

ACTA UNIVERSITATIS LODZIENSIS. FOLIA LIBRORUM

REVIEW FORM

Article entitled:

The editors kindly ask for a review of the article. Please refer to the following form elements.

The review is confidential.

1.	The overall value of the work a) it is a contribution to the development of its discipline b) it does not include any new information c) it is not scientific in nature	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
2.	Does the title correspond to the content? a) yes, it does b) yes, but requires changes or additions c) no, it does not	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
3.	Does the introduction correspond to the research issues? a) yes, it does b) yes, but requires changes or additions c) no, it does not	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
4.	Research method a) has been chosen correctly and described properly b) has been selected correctly but described incorrectly c) the subject requires a different research method d) the preparation of the text does not require the use of a research method	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
5.	Reaching the goal of the work a) the goal of the work has been reached b) the goal of the work has not been fully reached, it requires additions and amendments c) the goal has not been reached	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
6.	Language and spelling a) the text has been prepared correctly in terms of the language b) the text requires a number of stylistic and linguistic amendments c) the text requires thorough stylistic and linguistic changes	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
7.	Bibliography and footnotes a) have been prepared correctly b) are not consistent with the editorial instructions c) bibliography is incomplete, omits important publications d) lack of footnotes where indicated	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
8.	Conclusion a) the article is worth publishing as is b) the article can be published after consideration of minor amendments c) the article can be published after considering substantive amendments d) the articles requires a complete redrafting and a re-review e) the article is not suitable for publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>

ACTA UNIVERSITATIS LODZIENSIS. FOLIA LIBRORUM
REVIEW FORM

Article entitled:

Detailed comments and suggested corrections (can be marked in the text):

Date and signature

The identity of the reviewer is not disclosed to the author of the article.