer decided to portray Dracula as a creature coming out of the grave and feeding on the blood of the living\(^4\). We may assume that an essay by Emily Gerard entitled *Transylvanian superstitions*\(^5\) was the inspiration for the


vampire thread in Stoker’s famous novel. Studying the materials contained there, he probably discovered, among others, effective methods in the fight against vampires, such as deterring with garlic, sprinkling holy water, staking through the heart of a dead or cutting off the head and burning the corpse⁶.

Since then, Dracula’s story has been filmed over 200 times⁷. But who was the prototype of the character who has permanently settled in the contemporary popular culture? And has Vlad Dracula ever been accused of vampirism? In order to answer these and other questions, this article will describe the most important historical facts from the life of the Wallachian voivode, Vlad III the Impaler. Then, the most famous legends about Dracula will be presented, as they still influence the ever-growing myth of the Transylvanian vampire aristocrat, born in the nineteenth century on the pages of Bram Stoker’s novel.

The political situation in Wallachia in the first half of the 15th century

Wallachia is part of today’s southern Romania, lying between the Danube and the Carpathians. In the fifteenth century it bordered Hungary, Transylvania, Moldova, Serbia and the Ottoman Empire (see Fig. 1). Practically until the mid-fifteenth century, the national and cultural character of the Wallachian state was clearly Romanian and closely related to the Orthodox Church. Politically, from the very beginning of its independent existence, the principality was associated with Catholic Hungary. However, at the turn of the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries, during the reign of the Wallachian prince, which clearly shows how the aforementioned theory of McNally and Florescu is rooted in the imagination of creators and popular culture. M. Grabias, Dracula – nowe perspektywy badawcze (recenzja), „Perspektywy Kultury” 2019, No. 25, p. 195.
the Elder (1386–1418), it had to face the growing influence of the rapidly expanding Turkish state, to which it began to pay tribute in 1417. After the death of Mircea the Elder, a fierce fratricidal fight for the Wallachian throne broke out, which lasted almost a century and additionally weakened the position of Wallachia in relation to both powerful neighbours.8

In such circumstances, at the beginning of the fifteenth century (after the death of his elder brothers, Michael I and Radu II the Empty Head), the third son of Mircea the Elder, Vlad II Dracul, called the Dragon, appeared on the political horizon. As a pupil of the Hungarian king Sigismund of Luxembourg, he was admitted in 1431 to the Order of the Dragon, which was established as a secular military-religious community to defend Christianity and fight the Ottomans. Vlad the Dragon was admitted to this elite order for strictly political reasons. At that time, the voivode of Wallachia, Dan II (son of Dan I, who ruled before Mircea the Elder), died and the Hungarian court was preparing Vlad the Dragon for his successor. Thus it was decided in advance to bound him by a special oath of allegiance to king Sigismund. However, before Vlad Dracul managed to reach Wallachia from Hungary, Alexander I Aldea, who claimed to be Mircea the Elder’s illegitimate son, took power there. Therefore, only after the latter’s death in 1436, previously occupied with the affairs of the Hussites and wars in Europe Sigismund of Luxemburg gave Vlad II armed support, and the latter became a new voivode of Wallachia.10

---

9 Due to the constant use of the dragon as an emblem, he was initially called the Dragon (lat. Draco, rom. Drac), which after adding the Romanian masculine article -ul turned into the Romanian Dracul, meaning Devil. However, during the lifetime of Vlad II, the nickname had positive connotations. Only during the reign of his son, Vlad III, after further transformations, the family began to bear the surname Dracula, which was associated with evil and cruelty. I. Czamańska, op. cit., p. 28; C. Rezachevici, From the Order of the Dragon to Dracula, “Journal of Dracula Studies” 1999, vol. I, issue 1, p. 5.
The same year, Turkish troops entered Wallachia, and the new ruler of the principality started negotiations with Murad II. As it turned out, Vlad the Dragon was a pragmatist. The Turks were close, and the emperor was far away. Therefore, when Sigismund of Luxemburg died in 1437, and Albert the Magnanimous succeeded him, Vlad II granted the Turks military aid against the new Hungarian king\textsuperscript{11}. In the following years, the political situation

in Hungary changed significantly. In November 1439, Albert the Magnanimous died unexpectedly and was replaced by the Polish king, Władysław III of Varna. However, before he could come from Krakow and take power, Queen Elizabeth, widow of Albert the Magnanimous, granted the crown of Hungary to her posthumous son, Ladislaus V the Posthumous. Civil war began in Hungary. At the same time, the Hungarian-Turkish conflict also intensified, in which one of the most influential members of the Order of the Dragon, John Hunyadi, played a significant role as the commander of the Hungarian forces.

