# Review of a manuscript submitted to volume ……………../ …………….. of

**“Acta Universitatis Lodziensis. Studies in the Teaching Polish to Foreigners”**

The reviewer (name, last name, title, affiliation, e-mail address):

……………………………………………………………………………………………….

Manuscript title:

………………………………………………………………………………………………..

**Please, evaluate the manuscript by choosing answers to the following questions. If necessary, you may type additional comments below.**

**1. Text composition and format**

a. Do the title and summary reflect the subject matter of the manuscript? Yes / No

b. Is the aim of the manuscript clear? Yes / No

c. Is the structure of the manuscript logical? Yes / No

d. Is the format of the manuscript appropriate? Yes / No

……………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

**2. Content evaluation**

*- for original research – evaluation of the methodology used (theoretical background, research goals, data collection methodology, result interpretation).*

*- for theoretical inquiry – theoretical background choice evaluation (the coherence of the chosen theory, the choice of examples, conclusions, etc.).*

Is the manuscript’s content valuable? Yes / No

Are the methodology and theory / data description coherent with the assumed goals?

Yes / No

……………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

**3. Scientific discourse and resources evaluation**

*Comprehensibility, conceptual coherence, language correctness, choice of resources…*

Is the description of theoretical background and/ or research results clear and coherent?

 Yes / No / To some extent

Is the language use in the manuscript correct? Yes / No / There are some errors

Were the used sources proper and valuable? Yes / No / To some extent

……………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

**5. Final conclusion (please choose the preferred option)**

- accept for publication

- accept for publication after suggested revisions

- revise and resubmit for review

- reject

**6. Other comments**

…………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

*date reviewer’s signature*