

# Journal of Finance and Financial Law

## Review Form

Article title:.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

Assessment criteria*		Rating scale ( 0 to 5)
1	Significance, relevance to the area of finance and financial law.	
2	Compatibility of the subject with the Journal's profile.	
3	Correctness of the article's structure (clarity, cohesion).	
4	Correctness of the objectives and hypotheses.	
5	Quality of theoretical argument (terminology).	
6	Methodological standards (are the applied methods adequate to the problem, conclusions).	
7	Empirical orientation of the text (selection and presentation of empirical examples).	
8	Practical applicability.	
9	Editorial correctness (summary, tables, graphs and footnotes).	
10	Linguistic correctness (style, grammar, punctuation ).	
11	Objectives (properly achieved), interpretation of the results, justification of conclusions.	
12	Selection and use of sources (number and quality of sources).	
	Total number of points	

\*Please supply justification of the assessment (particularly low) on the next page.

### Reviewer's rating:

(Please mark X in the appropriate line)

Article suitable for publication in the present form	
Article suitable for publication after introducing minor changes suggested by the reviewer	
Article suitable for publication after significant redrafting, must be reviewed again.	
Article not suitable for publication	

Comments/justification.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

Suggested changes.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

According to the procedure of a double-blind review, the following information will be available solely to "Finance and Financial Law" editors

.....  
Reviewer's name

.....  
Date and signature