After the victory over the Ottoman army on the Ialomița in 1442, John Hunyadi, supported by the king of Hungary, placed the son of Dan II, Basarab II, a faithful ally in the fight against the Turks, on the Wallachian throne. In this situation, deprived of the throne, Vlad Dracul decided to seek support from Murad II. Contrary to his expectations, however, he was imprisoned in the Gallipoli fortress, from which the sultan released him only two years later, fearing that the then alliance between Hungary and Wallachia could seriously threaten Turkey’s interests. Vlad the Dragon returned to Wallachia accompanied by an armed Turkish detachment and reclaimed the throne, taking the life of his predecessor, Basarab II. At the same time, two younger sons of Vlad II, Radu (later Radu III the Handsome) and Vlad (later Vlad III Țepeș) were sent to Eğrigöz as hostages and guarantors of the treaty for the independence of Wallachia. For this reason, among others, Vlad II, still a sworn member of the Order of the Dragon, did not personally participate in the battle of Varna, which was lost by the anti-Turkish coalition on November 10, 1444, sending his son Mircea as his replacement. He himself joined the ongoing conflict over the Moldovan throne instead. As a result, Hungary was forced to intervene almost simultaneously in both Romanian countries. They provided, inter alia, support for the pretender to the Wallachian throne, the son of Dan II, Dan III the Younger, and inspired the outbreak of an uprising against Vlad II and his co-ruling eldest son, Mircea. The latter was murdered in a revolt by the inhabitants of the capital, Tîrgoviște, while Vlad the Dragon was killed in early 1447 in Bălteni. The rule in Wallachia was taken over by Dan III, thus

---


**The Reign of Vlad III the Impaler**

Less than a year after the events described above, the sultan released Vlad and Radu. The latter remained in Turkey, while 17-year-old Vlad returned to Wallachia and, with Ottoman help, regained his paternal throne as Vlad III Dracula. However, after less than two months, he was deposed by John Hunyadi\footnote{After the death of Władysław III of Varna in the battle of Varna, Hungarian magnates chose Ladislaus V the Posthumous as their king, but emperor Frederick III refused to comply with the demands and for the next two years, Hungary was ruled by the so-called Council of Seven. After its dissolution by the Sejm in 1446, John Hunyadi assumed power on behalf of Ladislaus the Posthumous. W. Felczak, Historia Węgier, Wrocław–Warszawa–Kraków 1966, p. 89.}, who was acting regent of Hungary at that time, and his supporters, as a result of which he had to save himself by fleeing to nearby Moldova, where he stayed for the next several years with short breaks.\footnote{S. Andreescu, Vlad Țepeș (Dracula). Intre legendă și adevăr istoric, Bucureșt 1998, p. 14; P. Dan, Psycho-biographical considerations about Vlad the Impaler also known as Dracula, p. 14, https://www.academia.edu/10342218/Psycho-biographical考虑s about Vlad_the_Impaler_also_known_as_Dracula (accessed: 19 VII 2021); K. Goneski, Vlad Tepes: prawdziwa historia Księcia Draculi, http://www.horror.com.pl/publicystyka/art.php?id=62 (accessed: 1 VII 2021).}

Vlad III the Impaler (rom. Vlad III Țepeș) was born in Sighișoara in the principality of Transylvania in 1431, as the second son of Vlad II the Dragon. Under the care of a boyar tutor, he spent his childhood and youth there, until 1444, when he spent four years with his younger brother Radu III as a hostage at the Turkish court. He inherited the nickname Drăculea from his father, translated as “Son of the Dragon” or “Son of the Devil”, although during his reign he was also called Prince the Impaler (rom. Țepeș, tur. Kazikklu Bey)\footnote{J. G. Melton, A. Hornick, The Vampire in Folklore, History, Literature, Film and Television. A Comprehensive Bibliography, Jefferson, NC 2015, p. 55; C. Teodorescu, L.S. Szemkovics, R. Radu, From Vlad Țepeș – Wallachian ruler – to...}.

And this is how the papal legate Nicholas of Modrussy...
described his appearance: “He was not very tall, but very stocky and strong, with a cruel and terrible appearance, a long straight nose, distended nostrils, a thin and reddish face in which the large wide-open green eyes were framed by bushy black eyebrows, which made them appear threatening. His face and chin were shaven but for a moustache. The swollen temples increased the bulk of his head. A bull’s neck supported the head, from which black curly locks were falling to his wide-shouldered person”.

When in May 1453, sultan Mehmed II conquered Constantinople, a new Hungarian-Turkish conflict broke out. Because of Dan III’s two-faced attitude, he fell out of favour, suspected of supporting the Turks. The Hungarians decided to restore to the Wallachian throne Vlad III Dracula, who, while previously in Transylvania, managed to gain the trust of John Hunyadi. In 1456, he gave additional proof of his loyalty to the Hungarian regent by supporting his troops in an expedition to the besieged Belgrade. The relief not only saved the city, but also led to the defeat of Turkish troops. After the battle of Belgrade (July 4–22, 1456), an epidemic of plague broke out, as a result of which Hunyadi lost his life, and the Turks were forced to retreat. In this situation, in the same year, Vlad Dracula decided to take power from Dan III, who lost in the fight not only the throne, but later (in the Holy Week of 1460) also his life.

After his coronation to the prince of Wallachia, Vlad III chose a twelve-person council and initiated a strong-arm regime. In 1457, he dealt with the Saxons from Sibiu and Brașov, who had previo-
usly supported Vlad IV the Monk (the illegitimate son of Vlad II the Dragon) and Dan III the Younger, who were both claiming to the Wallachian throne\textsuperscript{20}. On Easter 1459, in turn, he took revenge on the boyars who had killed his father and brother over a decade earlier. He invited them to a sumptuous feast in Tîrgovişte. Those who were directly responsible for the murder of his family were to have their throats cut. The others were sent on a journey to the mountains, where they were forced to murderous work on the construction of the Poenari castle. Thanks to this skilful move, Vlad Dracula not only avenged the deaths of the loved ones, but, above all, got rid of potential political opponents who could threaten his position. Other subsequent executions of rebellious and disloyal boyars and their families had economic reasons, too. The physical destruction of the entire family meant that its property was taken over by the voivode himself\textsuperscript{21}.

Despite many internal enemies, the neighbourhood of Turkey turned out to be the greatest threat to the power of the Wallachian prince. When in 1459, Vlad refused to pay the tribute imposed on him two years earlier, Mehmed II’s army invaded the lands of Wallachia in the spring of 1462. The sultan’s late reaction to the disobedience of the Wallachian tributary should be explained by the political situation in Turkey at that time. In 1460, Mehmed II was seized in Morea, and in 1461, he fought in Asia Minor. At the beginning of the conflict, it was the Wallachian voivode who attacked Turkish territories, which exposed himself to retaliation by the sultan\textsuperscript{22}. Vlad Dracula, however, turned out to be a bright and cunning strategist. He used the scorched earth policy. On his order, villages and settlements were burned, and wells and water reservoirs were poisoned to make it difficult for the sultan’s army to march deep into the country\textsuperscript{23}. On the night of June 16–17, 1462, in turn, the

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{enumerate}
\item Reporting to the King of Hungary on his Danube campaign, Dracula presented a record of 23,883 dead. Never before have the Turks suffered such great losses in such a short time. M. Cazacu, \textit{op. cit.}, p. 149.
\item The destruction of towns, villages and border crossings, as well as the total depopulation of the area south of the Danube along the state border were also intended to reduce the number of strategic points where a Turkish invasion could
\end{enumerate}
\end{footnotesize}
voivode attacked the sultan’s camp, having previously dressed his army in Turkish clothes. Surprised Turks started murdering each other and only thanks to their great numerical superiority they did not suffer a defeat. When, despite these actions, the Turkish army was still moving towards Tîrgovişte, Dracula decided to finally break the opponent’s morale. The sultan’s army encountered 20,000 impaled bodies. Apparently, there were not only the bodies of Turkish prisoners, but also of those of the Wallachian soldiers who had received in previous clashes wounds in the back. The Greek historian Chalkokondyles described those events as follows: “The sultan’s army entered into the area of the impalements, which was seventeen stades long and seven stades wide. There were large stakes there on which, as it was said, about twenty thousand men, women, and children had been spitted, quite a sight for the Turks and the sultan himself. The sultan was seized with amazement and said that it was not possible to deprive of his country a man who had done such great deeds, who had such a diabolical understanding of how to govern his realm and its people. And he said that a man who had done such things was worth much. The rest of the Turks were dumbfounded when they saw the multitude of men on the stakes. There were infants too affixed to their mothers on the stakes, and birds had made their nests in their entrails.”

After these events, the sultan ordered a retreat, and the Ports’ troops moved east, bypassing Tîrgovişte. Soon after, the political emissaries of Mehmed II established contacts with the Wallachian opposition and put forward as a candidate for the throne the younger brother of Vlad Dracula, Radu the Handsome. Importantly, in 1462, Wallachia, conflicted with Moldova and deprived of any external support, both from Hungary (suffering from a lack of

be expected. C. Smith, Vlad Țepeș, his military campaign against the Ottoman Empire in 1462, and the forging of a Romanian national identity, p. 13, https://www.academia.edu/25642701/Vlad_%C5%A2epe%C5%9F_his_military_campaign_against_the_Ottoman_Empire_in_1462_and_the_forging_of_a_Romanian_national_identity (accessed: 20 VII 2021).

24 S. Andreescu, op. cit., pp. 46–47.


26 By bringing Radu III the Handsome to power, the sultan resolved all his problems related to the insubordination of Vlad III the Impaler in Wallachia, without having to win a great military victory, plunder his own lands, or become involved in an unnecessary conflict with Hungary. C. Smith, op. cit., p. 15.

27 During the Turkish invasion on Wallachia, Stephen III the Great tried to regain the Hungarian-Wallachian Kilia, an important Black Sea port which was
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finances) and other members of the anti-Turkish coalition, had to face the powerful Ottoman Empire alone. Vlad, despite vigorous defence, was finally captured in Piatra Craiului Mountains and extradited to Hungary in November 1462. Dracula’s Transylvanian enemies, backed by king of Hungary, Matthias Corvinus, falsely accused him of treason and anti-Hungarian plotting with Turkey, thus leading to his imprisonment. The behaviour of the Hungarian king is partly explained by the international situation at the time. Hungary was surrounded by enemies on all sides (including Emperor Frederick III who was aspiring to the Hungarian Crown, Stephen III the Great, or the Czech Hussite king, George of Podiebrad), so it did not decide to support Vlad against the Ottoman candidate for the Wallachian throne, as it would entail it in war with Turkey, a war that Hungary would have to fight alone. However, throughout 1463 the Hungarian king had to continuously explain why he had imprisoned Vlad III, and had abandoned the crusade against the Ottomans.

Dracula in captivity, and then in exile, spent fourteen years, imprisoned at first at the castle in Buda and in Visegrád. A propaganda campaign began against him. A six-page pamphlet entitled The story of the voivode Dracula of 1463 was written in the form of a series of unrelated stories on atrocities committed by the Wallachian prince. For the next twelve years, Vlad the Impaler was transferred to Pest, where he resided with his wife and three children as a political prisoner of the Hungarian monarch. He was not allowed to leave the city, but enjoyed freedom and was receiving a salary.

Meanwhile, the Hungarian-Turkish conflict has been suspended and Hungary lost the Danube affairs from its sight. In November 1473, Stephen III the Great threw Radu III the Handsome from that time a key to the further development of trade in the region. J. Demel, Historia Rumunii, Warszawa 1970, p. 129.

28 Matthias Corvinus was the second son of John Hunyadi. He was elected king of Hungary in 1458, a year after the death of Ladislaus V the Posthumous. W. Felczak, op. cit., p. 95.


30 A. Michalewska, op. cit.; B.G. Sala, op. cit., p. 171.
the throne and replaced him with Basarab III the Old. For over a year Wallachia passed from the hands of voivodes allied with Stefan the Great to the hands of Turkish vassals, and vice versa. Finally, at the end of 1474, Mehmed II decided to send his troops to Moldova to punish the rebellions. After the loss of the battle of Vaslui by the Turks in January 1475, in the spring of 1476, the sultan, supported by the Wallachian soldiers of Basarab III, set off with a retaliatory action at the head of a powerful army. As the Hungarian king was at that time busy with arranging his marriage to Beatrice of Aragon and negotiating with Frederick III, only the Transylvanian army, led by Stephen V Báthory of Ecsed and Vlad III Dracula, came to Moldova’s help. Surprisingly, Turkey was forced to retreat, as the Ottoman army was decimated by the plague. It was then that the Transylvanian troops attacked the enemy’s rear and won a victory in August 1476, as a result of which Vlad III managed to regain the Wallachian throne.31

Yet, already in December this year, Basarab III the Old returned, supported by the Turks, and defeated Vlad the Impaler, who orphaned a widow and three sons (Mihnea, probably Mircea, and Vlad). There is no consensus among historians about the circumstances of Dracula’s death. The betrayal of the boyars is most often mentioned. Other sources say that the prince died when he went to the rescue of Stephen V Báthory, surrounded by Turkish troops. Finally, some believe that just before his final triumph in a battle, Dracula was killed by his soldiers. His scalped, embalmed, and cotton-stuffed head was sent to Istanbul, while his body was most likely buried in the Snagov Monastery, thirty-five kilometres from Bucharest, under the floor of the monastery church. Excavations at the beginning of the twentieth century did not confirm the existence of any human remains there. Only at a depth of three meters were the sarcophagus and coffin found. On contact with air, however, the body disintegrated immediately.32

31 Already in 1475, Matthias Corvinus made the alliance treaty with Moldova against Turkey and decided to release prince Dracula and restore him to his former status of the voivode of Wallachia. However, the latter could not become the ruler of Wallachia at that time, because Basarab III had just made peace with Hungary, and maintained good relations with the Saxons, while paying tribute to the Turks. M. Cazacu, op. cit., pp. 180–184; J. Demel, op. cit., p. 129.

32 K. Gomerski, op. cit.
The immortal legend of Dracula

Even during the lifetime of Vlad III the Impaler, there were many legends about him. Some of them come from the years 1463–1464, i.e. the period immediately after his imprisonment by the Hungarians who tried to vilify the Wallachian voivode and thus, at least partially, justify his arrest. There are many stories from this period describing the incredible cruelty of Dracula, who did not hesitate to use such tortures as man cooking, cutting up, skinning or cutting off the ears, noses, limbs and genitals. His favourite method of killing, however, was the impalement, from which he owes his later nickname. Even at a time when public executions were the order of the day, death on a pale was considered one of the cruellest, as the victim died for up to three days, slipping slowly on the stake, which gradually tore its insides. According to various estimates, between 40,000 and 100,000 people were sentenced in this gruesome manner during the Impaler’s rule, of which approx. 20,000 were exposed to the public in the capital city of Targoviște.  

Around 1475, when Dracula was to be restored to the Wallachian throne, the process of the prince’s rehabilitation began. The stories circulating at that time emphasized the intransigent anti-Turkish attitude of the Wallachian ruler. Finally, in the years 1488–1521, about thirteen books, describing the cruelty of Vlad the Impaler, were published. However, it is difficult to unequivocally verify the truth of these stories. Thus, only those that were continuously repeated in various sources are presented below.  

In 1458, Matthias Corvinus sent a Pole, Benedict de Boithor, as an envoy to Wallachia. During the supper, Vlad Dracula ordered a golden spear to be placed exactly in front of the king’s envoy, whom he asked if he knew why the spear had been placed there. Benedict replied that he suspected that a boyar had offended the prince, and that the latter wanted to reward him accordingly. When the voivode replied that the spear had been set up to honour the Polish guest, Benedict stated that if he had done anything to deserve death, the prince should do what he thought best. Dracula was very pleased to hear this answer and showered the envoy with

---

33 K. Rozwadowski, op. cit.
34 I. Czamańska, op. cit., p. 94.
gifts. He did not hesitate to add, at the same time, that if the Pole had answered in any other manner, he would have been immediately impaled.

According to another legend, Vlad the Impaler seized and confiscated all the possessions of merchants from Brașov and the land of Bârsy, impaling forty-one people. Then he gathered 300 young men from these areas, and having gathered them in one place, he impaled them or threw into the fire. On the day of St Bartholomew in 1460, in turn, the cruel man ordered that 30,000 merchants and noblemen from the same city of Brașov be impaled, and he made a feast among impaled bodies. However, when, while eating, he noticed that one of his boyars was holding his nose, he ordered the sensitive nobleman to be impaled as well, but to be placed higher than the other bodies so that he would not feel the smell of all around him anymore.

Once, two monks came from abroad to pay a visit to Vlad III in his palace in Targoviște. The ruler showed them his garden and rows of impaled bodies. When asked about their impressions, the first monk replied, “You are appointed by God to punish the evil-doers,” while the second monk condemned the brutal prince. Then, Dracula rewarded the honest monk for his courage, and impaled the flatterer for insincerity. Another time, two envoys from the sultan came to the Wallachian voivode. When the prince asked them to take off the turbans, they refused. The angry voivode ordered them to be seized immediately and the turbans to be nailed to their heads.

One of the legends, in turn, tells about a woman who always made every effort to lighten Dracula’s burdens. Once she confessed to him that she was pregnant. The prince ordered to interrogate her, and when the woman’s lie was revealed, he took a knife and cut her open from the groin to her breasts, while proclaiming his desire for the world to see where he had been. Voivode also was fighting disease and poverty in his own way. One day, he ordered a sumptuous feast for the paupers and the disabled. When they were eating and drinking to their heart’s content, he ordered the

---

35 S. Andreescu, op. cit., p. 82.
37 S. Andreescu, op. cit., p. 82; M. Cazacu, op. cit., pp. 125, 136–137.
38 S. Andreescu, op. cit., p. 97; K. Goneskii, op. cit.; B.G. Sala, op. cit., p. 176.
shed to be set on fire, claiming that he had rendered these poor people a favour, because they were not happy when they were alive. He argued that he wanted to make Wallachia a well-managed land free from crime, where there would be room for healthy and resourceful people only\textsuperscript{39}.

Although Dracula’s rule was based on violence, the law he created was strictly respected by his countrymen. The effectiveness of the method of intimidating the society with severe penalties is evidenced by the fact that one day the prince placed a golden cup on the central square in Targovişte. The cup could be used by thirsty travellers, but it was to remain in its place forever. According to the legends, it has been never stolen indeed. Voivode also cared about the honesty of the merchants, whom he once forced to leave the stalls open for the night. Then he took a certain amount of money from each merchant, and during the day he asked each stallholder to state the exact amount that he had lost. If any of them dared to claim more than what was taken they ended up on a pale\textsuperscript{40}.

Another story tells us about Dracula and his family’s stay in Hungary. After Matthias Corvinus had freed him, Vlad III lived in Pest, in a house where one day a villain was looking for shelter. The people who were chasing him broke into the prince’s house, who intervened and cut off the head of the guard chasing the criminal, while releasing the prisoner. When asked by the officials sent on behalf of the Hungarian king why he did so, Dracula was to answer the following: “I have done nothing wrong, but he has killed himself. All those who break into the house of a great sovereign as thieves shall likewise perish. If the mayor had come to me and had explained, and if I had found the criminal in my house, I would have delivered him myself or I would have spared him of his life”\textsuperscript{41}.

Finally, with the exception of the folktale quoted below after Walter Langbein, in legends circulating about Vlad Ţepeş, there is practically no mention of its alleged vampirism: “Whenever a peasant or merchant family was to be impaled, simply because it had paid too little tax, Ţepeş would order the young daughter of that family to be brought to him – if there was one, but which usually happened – he tortured her, enslaved her, and finally ordered to

\textsuperscript{39} S. Andreescu, \textit{op. cit.}, pp. 82, 96; I. Czamańska, \textit{op. cit.}, pp. 97–99.
\textsuperscript{40} I. Czamańska, \textit{op. cit.}, p. 101; B.G. Sala, \textit{op. cit.}, p. 175.
\textsuperscript{41} S. Andreescu, \textit{op. cit.}, p. 83.
dagger her in front of him. Then, he would fill a cup with her blood and toast in front of her parents, dying on stakes.\(^{42}\)

On the other hand, taking into account the features of the literary character created by Bram Stoker, it should be concluded that the author of Dracula simply attributed to his title character all the attributes that were identified with vampires in folk beliefs.\(^{43}\) This view is presented, among others, in the works of Elisabeth Miller and Anna Gemra, who clearly state that, apart from the name and cruelty, the most famous vampire in the world have very little in common with its historical prototype.\(^{44}\)

**Conclusions**

It is difficult to unequivocally assess the reign of Vlad III the Impaler known as Dracula. It must be remembered that the times in which he reigned were turbulent. Manoeuvring between two military powers (Hungary and Turkey), he had numerous enemies both at home and abroad, with whom he often had to brutally deal with. The famous impalement was only one of the types of the death penalty, which was not inferior in its cruelty to breaking wheel, burning at the stake or drowning, so commonly used in Western Europe at that time.\(^{45}\)

During the reign of Vlad Țepeș, Wallachia was probably inhabited by 300,000 people. The principality had over 2,000 cities and towns, seventeen cities were at the disposal of the ruler. However, these were not fortified castles, and in the event of an attack, the population had to escape into the surrounding forests. At the same time, due to the complicated international situation, the Wallachian voivode was left alone in the fight against the Ottoman Empire. No wonder he tried to create a modern state with effective law, healthy economy and strong central authority. However, this goal


\(^{43}\) According to P. Johnson, the folk tales circulating in Southern and Eastern Europe do not mention the fact that vampires have no reflection in the mirror. See P. Johnson, *op. cit.*, p. 11.


was hindered by the boyar families, conspiring against him and supported by the Saxon patriciate of Transylvania, dissatisfied with the trade restrictions that Vlad Dracula imposed on foreign traders. Hence, among others, Dracula’s decisions on the invasion and devastation of the Transylvanian Brașov (1457), as well as on the bloody settlements with boyars in 1459.

It cannot be denied that the Wallachian prince was an effective and outstanding leader, who tried to defend the independence of his country with draconian methods. He even started minting his own coin, the so-called crusade ducat, that was supposed to help him pay for mercenaries in actions against the Turks. On the other hand, taking into account the fact that it is impossible to confirm the information about his alleged cruelty towards his own subjects, it should come as no surprise that to this day in the eyes of Romanians he is a national hero and a slayer of the Turkish invader46.

After having presented the most important facts from the life of Vlad III the Impaler, and the most often repeated legends about Dracula, as well as the polemic between researchers about the novel published by Bram Stoker in 1897, the following conclusions can be drawn. First of all, virtually none of the known tales of Vlad Țepeș mentions his alleged vampirism. Secondly, there is no convincing evidence that Bram Stoker, apart from using the name Dracula, modelled his literary character on a Wallachian voivode from the fifteenth century. The only undeniable fact is that, due to the legend of Dracula that has grown over the centuries, it is impossible to separate facts from myths on the life and deeds of the son of Vlad II the Dragon. In addition, thanks to the popularisation of the image of Dracula, also Vlad III himself has become immortal, although not in the same way as his literary counterpart47.

47 Bram Stoker introduced the concept of the un-dead to the English dictionary. Even before the novel was finally published in 1897, one version of its title was “Dracula; or, the Un-Dead”. E. Miller, The Question of..., p. 2.
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